Clinical Research on Foot & Ankle

Editorial Open Access

Foot and Ankle Imaging

Lacina*

Department of Surgery and Research, Albania

Introduction

Orthopedic imaging has undergone significant changes in recent years, thanks to technological advancements that have revolutionized diagnosis, management, and treatment of musculoskeletal disorders. One of the fields that have significantly benefited from these advancements is foot and ankle imaging. Foot and ankle imaging refer to the use of various imaging techniques to evaluate problems in the foot and ankle region, including bones, ligaments, muscles, cartilage, and nerves. The common imaging modalities used in foot and ankle imaging include X-rays, ultrasounds, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and positron emission tomography (PET). X-rays remain a first-line diagnostic tool in foot and ankle imaging, as they are readily available, low cost, and provide essential insights into bone fractures, joint space, and bony alignment [1-4]. In the modern-day orthopedics, however, advanced imaging techniques, such as MRI and CT scans, have become indispensable in the diagnosis and management of complex foot and ankle disorders. MRI is an essential imaging modality used in the evaluation of soft-tissue injuries in the foot and ankle. It provides high sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of ligament and tendon injuries, stress fracture, avascular necrosis, osteomyelitis, and osteochondral lesions. MRI provides a three-dimensional view of the anatomy that helps in preoperative treatment planning, and it is also helpful as a postoperative tool in the assessment of the surgical outcome. CT scans remain the gold standard in the evaluation of bony structures in the foot and ankle as it provides a detailed view of the bony anatomy, including cortical and trabecular bone [5-9]. CT scans are particularly useful in the diagnosis of complex fractures, including intra-articular fractures, stress fractures, and CT arthrography can be utilized in the diagnosis of ligamentous injuries and cartilage defects. Ultrasound is another imaging modality that is widely used in foot and ankle imaging. It is particularly useful in the diagnosis of superficial soft tissue injuries, such as Achilles tendon tears, plantar fasciitis, and Morton's neuroma. Ultrasound is a real-time imaging modality that provides rapid assessment of soft tissue structures, and it can also be used for guidance during injections and aspirations.

PET scans have found their place in foot and ankle imaging as a tool in the management of musculoskeletal tumors, particularly in the early detection of osteosarcoma, Ewing's sarcoma, and other bone metastases. PET scans detect radioisotope uptake at the site of malignancy and can help differentiate between benign and malignant tumors in the bones and soft tissues. The use of advanced imaging techniques has allowed for more accurate and detailed assessments of foot and ankle pathology, resulting in the development of more effective treatment plans that are tailored to the patient's specific condition. Modern-day orthopedics has embraced a multidisciplinary approach to patient care, where several specialists collaborate in the diagnosis and management of musculoskeletal disorders, including foot and ankle pathology [10]. Radiologists, orthopedic surgeons, and sports physicians work together to provide individualized care to each patient that is both effective and minimally invasive. The use of imaging equipment has also been revolutionized with the development of portable machines, enabling bedside imaging. This is particularly useful in the emergency setting, where critical patients need immediate evaluation and intervention. Bedside ultrasound and X-rays have become increasingly popular in the assessment of fractures, dislocations, and other traumatic injuries. Rapid bedside imaging allows for faster diagnosis, prompt initiation of treatment, and improved patient outcomes. The advancements in foot and ankle imaging have not only changed the field of orthopedics, but it has also impacted patient experience, as well as healthcare delivery. With the development of new imaging techniques, the amount of radiation exposure has reduced significantly, thus reducing the risks associated with radiation exposure. Patients can now undergo repeat scans with minimal radiation exposure, reducing the overall burden of radiation exposure. The development of 3D printing technology has also made it possible to create patient-specific orthotics, orthopedic implants, and surgical guides, improving surgical precision and reducing the overall surgical time.

Conclusion

In conclusion, foot and ankle imaging have significantly advanced orthopedic diagnosis, management, and treatment planning. Advanced imaging techniques have revolutionized the field, allowing for more accurate and detailed assessments of foot and ankle pathology. This has resulted in more effective treatment plans that are tailored to the patient's specific condition. Foot and ankle imaging has also improved healthcare delivery, reducing the overall radiation exposure, and improving patient experience. Foot and ankle imaging is an essential diagnostic tool, and the continued advancement of this field will undoubtedly bring about improved patient outcomes.

References

1. Hyslop E, McInnes IB, Woodburn J, Turner DE (2010) Foot problems in psoriatic arthritis: high burden and low care provision. Ann Rheum Dis 69(5): 928-963.
2. Chandratre P, Mallen C, Richardson J, Rome K, Bailey J, et al. (2012) Prospective observational cohort study of Health Related Quality of Life (HRQOL), chronic foot problems and their determinants in gout: a research protocol. BMC Musculoskeletal Disord 13(1): 219-254.
3. Jung CH, Son JW, Kang S, Kim WJ, Kim H, et al. (2021) Diabetes fact sheets in korea, 2020: An appraisal of current status. Diabetes Metab J 45: 1-10.
4. La Li J, Shangguan H, Chen X, Ye X, Zhong B, et al. (2020) Advanced glycation end product levels were correlated with inflammation and carotid atherosclerosis in type 2 diabetes patients. Open Life Sci 15: 364-372.
5. Choi H, Koo D, Yim J (2022) Correlation of advanced glycation end products and heme oxygenase-1 in Korean diabetic patients. J Nutr Health 55: 348-358.

***Corresponding author:** Lacina, Department of Surgery and Research, Albania, E-mail: lacina@res.com

Received: 01-June-2023, Manuscript No: crfa-23-102355, **Editor assigned:** 03-June-2023, PreQC No: crfa-23-102355 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 19-June-2023, QC No: crfa-23-102355, **Revised:** 23-June-2023, Manuscript No crfa-23-102355 (R) **Published:** 30-June-2023, DOI: 10.4202/2329-910X.1000421

Citation: Lacina (2023) Foot and Ankle Imaging. Clin Res Foot Ankle, 11: 421.

6. Stewart S, Dalbeth N, Vandal AC, Rome K (2016) The first metatarsophalangeal joint in gout: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 17: 69-96.

7. Polachek A, Li S, Chandran V, Gladman D (2017) Clinical enthesitis in a prospective longitudinal psoriatic arthritis cohort: incidence, prevalence, characteristics and outcome: Enthesitis in psoriatic arthritis. Arthritis Care Res 69(11): 1685-1691.

8. Koca TT, Göğebakan H, Koçyiğit BF, Nacitarhan V, Yildir CZ (2019) Foot functions in ankylosing spondylitis. Clin Rheumatol 38(4): 1083-1088.

9. Singer AJ, Tassiopoulos, Kirsner RS (2018) Evaluation and Management of Lower-Extremity Ulcers. N Engl J Med 378(3): 302-303.

10. Armstrong DG, Boulton AJM, Bus SA (2017) Diabetic Foot Ulcers and Their Recurrence. N Engl J Med 376(24): 2367-2375.