

Intimate Partner Violence: Understanding, Prevention, and Support

Richer Dakins*

The Institute of Public Health, The University of Jordan

Introduction

Intimate Partner Violence (IPV), also known as domestic violence, is a pattern of behaviours in which one partner seeks to gain power and control over the other in an intimate relationship. This type of violence can manifest in various forms, including physical, emotional, psychological, sexual, and financial abuse. IPV affects individuals of all ages, genders, sexual orientations, races, and socioeconomic backgrounds. It is a significant public health issue and a pervasive societal problem that can have long-lasting effects on victims, families, and communities.

This article explores the nature of intimate partner violence, its impact on victims, and the steps that can be taken to prevent it and support those affected [1,2].

Understanding Intimate Partner Violence

Intimate Partner Violence encompasses a range of behaviours, all rooted in the desire to control, dominate, and manipulate another person. These behaviours can be physical, emotional, sexual, or economic, and often occur in a cyclical pattern, with periods of tension building, an abusive incident occurring, and a subsequent honeymoon phase where the abuser apologizes and expresses remorse. This cycle can trap victims in the relationship, making it difficult for them to leave or seek help.

Physical Abuse: Physical violence includes hitting, slapping, punching, choking, or using weapons to cause harm. It is often the most visible and recognizable form of IPV, but not always the most common.

Emotional and Psychological Abuse: This type of abuse involves controlling or manipulating a partner through tactics like humiliation, degradation, intimidation, or constant criticism. The goal is often to undermine the victim's self-esteem and sense of worth [3].

Sexual Abuse: This includes any forced or coerced sexual act or the use of sex as a means of control. It may involve physical assault or manipulation to make the victim feel powerless and vulnerable.

Financial Abuse: Financial abuse occurs when one partner controls all or most of the finances, limiting the other partner's ability to access money, work, or make financial decisions. This can trap victims in abusive relationships by creating economic dependence.

The Dynamics of Intimate Partner Violence

The dynamics of IPV are often complicated by several factors, including the power imbalance in the relationship, societal attitudes, and the cycle of abuse. Abusers typically exert control over victims by isolating them from friends and family, instilling fear, and fostering feelings of guilt or shame in the victim. Victims may feel trapped in the relationship due to emotional manipulation, fear of retaliation, or concern for children or dependents.

Several factors may contribute to IPV, including:

Societal Norms and Gender Inequality: Societies that normalize gender inequality or condone violent behaviour may contribute to a culture that perpetuates IPV. Gender norms that prioritize male dominance and female submission can influence abusive behaviours in intimate relationships [4-6].

Childhood Trauma and Learned Behaviour: People who witnessed or experienced violence as children may be more likely to engage in or tolerate IPV in adulthood. This cycle of abuse is often learned and perpetuated across generations.

Substance Abuse: Alcohol and drug use are often linked to IPV. While they may not cause the violence, they can exacerbate aggressive behaviours and impair the abuser's judgment.

Mental Health Issues: Mental health problems, such as depression, anxiety, or personality disorders, may contribute to IPV. However, mental illness alone is not a justification for abusive behaviours.

The Impact of Intimate Partner Violence on Victims

The effects of intimate partner violence on victims can be profound and long-lasting. Victims may suffer physical injuries, psychological trauma, and social isolation. The impact can also extend to children, family members, and friends who witness or are affected by the abuse.

Physical Health: Victims of IPV often suffer from physical injuries, including bruises, broken bones, or even life-threatening injuries. Chronic health problems such as gastrointestinal disorders, headaches, and cardiovascular issues are also common due to the stress of living in an abusive relationship.

Mental and Emotional Health: Psychological effects of IPV can be severe, leading to depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and suicidal thoughts or actions. Victims often experience low self-esteem, feelings of shame, and a loss of autonomy, which can make it difficult to break free from the relationship.

Social Consequences: IPV can isolate victims from their friends, family, and support networks. Abusers often manipulate or control victims by limiting their contact with others. As a result, victims may feel socially isolated and may find it hard to seek help or develop a support system [7, 8].

***Corresponding author:** Richer Dakins, The Institute of Public Health, The University of Jordan, E-mail: Richerdakins@gmail.com

Received: 02-March-2025, Manuscript No: gnfs-25-163866; **Editor assigned:** 05-March-2025, Pre QC No: gnfs-25-163866 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 19-April-2024, QC No: gnfs-25-163866; **Revised:** 23-April-2024, Manuscript No: gnfs-25-163866 (R); **Published:** 29-April-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2572-0899.1000331

Citation: Richer D (2025) Intimate Partner Violence: Understanding, Prevention, and Support. Glob J Nurs Forensic Stud, 9: 331.

Copyright: © 2025 Richer D. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Economic Consequences: Financial abuse can have long-lasting economic consequences, leaving victims without access to money, a job, or financial independence. This can make it difficult to leave the abuser and establish a new life, especially for those with children or dependents.

