



## Investigating the Impacts of Nanoparticles on Human Health a Comprehensive Review of Nano Toxicology

Hue Sing\*

Department of China Nano toxicology, China

### Abstract

Nanotechnology, with its promise of revolutionary advancements in various fields, has also brought attention to potential risks associated with exposure to engineered nanoparticles (NPs). Nano toxicology, the study of the adverse effects of nanomaterials on living organisms, is a rapidly evolving field aimed at understanding the toxicity mechanisms and mitigating risks. This review examines current research findings on the toxicological effects of nanoparticles, including their interactions with biological systems, cellular pathways, and potential health implications. Various factors influencing nanoparticle toxicity, such as size, shape, surface chemistry, and route of exposure, are explored. Additionally, the challenges and future directions in nano toxicology research are discussed, highlighting the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration and innovative methodologies to address emerging concerns and ensure the safe development and application of nanotechnology.

**Keywords:** Nano toxicology; Nanoparticles; Health effects; Toxicity mechanisms; Risk assessment

### Introduction

Nanoparticles (NPs), defined as materials with at least one dimension less than 100 nanometers, exhibit unique physical, chemical, and biological properties compared to their bulk counterparts [1]. These distinctive characteristics have led to the widespread incorporation of nanoparticles in various consumer products, industrial processes, and biomedical applications [2,3]. However, along with the rapid proliferation of nanotechnology, concerns regarding the potential adverse effects of nanoparticles on human health and the environment have emerged. Nano toxicology has thus emerged as a crucial discipline aimed at assessing and mitigating the risks associated with nanomaterial exposure. Nanotechnology, with its remarkable ability to manipulate matter at the nanoscale, has sparked tremendous innovation across diverse fields, ranging from electronics and medicine to environmental remediation [4]. Engineered nanoparticles (NPs), defined as materials with dimensions typically less than 100 nanometers, exhibit unique physical, chemical, and biological properties that distinguish them from their bulk counterparts [5]. These distinctive characteristics have paved the way for groundbreaking advancements, such as targeted drug delivery systems, enhanced imaging modalities, and novel materials with tailored functionalities. However, alongside the promise of nanotechnology, concerns have arisen regarding the potential risks posed by exposure to engineered nanoparticles [6,7]. The intricate interplay between nanomaterials and biological systems has prompted investigations into their potential adverse effects on human health and the environment. Nano toxicology, a burgeoning discipline at the intersection of nanotechnology and toxicology, seeks to unravel the complex mechanisms underlying nanoparticle toxicity and assess their implications for human health and ecological well-being [8]. The burgeoning field of nano toxicology is driven by the imperative to comprehensively understand the potential risks associated with nanoparticle exposure and to develop strategies to mitigate these risks. As nanoparticles find widespread application in consumer products, industrial processes, and medical therapies, it becomes increasingly pertinent to elucidate their toxicological profiles and evaluate their safety for human and environmental health [9,10].

This comprehensive review aims to delve into the multifaceted landscape of nano toxicology, exploring the current state of

knowledge regarding the impacts of nanoparticles on human health. By synthesizing findings from diverse studies and elucidating the underlying mechanisms of nanoparticle toxicity, this review seeks to provide insights into the challenges and opportunities in nano toxicology research. Moreover, it aims to shed light on the critical factors influencing nanoparticle toxicity, ranging from physicochemical properties to biological interactions, and to delineate future directions for advancing our understanding of nanoparticle-induced adverse effects. Through a thorough examination of the existing literature, this review endeavors to contribute to the collective effort to ensure the safe development and responsible deployment of nanotechnology, thereby harnessing its transformative potential while safeguarding human health and the environment.

### Toxicological effects of nanoparticles

The toxicity of nanoparticles can manifest through various mechanisms, including oxidative stress, inflammation, genotoxicity, and disruption of cellular signaling pathways. Size-dependent toxicity is a well-established phenomenon, with smaller nanoparticles often exhibiting greater bioactivity due to increased surface area and reactivity. Surface chemistry and functionalization also play critical roles in nanoparticle toxicity, influencing their interactions with biological molecules and cellular uptake. The toxicological effects of nanoparticles encompass a diverse array of biological responses, reflecting the intricate interactions between nanomaterials and living organisms. One of the key mechanisms underlying nanoparticle toxicity is oxidative stress, wherein nanoparticles can induce the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) within cells, leading to cellular damage and dysfunction. Additionally, nanoparticles have

\*Corresponding author: Hue Sing, Department of China Nano toxicology, China, E-mail: sing\_hue@gmail.com

**Received:** 01-Jan-2024, Manuscript No: tyoa-24-126111, **Editor Assigned:** 04-Jan-2023, pre QC No: tyoa-24-126111 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 19-Jan-2023, QC No: tyoa-24-126111, **Revised:** 24-Jan-2024, Manuscript No: tyoa-24-126111 (R), **Published:** 30-Jan-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2476-2067.1000252

**Citation:** Sing H (2024) Investigating the Impacts of Nanoparticles on Human Health a Comprehensive Review of Nano Toxicology. Toxicol Open Access 10: 252.

