Lipid-Lowering Potency and Tolerability of Generic Rosuvastatin in Bulgarian Patients with High and Very High Risk
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Abstract

Background: Statins are a class of drugs for treatment of dyslipidemias, but they are also known to significantly reduce the cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients at high cardiovascular risk.

The objective of our study was to assess the lipid-lowering potency and tolerability of generic rosuvastatin ROSWERA®, prescribed to patients at high and very high cardiovascular risk, with or without hypercholesterolemia.

Methods: Forty-five consecutive patients (24 females, 53.3%), mean age 62 years were included in this prospective study. ROSWERA® was prescribed 10 to 20 mg once in the evening in primary prevention of high-risk patients or secondary prevention of patients with an overt cardiovascular disease. Levels of total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, transaminases and creatine kinase were examined at baseline and at months 3, 6, 12.

Results: total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol levels decreased from 6.84 ± 0.89 and 4.44 ± 1.01 mmol/l (baseline) to 5.05 ± 0.37 and 2.77 ± 0.61 mmol/l (month 12) in patients, treated with ROSWERA® 10 mg. The levels of total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol diminished to 5.30 ± 0.43 and 2.84 ± 0.45 mmol/l at month 12 in patients, receiving ROSWERA® 20 mg. The transaminase and creatine kinase values did not change significantly and no adverse events were reported.

Conclusion: The generic rosuvastatin ROSWERA® at dosage 10 mg and 20 mg daily demonstrated high lipid-lowering efficacy and good safety profile in patients at high and very high cardiovascular risk.
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Introduction

Statins were approved in clinical practice not only for treatment of dyslipidemias, but also as a class of drugs, which could significantly reduce cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients with high and very high cardiovascular (CV) risk [1]. According to the Cholesterol Treatment Trials’ Collaboration meta-analysis, including >170 000 participants from 26 randomized trials, a reduction with 10% of all-cause mortality and with 20% deaths of coronary artery disease (CAD), as well as a decrease of the risk for major coronary events by 23% and for stroke by 17% per 1.0 mmol/l (40 mg/dl) low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) reduction were reported [1,2].

Rosuvastatin is marketed as the most potent statin [3]. Data summary of multiple clinical trials revealed that its use is associated with greater reductions in LDL-C across the dose range of 5–40 mg/day than any other currently available statin [3,4]. In this dose interval the decrease of LDL-C with rosuvastatin was found to be 43–60%, whereas with atorvastatin 20–80 mg: 42–54% and simvastatin 20–80 mg: 30–50%. Moreover, during the therapy with rosuvastatin a significant increase of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and reduction of triglycerides (TG) are obtained as well [3-5].

There are data from clinical studies, analyzing the lipid-lowering potency and safety of generic simvastatin, atorvastatin, lovastatin and pravastatin, but such data are still insufficient, regarding generic forms of the original rosuvastatin - CRESTOR® (manufactured by Astra Zeneca) [6-10].

Objective

The aim of our study was to assess the lipid-lowering potency and tolerability of generic rosuvastatin ROSWERA* (manufactured by the pharmaceutical company Krka, d.d., Novo mesto, Slovenia), prescribed for treatment, primary or secondary prevention of patients with high and very high CV risk, with or without hypercholesterolemia.

Methods

Forty-five consecutive patients - 24 females (53.3%) and 21 males, mean age 62 (44-86) years were included in this pilot, non-randomized, uncontrolled, prospective study. All of them were admitted to the Clinic of Cardiology, Department of Internal Diseases "Prof. St. Kirkovich" at "Alexandrovska“ University hospital in Sofia, Bulgaria for diagnostic procedures and treatment during the period September 2011-June 2012. The inclusion criteria were indications for statin prescription, as follows:

Primary prevention for high CV risk (10-year’s risk for a fatal cardiovascular event ≥ 5% and < 10%), assessed by the European society of cardiology (ESC) heart score (available at http://www.heartscore.org/pages/welcome.aspx) – 28 (62.2%) patients. The mean ESC heart score of this subgroup was 7.32 ± 1.22 (5-9);

