

Editorial Open Access

Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy (HIPEC) During Surgery

Theophile Dubois*

Department of Oncology, Universite Paris Cite, France

Abstract

Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy (HIPEC) has emerged as an effective therapeutic approach for managing peritoneal carcinomatosis, particularly in patients with colorectal, ovarian, gastric, and mesothelioma cancers. HIPEC is performed during surgery, following cytoreductive surgery (CRS) to remove visible tumors. The procedure involves delivering heated chemotherapy directly into the peritoneal cavity, which enhances the cytotoxic effects of the drugs and allows for better penetration into the cancerous tissues. This article explores the principles, indications, outcomes, and challenges associated with HIPEC during cancer surgery. It also highlights recent advancements in HIPEC technology, including improved drug delivery systems and techniques aimed at maximizing patient benefit. Ultimately, the goal of HIPEC is to increase survival rates while minimizing the risk of recurrence in patients with advanced peritoneal cancers.

Keywords: Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy (HIPEC); Peritoneal carcinomatosis; Cytoreductive surgery; Heated chemotherapy; Cancer surgery

Introduction

Peritoneal carcinomatosis (PC) is a devastating complication of various cancers, particularly colorectal, gastric, and ovarian cancers. PC occurs when cancer cells spread to the peritoneal cavity, forming secondary tumors throughout the abdomen. Traditionally, the prognosis for patients with PC was poor, as systemic chemotherapy had limited efficacy in targeting the peritoneal cavity. However, with the development of Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy (HIPEC), there has been a significant improvement in treatment outcomes. HIPEC combines the principles of cytoreductive surgery (CRS) with the local delivery of heated chemotherapy, allowing for targeted treatment of peritoneal metastases. This combination enhances drug efficacy, reduces systemic toxicity, and provides a potential curative option for patients with peritoneal dissemination of cancer [1][2].

Principles of HIPEC

HIPEC involves the administration of chemotherapy drugs directly into the peritoneal cavity following the surgical removal of visible tumors. The chemotherapy is heated to approximately 41-43°C, which increases the cytotoxicity of the drugs by enhancing their absorption and improving the penetration of chemotherapy into tumor cells. The heating process also has direct effects on the cancer cells, making them more sensitive to the chemotherapy. The procedure is typically performed after cytoreductive surgery (CRS), which aims to remove as much of the visible tumor as possible, leaving behind only microscopic disease. HIPEC, therefore, targets residual microscopic cancer cells that may remain in the peritoneal cavity after the surgery, which might otherwise lead to recurrence. The localized delivery of chemotherapy allows for higher drug concentrations in the peritoneal cavity while minimizing systemic toxicity, a significant advantage over traditional intravenous chemotherapy [3][4].

Indications and Selection of Patients

HIPEC is primarily used in patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis, where traditional chemotherapy or systemic therapies are less effective. The most common indications for HIPEC include colorectal cancer with peritoneal metastasis, ovarian cancer, gastric cancer, and mesothelioma. The decision to perform HIPEC is made based

on the extent of the peritoneal disease, the feasibility of complete cytoreduction, and the overall health status of the patient. Ideally, patients should have minimal extra-abdominal disease and be able to tolerate the surgical and chemotherapy components of the procedure. Preoperative imaging, such as CT scans and laparoscopy, is essential to assess the extent of peritoneal metastasis and determine whether cytoreductive surgery is feasible. Patients who have an adequate performance status and good organ function are typically considered suitable candidates for HIPEC [5][6].

Procedure and Techniques

The HIPEC procedure begins with cytoreductive surgery, during which the surgeon removes visible tumor nodules from the peritoneal cavity. The extent of surgery varies depending on the tumor's location and the degree of spread. After cytoreduction, the abdominal cavity is filled with a heated chemotherapy solution, and the solution is circulated through the peritoneal cavity using a specialized pump. The chemotherapy is typically heated to temperatures ranging from 41 to 43°C, depending on the drug used. The heat enhances the permeability of cancer cell membranes, allowing for better drug uptake. The chemotherapy is circulated for 60-90 minutes before being drained from the cavity. The temperature and circulation time are carefully controlled to optimize drug efficacy while minimizing side effects. The heated chemotherapy is often used in combination with agents such as mitomycin C, oxaliplatin, or cisplatin, though the drug choice may vary based on tumor type and patient condition [7][8].

Outcomes and Effectiveness

Several studies have demonstrated the potential survival benefits of HIPEC in patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis, particularly in

*Corresponding author: Theophile Dubois, Department of Oncology, Universite Paris Cite, France, Mail Id: dub_the42@yahoo.com

Received: 02-Nov-2024, Manuscript No: cns-25-157854, **Editor assigned:** 04-Nov-2024, Pre QC No: cns-25-157854 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 18-Nov-2024, QC No: cns-25-157854, **Revised:** 25-Nov-2024, Manuscript No: cns-25-157854 (R), **Published:** 30-Nov-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2573-542X.1000137

Citation: Theophile D (2024) Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy (HIPEC) During Surgery. Cancer Surg, 9: 137.

