

Optimizing Feed Conversion Ratios in Poultry through Nutritional and Genetic Interventions

Joshua Kate Barlow*

Religion Programme, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

Keywords: Feed conversion ratio; Nutritional interventions; Genetic selection; Poultry production; Growth performance; Feed efficiency; Broiler genetics; Sustainable poultry farming

Introduction

Poultry production plays a pivotal role in meeting global protein demands, and feed efficiency is one of the most critical factors influencing the profitability and sustainability of this industry. Among performance indicators, the Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR)—the amount of feed required to gain a unit of body weight—is a key metric that directly impacts production costs and resource utilization [1-5]. As the cost of feed accounts for up to 70% of total poultry production expenses, improving FCR can significantly enhance economic returns and reduce environmental footprints. Over the years, both nutritional and genetic interventions have been recognized as effective strategies for optimizing FCR in poultry. Nutritional strategies focus on enhancing feed formulations and digestion, while genetic interventions involve selecting and breeding birds with superior growth and metabolic traits. This paper reviews how targeted improvements in nutrition and genetics can be synergized to maximize feed efficiency and improve the overall performance of poultry production systems [6-10].

Discussion

Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) optimization in poultry has become a primary goal of both poultry breeders and nutritionists. From a nutritional perspective, feed quality, nutrient balance, and digestibility are vital components affecting FCR. Precision feeding, including phase feeding and the use of highly digestible ingredients, helps meet the specific nutrient requirements of birds at different growth stages. Supplementation with enzymes such as phytase, protease, and carbohydrase improves nutrient availability by breaking down anti-nutritional factors and enhancing gut health. Additionally, feed additives like prebiotics, probiotics, organic acids, and essential oils are being explored to further enhance nutrient absorption, immune function, and gut microbial balance, all of which contribute to better FCR outcomes.

Protein and energy optimization in diets are particularly critical. Formulating diets based on digestible amino acids rather than crude protein ensures that birds receive the most efficient use of nutrients for muscle growth. The energy-to-protein ratio is carefully adjusted to avoid excess fat deposition and inefficient nutrient utilization. Feed particle size and physical form also affect gizzard development and digestive efficiency, with pelleted feeds often showing superior FCR compared to mash feeds.

On the genetic front, advances in selective breeding have led to significant improvements in poultry growth rates, carcass yield, and feed efficiency. Genetic selection programs target traits such as faster growth, better feed utilization, and improved gut morphology. Modern broilers, for example, exhibit FCR values nearly 50% lower than their counterparts from several decades ago due to intensive genetic improvement. Genomic tools such as marker-assisted selection and

genomic selection are now being employed to identify birds with genetic predisposition to efficient feed utilization, enabling more accurate and faster genetic progress.

However, the genetic drive for fast growth must be balanced with considerations of animal welfare and robustness. Rapidly growing birds are sometimes prone to metabolic disorders, skeletal issues, and immune suppression. Therefore, modern breeding programs aim to include health, welfare, and resilience traits in selection indices to ensure a holistic approach to FCR optimization.

Combining nutritional and genetic interventions offers a synergistic effect. Birds bred for high feed efficiency respond better to optimized diets, and customized feeding strategies can unlock the genetic potential of superior birds. Furthermore, technological advancements in precision farming, such as automated feeding systems and real-time monitoring of feed intake and body weight, allow producers to fine-tune management practices for maximum efficiency.

Despite the progress, challenges remain. Variability in raw material quality, environmental stressors such as heat, and disease pressures can offset gains in feed efficiency. As such, the future of FCR optimization lies in adaptive management strategies that incorporate real-time data, predictive analytics, and integrated farm management systems. Research into alternative feed sources, including insect protein and algal biomass, also holds promise for improving feed efficiency while promoting sustainability.

Conclusion

Optimizing Feed Conversion Ratios in poultry through nutritional and genetic interventions is a multifaceted approach that has shown remarkable success in enhancing productivity and sustainability in the poultry industry. Nutritional strategies, including precision feeding, enzyme supplementation, and functional additives, complement genetic selection efforts aimed at breeding birds with superior feed efficiency traits. Together, these interventions have significantly improved growth performance while reducing production costs and environmental impacts. Continued integration of advanced genomic tools, precision farming technologies, and sustainable feed resources will be key to future improvements. Ultimately, a balanced approach that aligns economic, environmental, and animal welfare objectives

***Corresponding author:** Joshua Kate Barlow, Religion Programme, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand E-mail: joshuakatebarlow23@gmail.com

Received: 01-May-2025, Manuscript No: jflp-25-166589, **Editor assigned:** 05-May-2025, PreQC No: jflp-25-166589 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 16-May-2025, QC No: jflp-25-166589, **Revised:** 21-May-2025, Manuscript No: jflp-25-166589 (R), **Published:** 28-May-2025, DOI: 10.4172/2332-2608.1000652

Citation: Joshua KB (2025) Optimizing Feed Conversion Ratios in Poultry through Nutritional and Genetic Interventions. J Fisheries Livest Prod 13: 652.

Copyright: © 2025 Joshua KB. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

will ensure the long-term success of poultry production systems in meeting global protein needs efficiently.

References

1. CSA (2022) Agricultural Sample Survey, Volume II report on livestock and livestock characteristics (private peasant holdings). Central Statistical Agency (CSA): Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
2. FAO (2010) Chicken genetic resources used in smallholder production systems and opportunities for their development. FAO Smallholder Poultry Production Paper NO. 5.
3. Solomon D (2007) Suitability of hay box brooding technology to the rural household poultry production system. Inter J Res Sust Develop World Agri CIPAV, Cali, Colombia.
4. Biazan A, Mengistu U, Negassi A, Getenet A, Solomon A, et al. (2019b) FAO Poultry Sector Ethiopia, Animal Production and Health Livestock Country Reviews. No. 11. Rome.
5. Shapiro BI, Gebru G, Desta S, Negassa A, Nigussie K, et al. (2015) Ethiopia livestock master plan. ILRI Project Report. Inter Live Res Inst (ILRI).
6. CSA (2020) Agricultural Sample Survey Volume II report on livestock and livestock characteristics (private peasant holdings). Central Statistical Agency (CSA): Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
7. Kumsa B, Beyecha K, Geloye M (2008) Ectoparasites of sheep in three agro-ecological zones in central Oromia, Ethiopia. Onderstepoort J Vet Res 79: 1-7.
8. Fitsum M, Aliy M (2014) Poultry Production System and Role of Poultry Production in Tigray Region, Northern Ethiopia: A Review. J Biol Agri Healthc 4: 27.
9. Solomon D (2007) Suitability of hay box brooding technology to the rural household poultry production system. Inter J Res Sust Develop World Agri CIPAV, Cali, Colombia.
10. CSA (2022) Agricultural Sample Survey, Volume II report on livestock and livestock characteristics (private peasant holdings). Central Statistical Agency (CSA): Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.