Secondary prevention (very high CV risk, ESC heart score ≥ 10%) – 17 (37.8%) patients with one or more of the following: 12 (26.7%) -
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prior myocardial infarction, 7 (15.6%) - stable angina pectoris, 4 (8.9%) - ischemic cardiomyopathy and 11 (24.4%) - cerebrovascular disease (CVD) The mean ESC heart score of this subgroup was 20.64 ± 7.47 (10-34);

Exclusion criteria for our study were contraindications for statin treatment (known allergy to any ingredients of the tablets, severe liver and/or renal failure, rhabdomyolysis, myopathy or another reported adverse event during previous treatment with any rosuvastatin), TC and LDC-C at target levels with another statin, patient’s refusal to be treated with the study drug.

The total number of patients, indicated for secondary prevention, exceeded 17 due to the presence of more than one CV disease in some of them.

An initial dose of either 10 or 20 mg of ROSWERA was added to the patient’s evening regimens. The choice of statin dosage for primary prevention was based on the baseline and target levels of LDL-C according to the ESC guidelines, consistent with the CV risk profile of the individual patient, concomitant diseases and medication, liver function, previous experience with statin treatment [11,12]. The target levels of LDL-C for subjects at high risk were < 2.5 mmol/Land for very-high risk patients < 1.8 mmol/L or a ≥ 50% reduction from baseline LDL-C. In patients, whose target LDL-C levels were not achieved by the initial dose of statin and if the statin treatment was well tolerated, the dose was increased at follow-up visits after hospital discharge. The mean follow-up period for the entire group was 5.4 months. The number of patients, followed up for 3 months was 24 (53.3%) and for 12 months -21 (46.7%).

Nineteen patients (42.2%) had previously been treated with another statin before inclusion into the study, but none of them had reached target LDL-C levels.

All patients underwent the following evaluations:

- Risk profile for cardiovascular complications;
- Dynamics of lipid levels: Total cholesterol (TC), LDL-C, HDL-C, triglycerides (TG), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), creatine kinase (CK);
- Tolerability (adverse effects during the treatment with ROSWERA®, compliance);
- Statistical analysis was accomplished by Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS), version 13.0 for Windows and included:

A) Descriptive methods: Variational analysis of quantitative variables (minimal, maximal and mean value, standard deviation) and Frequency analysis of qualitative variables (nominal and ordinal), including absolute, relative and cumulative frequencies (%)

B) Methods for verification of hypotheses:
- Non-parametric: Chi-square or Fisher’s exact test for quantitative categorical variables; Gamma-test for ordinal variables;
- Parametric: Student’s T-test for independent samples.

For all tests, p<0.05 was accepted as a level of statistical significance.

Results

The main CV risk factors (RF), present in our patients are as follows: arterial hypertension (HTN) – in 16 (35.6%), diabetes mellitus (DM) type 2 – in 13 (28.9%), smoking – in 21 (46.7%), overweight (OW), defined as body mass index (BMI) 26-29 kg/m² or obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) – in 26 (57.8%), family predisposition in 25 (55.6%), sedentary lifestyle (SL) – in 17 (37.8%) (Figure 1).

The patients with three concomitant RF were prevalent – 21 (46.7%), two RF were found in 13 (28.9%). Relatively small number of patients had 4 RF – 7 (15.6%), 5 RF – 3 (6.7%) and 1 RF – 1 patient (2.2%). The most common combination of RF included: family predisposition to ischemic heart disease (IHD), OW/obesity, HTN and/or SL - in 34 (75.6%) of patients.

ROSWERA® 10 mg per day was administered to 33 (73.3%) of the patients and 20 mg per day were prescribed to 12 (26.7%). There were no differences in daily dose between genders observed” (Figure 2).

