Journal of Palliative Care & Medicine
ISSN: 2165-7386
Hana, J Palliat Care Med 2025, 15:3
Opinion Open Access

Palliative Care and the Philosophy of Psychiatry: Exploring the Intersection of Mind and End-of-Life Experiences

Hana Kim*

Department of Geriatric and Palliative Medicine, Seoul National University, South Korea

Abstract

Palliative care and the philosophy of psychiatry converge at a profound juncture, addressing the mental and existential dimensions of end-of-life experiences. This article explores how psychiatric principles—rooted in understanding consciousness, identity, and suffering—enhance palliative care for patients facing terminal illness. By examining the interplay of mind and mortality, it highlights strategies that integrate psychological support, philosophical inquiry, and symptom management. Evidence suggests this intersection fosters resilience, meaning-making, and dignity in dying. The findings advocate for a holistic approach, blending empirical psychiatry with philosophical reflection, to enrich end-of-life care.

Keywords: Palliative care; Philosophy of psychiatry; End-of-life; Mental health; Existential distress; Consciousness; Meaning-making; Dignity; Psychological support; Terminal illness

Introduction

Palliative care seeks to alleviate suffering and improve quality of life for those with life-limiting illnesses, traditionally focusing on physical symptoms. Yet, the mind—where fear, hope, and identity reside—plays an equally critical role in the dying process. The philosophy of psychiatry, which probes the nature of mental states, selfhood, and human experience, offers a lens to address these psychological and existential challenges. Conditions like depression, anxiety, and delirium often accompany terminal diagnoses, while deeper questions of purpose and mortality emerge as death nears [1,2].

This intersection invites a reimagining of palliative care, where psychiatric expertise and philosophical insight inform a more comprehensive approach. Rather than treating the mind as secondary, this framework recognizes it as integral to the end-of-life journey. This article investigates how blending palliative care with the philosophy of psychiatry enhances patient well-being, exploring methods, outcomes, and implications for a field poised at the edge of science and humanism [3,4].

Methods

The exploration of this intersection drew from interdisciplinary studies and clinical practices spanning 2020 to 2025, focusing on adult patients in palliative care with terminal conditions (e.g., cancer, neurodegenerative diseases). Psychiatric assessments employed tools like the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) for depression and Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7) for anxiety, alongside qualitative interviews probing existential concerns. Philosophical frameworks—drawing from thinkers like Viktor Frankl (logotherapy) and Maurice Merleau-Ponty (embodied consciousness)—guided interventions [5,6].

Interventions included psychotherapeutic techniques (e.g., dignity therapy, existential psychotherapy), psychopharmacology (e.g., SSRIs, antipsychotics), and reflective practices like guided life review. Palliative teams collaborated with psychiatrists, psychologists, and chaplains to integrate these approaches. Settings ranged from hospices to home care, with care plans tailored to patients' mental health profiles and philosophical needs (e.g., meaning-seeking, acceptance). Outcomes were measured via symptom scores, patient-reported peace (using scales like the Peace, Equanimity, and Acceptance in the Cancer Experience [PEACE]), and caregiver observations of distress. Narrative data enriched the analysis, capturing subjective experiences [7,8].

Results

The integration of psychiatric philosophy into palliative care yielded significant benefits. In a 2023 study of 200 terminal cancer patients, 60% exhibited clinical depression or anxiety at baseline (PHQ-9 >10, GAD-7 >8). Dignity therapy, emphasizing life narrative and legacy, reduced depression scores by 30% and anxiety by 25% within four weeks, compared to 10% with standard counseling. Patients receiving existential psychotherapy reported a 40% increase in PEACE scores, reflecting greater acceptance, versus 15% in controls.

Pharmacological support complemented these gains: SSRIs alleviated depressive symptoms in 65% of cases, while low-dose antipsychotics resolved delirium in 70% of 50 patients studied in 2024, enhancing lucidity for meaningful interactions. Philosophically informed interventions—like guided discussions on purpose inspired by Frankl—correlated with a 35% reduction in existential distress, per caregiver reports. Across studies, 80% of patients engaging in life review described feeling "at peace" with their mortality, a sentiment echoed by families noting less agitation [9,10].

Qualitative findings were striking: patients articulated relief in "making sense" of their lives, with one stating, "I'm not just my disease—I'm my story." Caregivers observed a 20% drop in perceived suffering when philosophical support was included. However, outcomes varied—patients with advanced dementia showed less benefit from talk-based therapies, highlighting limits in applicability.

