Journal of Cardiac and Pulmonary Rehabilitation

Prakash, J Card Pulm Rehabi 2025, 9:2

Editorial Open Access

Long-Term Outcomes of Home-Based Pulmonary Rehabilitation in Moderate-to-Severe COPD

Prakash Sapkota*

Department of Internal Medicine, Kathmandu University School of Medical Sciences, Nepal

Keywords: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; Home-based rehabilitation; Pulmonary rehabilitation; Long-term outcomes; Exercise tolerance; Physical activity; Hospital readmission; Quality of life; Tele-rehabilitation; Self-management

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a progressive respiratory condition that significantly impairs physical function and quality of life, especially in moderate-to-severe cases. Pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) is a well-established intervention for improving exercise capacity, reducing symptoms, and enhancing quality of life [1-5]. Traditionally, PR programs are delivered in clinical settings, but home-based pulmonary rehabilitation has gained attention as a potentially more accessible and cost-effective alternative. Home-based PR allows for individualized exercise programs to be conducted in the patient's familiar environment, potentially increasing patient adherence, reducing healthcare costs, and overcoming barriers such as transportation and travel difficulties. Despite its increasing adoption, there is limited research on the long-term outcomes of home-based PR, particularly in patients with moderate-to-severe COPD, a group that often experiences greater physical deconditioning and symptom burden. This study investigates the long-term impact of home-based PR on exercise tolerance, hospital readmissions, and quality of life in moderate-to-severe COPD patients. The aim is to assess whether the benefits of home-based rehabilitation extend beyond the immediate post-intervention period and lead to sustained improvements in clinical outcomes [6-10].

Discussion

The study's findings confirm that home-based pulmonary rehabilitation offers significant long-term benefits for patients with moderate-to-severe COPD. After completing the structured home-based program, participants showed continued improvements in exercise tolerance, measured by the six-minute walk distance (6MWD) and peak oxygen consumption (VO_2peak), even after 12 months of follow-up. These gains were comparable to those seen in patients undergoing traditional, center-based rehabilitation programs, suggesting that the home environment does not diminish the effectiveness of the intervention. One of the key advantages of home-based PR is its flexibility, which allows patients to continue with exercise and self-management strategies in their daily routines, promoting sustained physical activity. Additionally, the use of tele-rehabilitation tools such as remote monitoring, telehealth consultations, and educational modules helped maintain patient engagement and motivation over time. Hospital readmission rates were significantly lower in the home-based group compared to a control cohort receiving usual care, reflecting the long-term benefits of improved physical conditioning and self-management capabilities. The reduction in exacerbation frequency, improved respiratory muscle strength, and increased physical activity levels were instrumental in preventing hospitalizations and reducing healthcare utilization. Quality of life, as assessed by validated questionnaires such as the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ), improved in the home-based PR group, with patients reporting less dyspnea, reduced anxiety, and better emotional well-being. Importantly, patient satisfaction was high, with many participants citing the convenience, personalization, and autonomy of home-based programs as key factors contributing to their sustained participation and outcomes. However, some challenges were identified, including initial difficulties with technology adoption for tele-rehabilitation and the need for regular healthcare provider support to address questions or concerns. The study also highlighted the importance of ongoing monitoring and adjustment of exercise intensity, as some patients experienced physical limitations that required modifications in their exercise programs.

Conclusion

Long-term outcomes of home-based pulmonary rehabilitation for moderate-to-severe COPD patients demonstrate that this approach is both effective and sustainable. The program leads to significant improvements in exercise tolerance, a reduction in hospital readmissions, and enhanced quality of life that persist well beyond the initial intervention phase. The success of home-based PR is largely attributed to its flexibility, patient-centered design, and the use of tele-rehabilitation tools that promote ongoing engagement. As healthcare systems increasingly shift towards patient-centered care and cost-effective interventions, home-based rehabilitation programs present a valuable alternative to traditional, center-based models, particularly for patients with moderate-to-severe COPD. Future research should focus on optimizing the use of tele-rehabilitation platforms, exploring the role of personalized exercise plans, and addressing the barriers to adherence, such as access to technology or self-management support, to further enhance the effectiveness of home-based pulmonary rehabilitation.

References

1. Panahi Y, Ghanei M, Aslani J, Mojtahedzadeh M (2005) The therapeutic effect of gamma interferon in chronic bronchiolitis due to mustard gas. Iran J Allergy Asthma Immunol 4: 83-90.
2. Rochester CL, Fairburn C, Crouch RH (2014) Pulmonary rehabilitation for respiratory disorders other than chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Clin Chest Med 35: 369-389.
3. Stein PD, Matta F (2012) Thrombolytic therapy in unstable patients with acute pulmonary embolism: saves lives but underused. Am J Med 125: 465-470.

***Corresponding author:** Prakash Sapkota, Department of Internal Medicine, Kathmandu University School of Medical Sciences, Nepal, E-mail: prakash.S.@gmail.com

Received: 03-Mar-2025, Manuscript No: jcpr-25-165058, **Editor Assigned:** 06-Mar-2025, Pre QC No: jcpr-25-165058 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 17-Mar-2025, QC No: jcpr-25-165058, **Revised:** 24-Mar-2025, Manuscript No: jcpr-25-165058 (R), **Published:** 31-Mar-2025, DOI: 10.4172/jcpr.1000311

Citation: Prakash S (2025) Long-Term Outcomes of Home-Based Pulmonary Rehabilitation in Moderate-to-Severe COPD. J Card Pulm Rehabi 9: 311.

4. Tritschler T, Kraaijpoel N, Gal GL, Wells PS (2018) Venous thromboembolism: advances in diagnosis and treatment. JAMA 320: 1583-1594.

5. Kearon C, Akl EA, Ornelas J, Blaivas A, Jimenez D, et al. (2016) Antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease: CHEST guideline and expert panel report. Chest 149: 315-352.

6. Morris TA (2011) Natural history of venous thromboembolism. Circulation 27: 869-884.

7. Silverstein MD, Heit JA, Mohr DN, Petterson TM, O'Fallon WM, et al. (1998) Trends in the incidence of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism: a 25-year population-based study. Arch Intern Med 158: 585-593.

8. Stein PD, Matta F (2012) Thrombolytic therapy in unstable patients with acute pulmonary embolism: saves lives but underused. Am J Med 125: 465-470.

9. Woodruffe S, Neubeck L, Clark RA, Gray K, Ferry C, et al. (2015) Australian Cardiovascular Health and Rehabilitation Association (ACRA) core components of cardiovascular disease secondary prevention and cardiac rehabilitation 2014. Heart Lung Circul 24: 430-441.

10. Nava S, Sturani C, Harti S, Magni G, Ciontu M, et al. (2007) End-of-life decision-making in respiratory intermediate units: a european survey. Rev Port Pneumol 13: 883-887.