The main results of lipid lowering treatment with ROSWERA® are presented in Table 1, Figures 3 and 4. Total cholesterol levels < 5.0 mmol/l (193.3 mg/dl) were achieved by 30 (66.7%) patients from the general group, whereas 21 (46.7%) of all patients had reduction of TC < 4.5 mmol/l (174.0 mg/dl). Among these ones at high CV risk, levels of LDL-C < 3.0 mmol/l (116.0 mg/dl) were achieved by 25 (89.3%) and < 2.5 mmol/l (96.7 mg/dl) – by 19 (67.9%) patients. In the group for secondary prevention (very high CV risk) a reduction of LDL-C < 2.5 mmol/l (96.7 mg/dl) was obtained in 9 (52.9%) and < 1.8 mmol/l (69.6 mg/dl) – in 3 (17.6%).

Total cholesterol and LDL-C level decreased from 6.84 ± 0.89 mmol/l (264.5 ± 34.4 mg/dl) and 4.44 ± 1.01 mmol/l (171.7 ± 39.1 mg/dl) to 5.05 ± 0.64 mmol/l (196.9 ± 23.3 mg/dl) and 3.28 ± 0.76 mmol/l (124.9 ± 27.1 mg/dl) respectively (Figure 3 and 4).

The most common combination of RF included: family predisposition to ischemic heart disease (IHD), OW/obesity, HTN and/or SL - in 34 (75.6%) of patients.
better reduction of the lipid parameters, mentioned above, was reached: level of month 3. With higher dosage of ROSWERA® (20 mg daily) a baseline). The LDL-C decrease at 6 and 12 (35.7%) months was at the 10 mg achieved LDL-C reduction of 34.8% at month 3 (p<0.01 versus and 12 (24.4%) was comparable to the level of month 3. ROSWERA® 3 was found (p<0.01 versus baseline). The TC decrease at months 6 

During the follow-up period, no adverse effects, related to the treatment with ROSWERA® were observed. Increase of the dosage (from 10 to 20 mg daily) was necessary for 7 patients. There were no significant differences between the levels of transaminases (AST and ALT) and CK, measured at baseline and months 3, 6 and 12 in patients on 10 mg or 20 mg daily (Figures 5 and 6).

### Discussion

Statins are an approved class of drugs with a relatively wide range of indications [1,12-15]. European guidelines for treatment of cardiovascular diseases also suggest that statins should be administered for primary prevention in patients with high CV risk, particularly in diabetics [11,12,15]. Patients with overt cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, peripheral arterial diseases or renal impairment are among the groups with greatest benefits of statin therapy (secondary CV prevention) [1,11]. It is well known these effects are not only due to lipid-lowering properties of statins but also to their pleiotropic properties [1,15]. The CTT clinical trial proved that in secondary prevention statins could reduce 5-years mortality rate by 20% for each 1 mmol/l LDL-C reduction [2,15]. Two other clinical studies - Incremental Decrease in End point through Aggressive Lipid lowering (IDEAL) and Treating to New Targets (TNT) showed strong linear correlation between the decrease of LDL-C levels below 1.8 mmol/l and the reduction of CV risk [15].

The real clinical practice data showed a lot of patients, treated by statins did not achieve the target levels of TC and LDL-C [11]. The possible explanation for most of these cases could be the prescription of inadequate doses of statins away from these ones, approved in the clinical trials. Despite the unsatisfactory results the therapy remains frequently not corrected usually due to the so called therapeutic