**Copyright:** © 2024 Sing H. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

been implicated in promoting inflammation through activation of pro-inflammatory pathways and recruitment of immune cells, which can exacerbate tissue injury and contribute to chronic diseases. Moreover, the genotoxic potential of nanoparticles, manifested as DNA damage and chromosomal aberrations, poses concerns regarding their carcinogenicity and mutagenicity. Disruption of cellular signaling pathways by nanoparticles further perturbs homeostatic mechanisms, potentially leading to aberrant cellular responses and adverse health outcomes. Understanding the multifaceted toxicological effects of nanoparticles is paramount for elucidating their health risks and guiding the development of effective mitigation strategies.

### Factors influencing nanoparticle toxicity

Several factors modulate the toxicity of nanoparticles, necessitating a nuanced understanding of their interactions with biological systems. Shape anisotropy can influence cellular uptake and intracellular trafficking, affecting the biological response to nanoparticles. Surface charge and composition dictate the protein corona formation and subsequent cellular interactions, influencing nanoparticle biocompatibility and toxicity. Furthermore, the physicochemical stability of nanoparticles in biological environments can impact their long-term fate and toxicity profiles.

### Challenges and future directions

Despite significant advancements, nano toxicology faces several challenges that warrant further investigation. The lack of standardized toxicity testing protocols and the heterogeneity of nanomaterials pose challenges in assessing and comparing their toxicological profiles. Moreover, the long-term effects of chronic nanoparticle exposure and their potential accumulation in biological systems remain poorly understood. Addressing these knowledge gaps requires interdisciplinary collaboration between toxicologists, material scientists, engineers, and clinicians. Furthermore, the development of innovative methodologies, such as advanced in vitro models and computational toxicology approaches, holds promise for enhancing the predictive power of nano toxicology studies.

### Conclusion

Nano toxicology plays a pivotal role in elucidating the potential

health risks associated with nanomaterial exposure and informing risk assessment and regulatory decisions. Understanding the complex interactions between nanoparticles and biological systems is essential for the safe development and utilization of nanotechnology across diverse applications. Continued research efforts aimed at unraveling the toxicity mechanisms, identifying biomarkers of exposure and effect, and assessing the long-term implications of nanoparticle exposure are imperative to ensure the responsible advancement of nanotechnology for the benefit of society.

### References

1. Shafiee G, Qorbani M, Heshmat R, Mohammadi F, Sheidaei A (2019) Socioeconomic inequality in cardio-metabolic risk factors in a nationally representative sample of Iranian adolescents using an Oaxaca-Blinder decomposition method: the CASPIAN-III study. *J Diabetes Metab Disord* 18: 145-153.
2. Gil-Rendo A, Muñoz-Rodríguez JR, Domper Bardají F, Menchén Trujillo B, Martínez-de Paz F (2019) Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy for High-Risk Patients in a Monocentric Series: Long-Term Outcomes and Predictors of Success. *Obes Surg* 29: 3629-3637.
3. de Paris FGC, Padoin AV, Mottin CC, de Paris MF (2019) Assessment of Changes in Body Composition During the First Postoperative Year After Bariatric Surgery. *Obes Surg* 29: 3054-3061.
4. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM (2013) Prevalence of obesity among adults: United States, 2011-2012. *NCHS Data Brief* Oct: 1-8.
5. Apovian CM (2016) Obesity: definition, comorbidities, causes, and burden. *Am J Manag Care*; 22: 176-85.
6. Desogus D, Menon V, Singhal R, Oyebo O (2019) An Examination of Whom Is Eligible and Who Is Receiving Bariatric Surgery in England: Secondary Analysis of the Health Survey for England Dataset. *Obes Surg* 29: 3246-3251.
7. Thorell A, MacCormick AD, Awad S, Reynolds N, Roulin D (2016) Guidelines for Perioperative Care in Bariatric Surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society Recommendations. *World J Surg* 40: 2065-83.
8. Ostruszka P, Ihnát P, Tulinský L, Vávra P (2019) An alternative method of surgical treatment in refractory GERD following laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy. *Rozhl Chir* 98: 214-218.
9. Grover BT, Morell MC, Kothari SN (2019) Defining Weight Loss After Bariatric Surgery: a Call for Standardization. *Obes Surg* 29: 3493-3499.
10. Guzmán HM, Sepúlveda M, Rosso N, San Martín A, Guzmán (2019) Incidence and Risk Factors for Cholelithiasis After Bariatric Surgery. *Obes Surg* 29: 2110-2114.