Impact on Children: Children who witness IPV are at risk of developing emotional and Behavioral issues. They may experience anxiety, depression, and difficulty in forming healthy relationships in the future. Studies have shown that children exposed to IPV are more likely to become victims or perpetrators of abuse in adulthood.

Barriers to Leaving an Abusive Relationship

Victims of intimate partner violence often face significant barriers to leaving an abusive relationship. These barriers may include:

Fear of Retaliation: Abusers often threaten their victims with further harm or retaliation if they attempt to leave, which can create a sense of fear and helplessness.

Financial Dependency: Financial abuse or lack of financial resources can prevent victims from leaving their abuser. Without money or a job, many victims feel they have no means of supporting themselves or their children.

Isolation and Control: Abusers frequently isolate their victims from family, friends, and support networks, making it difficult for them to seek help or gain perspective on the situation.

Love and Attachment: Many victims still feel a sense of love or attachment to their abuser. The abuser often apologizes or promises to change, leading the victim to believe the situation will improve, which contributes to the cycle of abuse.

Cultural and Social Stigma: In some cultures or communities, there is a stigma around divorce or leaving a partner, especially in cases of abuse. Victims may feel pressure from family, religious communities, or societal norms to stay in the relationship, even at the cost of their safety [9].

Prevention and Support for Intimate Partner Violence

Preventing intimate partner violence requires a comprehensive approach, including education, awareness, legal intervention, and support for both victims and perpetrators. Key strategies for preventing IPV include:

Education and Awareness: Public education campaigns can raise awareness about IPV and challenge societal norms that condone violence. Schools, workplaces, and communities can play an important role in promoting healthy, respectful relationships.

Access to Support Services: Providing accessible resources for victims, such as shelters, hotlines, counselling services, and legal aid, is crucial. Victims need support systems that help them escape abusive situations and rebuild their lives.

Legal Protections: Laws that protect victims of IPV, such as

restraining orders, child custody arrangements, and criminal penalties for abusers, are essential in preventing further violence. Law enforcement and judicial systems need to be trained to respond effectively to IPV cases.

Perpetrator Intervention Programs: Addressing the root causes of IPV requires intervention programs for perpetrators. These programs can help abusers understand the impact of their behaviours, develop healthier ways of coping with stress and anger, and break the cycle of violence.

Community Involvement: Communities can create a culture of zero tolerance for violence by supporting victims and holding perpetrators accountable. Community-based programs can provide education, resources, and a network of support for those affected by IPV [10].

Conclusion

Intimate Partner Violence is a pervasive issue that affects millions of people worldwide, leaving lasting emotional, psychological, and physical scars on victims. It is a complex problem that requires a multifaceted approach to prevention and intervention. By raising awareness, providing support for victims, and holding perpetrators accountable, society can work toward ending IPV and creating safer, healthier relationships for all. It is essential to recognize that IPV is not a private matter; it is a public health and human rights issue that requires collective action from individuals, communities, and governments to prevent and address its devastating impact.

References

1. Wiebe S, Guyatt G, Weaver B, Matijevic S, Sidwell C (2003) Comparative responsiveness of generic and specific quality-of-life instruments. *J Clin Epidemiol* 56: 52-60.
2. Finn R H (1972) Effects of some variations in rating scale characteristics on the means and reliabilities of ratings. *Educ Psychol Meas* 32:255-265.
3. Simkovic M, Trauble B (2019) Robustness of statistical methods when measure is affected by ceiling and/or floor effect. *PLoS ONE* 14: e0220889.
4. Harpe S E (2015) How to analyze like art and other rating scale data. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning* 7: 836-850.
5. Hunt SM, McEwen J, McKenna SP (1985) Measuring health status: a new tool for clinicians and epidemiologists. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 35: 185-188.
6. Devlin N, Parkin D, Janssen B (2020) Analysis of EQ-5D Profiles. In: *Methods for Analyzing and Reporting EQ-5D*.
7. Redaelli A, Stephens JM, Laskin BL (2003) The burden and outcomes associated with four leukemia's: AML, ALL, CLL, and CML. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 3: 311-329.
8. De Haan R, Horn J, Limburg M. (1993) A comparison of five stroke scales with measures of disability, handicap, and quality of life. *Stroke* 24: 1178-1181.
9. Duncan, Pamela W (1997) Stroke Recovery. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation* 4: 51-58.
10. Essink-Bot ML, Krabbe PF, Bonsel GJ, Aaronson NK. (1997) an empirical comparison of four generic health status measures. The Nottingham Health Profile, the Medical Outcomes Study 36-item Short-Form Health Survey, the COOP/WONCA charts, and the EuroQol instrument. *Med Care* 35: 522-537.