### Table 1: Baseline and follow-up laboratory data in mmol/l (mg/dL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Minim.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>St. dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>3.90 (150.8)</td>
<td>5.90 (228.2)</td>
<td>4.92 (190.3)</td>
<td>0.75 (29.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C</td>
<td>1.31 (50.7)</td>
<td>3.20 (123.7)</td>
<td>1.94 (171.8)</td>
<td>0.57 (22.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>0.87 (77.1)</td>
<td>1.94 (171.8)</td>
<td>1.46 (129.3)</td>
<td>0.35 (31.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C</td>
<td>1.04 (40.2)</td>
<td>1.90 (73.5)</td>
<td>1.30 (50.3)</td>
<td>0.30 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>3.90 (150.8)</td>
<td>5.90 (228.2)</td>
<td>5.00 (193.3)</td>
<td>0.52 (20.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C</td>
<td>1.31 (50.7)</td>
<td>3.20 (123.7)</td>
<td>2.81 (108.7)</td>
<td>0.59 (22.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>0.87 (77.1)</td>
<td>1.94 (171.8)</td>
<td>1.46 (129.3)</td>
<td>0.35 (31.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C</td>
<td>1.04 (40.2)</td>
<td>1.90 (73.5)</td>
<td>1.30 (50.3)</td>
<td>0.30 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Dynamics in lipid levels in patients, treated with ROSWERA® 10 mg (p<0.05 only for the baseline levels of TC and LDL-C versus months 3, 6 and 12).

Figure 4: Dynamics in lipid levels in patients, treated with ROSWERA® 20 mg (p<0.05 only for the baseline levels of TC and LDL-C versus months 3, 6 and 12).
“inertia” of many physicians or the fear of serious adverse effects. Another possible explanation could be the interruption of statin treatment at a certain moment by the patients. According to our own clinical experience three main reasons for such interruption should be pointed out: 1. The lack of patient’s perception for intermediate benefits of the therapy; 2. Dissatisfaction by the idea for life-long statin treatment; 3. High price and low reimbursement of some statins by the health funds in some countries, particularly the original forms. The generic forms of these statins being more financially affordable could be a cost-effective solution of the last problem.

Rosuvastatin is the most potent and commonly used statin in clinical practice. Its benefits for primary and secondary prevention have been proven in large international studies (JUPITER, ASTEROID, METEOR) [2,13,15]. Our study is a small-sized, pilot, non-randomized, uncontrolled study, aiming to provide some preliminary information about the lipid-lowering efficacy and tolerability of a new generic form of rosuvastatin (ROSWERA®). This statin had recently been introduced in Bulgaria and there was not sufficient data about its clinical effectiveness. For this reason we decided to test the efficacy and safety profile of ROSWERA®*, administered for primary prevention of patients with a high CV risk (EuroSCORE ≥ 5% ≤ 10%) as well as for secondary prevention of these ones with previous myocardial infarction, stable angina or cerebrovascular disease. We included patients who had not received statin therapy up to now or had been undergone treatment with other statins, but had not achieved the target levels of TC and LDL-C.

Our results showed statistically significant reduction of both TC and LDL-C levels after the first 3 months of treatment with ROSWERA®. During the follow-up period (at 6-th and 12-th month) the reached values of TC and LDL-C were practically the same as these ones at 3-rd month. These data supported the findings of Johnes et al. [16], who demonstrated the first dose of statins was accounted for the greatest reduction of LDL-C. The doubling of statin dose leads to additional LDL-C decrease by only 6%.

On the other side, the number of patients, achieving the target levels of LDL-C (at month 12: 35.7% with 10 mg ROSWERA® and 43.2% with 20 mg) was comparable to the percentage, reported by other authors, analyzing the lipid-lowering properties of rosuvastatin. Olsson et al. showed that rosuvastatin 10-40 mg could reduce TC and LDL-C levels by 34-65%, whereas Brown et al. demonstrated their decrease by 39-47% even with rosuvastatin 5-10 mg [5,17].