Copyright: © 2024 Theophile D. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

those with colorectal cancer. The combination of CRS and HIPEC has been shown to significantly improve progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) compared to systemic chemotherapy alone. For patients with ovarian cancer, HIPEC has also been associated with improved survival rates, although the evidence is less robust than for colorectal cancer. The benefit of HIPEC is most pronounced in patients who undergo complete cytoreduction, where all visible tumor is removed. However, the procedure is associated with considerable morbidity, including complications such as infection, bowel perforation, and anastomotic leaks. Mortality rates related to the procedure are relatively low, but complications are common, highlighting the importance of patient selection and experienced surgical teams [9][10].

Complications and Challenges

While HIPEC offers promising outcomes for patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis, the procedure is not without risks. The main complications associated with HIPEC include bowel perforation, anastomotic leaks, infection, and electrolyte imbalances due to the chemotherapy solution. The use of heated chemotherapy can also cause damage to normal tissues within the peritoneal cavity, although the risk of this is minimized by precise surgical techniques and careful monitoring of chemotherapy temperature. The complexity of HIPEC requires a multidisciplinary approach, including experienced surgical oncologists, anesthesiologists, and nursing staff. Additionally, the high cost of the procedure, the need for specialized equipment, and the lack of widespread availability in some regions present significant challenges to its broader implementation.

Advancements in HIPEC Technology

Recent advancements in HIPEC technology have focused on improving drug delivery systems and optimizing the heating process. The development of more efficient chemotherapy pumps and circulatory systems has led to better distribution of the drug throughout the peritoneal cavity. New technologies, such as pressurized intraperitoneal aerosol chemotherapy (PIPAC), are being explored as alternative methods for delivering chemotherapy to the peritoneum. In PIPAC, chemotherapy is aerosolized and sprayed directly onto the tumor sites, enhancing the distribution and penetration of the drug. These newer approaches aim to further improve the efficacy of HIPEC while minimizing side effects.

Future Directions and Prospects

The future of HIPEC lies in continued technological improvements, better patient selection, and the development of new chemotherapy agents. Ongoing clinical trials are focused on refining patient selection criteria to identify those most likely to benefit from HIPEC. Additionally, research into the combination of HIPEC with other therapies, such as immunotherapy or targeted therapy, is ongoing,

with the goal of improving patient outcomes. The integration of HIPEC into multimodal treatment regimens may help extend its benefits beyond patients with isolated peritoneal metastases to those with more advanced or systemic disease. As the technology continues to evolve, it is likely that HIPEC will play an increasingly important role in the treatment of peritoneal carcinomatosis.

Conclusion

Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy (HIPEC) is a promising treatment modality for patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis, particularly in colorectal and ovarian cancers. By delivering heated chemotherapy directly to the peritoneal cavity, HIPEC enhances drug efficacy while minimizing systemic toxicity. While the procedure offers significant survival benefits, it is associated with considerable risks and complications, requiring careful patient selection and skilled surgical execution. Recent advancements in HIPEC technology and multimodal therapies offer hope for improving outcomes and expanding the use of this procedure in the future. With ongoing research and innovation, HIPEC has the potential to significantly alter the management of peritoneal cancers, offering patients a new avenue for treatment and improving survival prospects.

References

- Markopoulos AK (2012) Current aspects on oral squamous cell carcinoma. Open Dent J 6: 126-130.
- MaShberg A, Barsa P, Grossman ML (1985) A study of the relationship between mouthwash use and oral and pharyngeal cancer. J Am Dent Assoc 110: 731-734.
- Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, Lim S, Shibuya K, et al. (2012) Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 380: 2095-2128.
- Gandini S, Botteri E, Iodice S, Boniol M, Lowenfels AB, et al. (2008) Tobacco smoking and cancer: a meta-analysis. Int J Cancer 122: 155-164.
- Goldstein BY, Chang SC, Hashibe M, La Vecchia C, Zhang ZF, et al. (2010) Alcohol consumption and cancers of the oral cavity and pharynx from 1988 to 2009: an update. Eur J Cancer Prev EU 19: 431-465.
- Kreimer AR, Clifford GM, Boyle P, Franceschi S (2005) Human papillomavirus types in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas worldwide: a systematic review. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev 14: 467-475.
- Goldenberg D, Lee J, Koch WM, Kim MM, Trink B, et al. (2004) Habitual risk factors for head and neck cancer. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 131: 986-993.
- Kerawala C, Roques T, Jeannon JP, Bisase B (2016) Oral cavity and lip cancer: United Kingdom National Multidisciplinary Guidelines. J Laryngol Otol 130(S2): S83-S89.
- 9. Elmore JG, Horwitz RI (1995) Oral cancer and mouthwash use: evaluation of the epidemiologic evidence. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 113: 253-261.
- Cole P, Rodu B, Mathisen A (2003) Alcohol-containing mouthwash and oropharyngeal cancer: a review of the epidemiology. J Am Dent Assoc 134: 1079-1087.