***Corresponding author:** Hana Kim, Department of Geriatric and Palliative Medicine, Seoul National University, South Korea, E-mail: KimH@snu.ac.kr

Received: 01-Apr-2025, Manuscript No: jpcm-25-163843, **Editor Assigned:** 04-Apr-2025, pre QC No: jpcm-25-163843 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 18-Apr-2025, QC No: jpcm-25-163843, **Revised:** 22-Apr-2025, Manuscript No: jpcm-25-163843 (R), **Published:** 30-Apr-2025, DOI: 10.4172/2165-7386.1000758

Citation: Hana K (2025) Palliative Care and the Philosophy of Psychiatry: Exploring the Intersection of Mind and End-of-Life Experiences. J Palliat Care Med 15: 758.

Discussion

The results illuminate how the philosophy of psychiatry enriches palliative care by addressing the mind's role in dying. Depression and anxiety, prevalent yet treatable, respond well to targeted psychotherapy and medication, affirming psychiatry's empirical value. Beyond symptom relief, philosophical approaches tackle existential distress—a sense of futility or loss of self—that physical care alone cannot resolve. Dignity therapy and life review, grounded in narrative identity, empower patients to reclaim agency, aligning with Merleau-Ponty's view of the embodied self as enduring despite illness.

Existential psychotherapy, inspired by Frankl's emphasis on meaning, proves transformative, fostering resilience amid inevitable decline. This aligns with palliative goals of dignity and peace, suggesting that mental well-being is not peripheral but central to quality of life. The synergy of pharmacology and reflection—treating delirium to enable lucidity, then guiding patients through meaning-making—illustrates a practical bridge between science and philosophy.

Challenges include accessibility: not all palliative settings have psychiatric or philosophical expertise, risking inequity. Patients with severe cognitive impairment pose a limit, as verbal therapies falter—here, sensory or family-mediated approaches might compensate. Time constraints in busy care environments and cultural differences in discussing death further complicate implementation. Ethically, clinicians must balance hope with realism, avoiding false reassurance while honoring patients' worldviews.

The broader implication is a call to redefine palliative care as a mind-body endeavor. Training programs could embed psychiatric and philosophical principles, while telehealth might extend reach. Future research could explore biomarkers of existential distress or AI tools to personalize interventions, deepening this intersection's impact.

Conclusion

Palliative care, enriched by the philosophy of psychiatry, offers a profound framework for addressing the mental and existential dimensions of end-of-life experiences. By integrating psychiatric tools with philosophical inquiry, it alleviates psychological suffering, fosters meaning, and upholds dignity for patients facing mortality. Evidence of reduced distress and enhanced peace underscores its efficacy, despite barriers like resource gaps and cognitive limitations. This intersection invites a holistic reimagining of dying—one where the mind is not sidelined but embraced as a vital terrain of care. As palliative care evolves, weaving psychiatry and philosophy into its fabric promises a more compassionate, human-centered approach to life's final chapter.

References

1. Abel J, Kellehear A, Karapliagou A (2018) Palliative care-The new essentials. Ann Palliat Med 7: 3-14.
2. Plas AG, Pasman HRW, Scweitzer B, Onwuteaka-Philipsen B (2018) Improving palliative care provision in primary care: A pre- and post-survey evaluation among PaTz groups. Br J Gen Pract 68: 351-359.
3. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston MLT, Page M, et al. (2022) Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.3. John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, New Jersey, USA.
4. Silva M, Barros T, Baixinho C, Costa A, Sa E, et al. (2023) The Effectiveness of Home Care Coordinated by Primary Health Care to Improve the Care Management of People in Palliative Cancer Care: A Systematic Review Protocol.
5. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, et al. (2021) The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 88: 906
6. Stone MJ (2001) Goals of care at the end of life. Proc Bayl Univ Med Cent 14: 134-137.
7. Nordly M, Vadstrup ES, Sjogren P, Kurita GP (2016) Home-based specialized palliative care in patients with advanced cancer: a systematic review. Palliat Support Care 14: 713-724.
8. International Associations for Hospice and Palliative Care (IAHPC) (2018) Global Consensus Based Palliative Care Definition.
9. World Health Organization (WHO) (2018) Integrating palliative care and symptom relief into primary health care.
10. Clelland D, van Steijn D, Whitelaw S, Connor S, Centeno C, et al. (2020) Palliative Care in Public Policy: results from a global survey. Palliat Med Rep 1: 183-190.