Elevated liver enzymes (AST and ALT), myopathy, rhabdomyolysis and new-onset diabetes are the main adverse events that could occur in the course of statin treatment. According to published data simvastatin and pravastatin appear to have the best safety profiles. Simvastatin was associated with a decreased likelihood of stopping treatment because of adverse events in head-to-head trials with atorvastatin – odds ratio (OR) 0.61, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.42-0.89 and rosuvastatin (OR 0.49, 95% CI 0.27-0.88) [15-19]. In drug-level network meta-analyses the odds of discontinuation were lower with pravastatin (OR 0.68, 95% CI 0.52-0.91) and simvastatin (OR 0.75, 95% CI 0.59-0.95) compared to atorvastatin [20-23].
In our study a very high tolerability to ROSWERA® was found – no adverse events were reported by the patients and their treating physicians. The levels of liver enzymes and CK remained practically unchanged (insignificant dynamics) in the course of treatment, no patients reported for myalgias and no cases of new-onset diabetes were diagnosed. Our results, regarding safety of rosuvastatin treatment are consistent with data from other clinical studies. Shepherd et al. [24] assessed safety and tolerability of rosuvastatin, using data from 16,876 patients from 33 clinical trials. Rosuvastatin 5–40 mg was associated with an adverse event profile similar to these one for atorvastatin 10-80 mg, simvastatin 10-80 mg and pravastatin 10-40 mg. Clinically significant elevations, i.e. > 3 times the upper limit of normal (ULN) in ALT were uncommon (≤ 0.2%) in rosuvastatin and comparator statin groups. Elevated CK > 10 x ULN occurred in ≤ 0.3% of patients, receiving rosuvastatin ≤ 40 mg or other statin [24].

García-Rodríguez et al. [25] analyzed mortality and the hospitalization rate for myopathy, rhabdomyolysis, acute renal failure (ARF) and acute liver injury in > 100,000 patients, treated with statins, of which > 10,000 were receiving rosuvastatin. No cases of myopathy, rhabdomyolysis or acute liver injury occurred among rosuvastatin users. There were 2 cases of ARF [25].

In our study the compliance to treatment with ROSWERA® is also very high. All patients continued the prescribed statin therapy without interruption for the follow-up period and 43 of them (95.6%) expressed their willingness to continue treatment with ROSWERA® further. Physicians, treating the patients in this study were asked about the necessity of intermediate dose of ROSWERA®. According to their answer 16 (35.6%) of the patients will benefit a dose of 15 mg daily and 2 (4.4%) of them - 30 mg daily to reach the target LDL-C level.

Finally, our study is small-sized, non-randomized and uncontrolled, i.e. we are restricted to make general conclusions about the entire population treated with this generic rosuvastatin. The 1-year results of patients treated with ROSWERA® and followed by us are promising and they imply the advantages of this new option of statin treatment for patients with high and very high CV risk. However further randomized and controlled clinical studies, including larger number of patients and head-to-head comparison with the original rosuvastatin RESTOR® are needed to elucidate the benefits of ROSWERA® in daily clinical practice.

Conclusion

The generic rosuvastatin ROSWERA® prescribed at dosage 10 mg and 20 mg proved to be safe and efficacious lipid-lowering agent. Based on the results of this non-randomized pilot clinical study treatment with this statin seems to be cost-effective option of the therapeutic approach to patients with high and very high cardiovascular risk.

Limitations

1. A placebo control group was not included since our study was directed to patients with high and very high cardiovascular risk. Statins are a major class of drugs with proven benefits for such patients (first-line medication in the guidelines) – the placebo controls would not definitely benefit in these circumstances.

2. A control cohort treated with another type of statin was also not included since our study is focused on examination of a new generic rosuvastatin, which had just been introduced in Bulgaria, i.e. we did not intend to compare the potency and safety of a rosuvastatin to another statin.

3. A control cohort, treated with original rosuvastatin RESTOR®, was not evaluated since we planned this study to be closest to the real clinical practice in Bulgaria. After being discharged from the hospital, the patients in our study provide the statin by themselves. The price of the RESTOR® is 4 times higher than the generic forms and this is the main reason for the most patients to stop treatment. If we had compared RESTOR to ROSWERA, the two groups would have been positioned at unequal financial conditions - this could have influenced the study results in terms of compliance to the treatment.
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