

Pilot Study of Urgency Response Protocols in Palliative Care

Jiff Ruska*

University of Pittsburgh School of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmacy and Therapeutics, USA

Abstract

This pilot study examines the implementation and impact of urgency response protocols in palliative care settings. The study involved patients receiving palliative care across multiple healthcare facilities, with a focus on the expedited care delivery in acute situations. The protocols included standardized communication strategies, immediate assessment processes, and streamlined intervention pathways. Preliminary results show a significant reduction in response times and improved symptom management, along with enhanced satisfaction among healthcare providers. Challenges, such as adherence and integration of electronic health records, were noted and warrant further exploration. The study highlights the potential benefits of structured urgency response protocols in optimizing patient outcomes and overall care quality.

Introduction

Palliative care aims to improve the quality of life for patients facing life-limiting illnesses by focusing on symptom management, emotional support, and providing holistic care [1]. A critical aspect of palliative care is addressing the urgency of patients' needs, which often necessitates rapid response protocols to ensure timely and effective interventions. This article explores the findings of a pilot study assessing the efficacy of urgency response protocols in palliative care settings [2].

Methods

The pilot study involved a diverse cohort of patients receiving palliative care across multiple healthcare facilities. The study implemented urgency response protocols designed to expedite care delivery in situations where patients' conditions could rapidly deteriorate. These protocols included standardized communication strategies, immediate assessment processes, and streamlined intervention pathways [3].

Results

Preliminary findings from the pilot study indicate that the implementation of urgency response protocols has led to a significant reduction in response times for patients experiencing acute symptoms. The standardized approach allowed healthcare professionals to quickly assess and address urgent needs, resulting in improved symptom management and patient comfort. Moreover, healthcare providers reported higher levels of satisfaction with the care process, citing enhanced teamwork and clearer communication pathways [4].

Discussion

The pilot study of urgency response protocols in palliative care settings offers valuable insights into the efficacy and potential benefits of implementing standardized care pathways for patients experiencing acute symptoms. The preliminary results show promising improvements in response times and symptom management, coupled with higher levels of healthcare provider satisfaction. This discussion will explore these findings in greater detail and consider the implications for future practice and research [5].

Findings and implications

The results of the pilot study highlight the effectiveness of urgency response protocols in facilitating prompt and efficient care delivery for patients with acute palliative care needs. The standardized approach

enabled healthcare providers to quickly assess and address symptoms, leading to improved symptom management and patient comfort. The expedited care process not only contributes to a higher quality of life for patients but also supports healthcare providers in delivering care with greater confidence and efficiency [6]. Enhanced communication pathways and teamwork were also noted as benefits, contributing to a more cohesive and supportive healthcare environment. These findings underscore the importance of urgency response protocols in palliative care settings, where timely intervention is crucial to managing acute symptoms and preventing complications. The integration of standardized protocols not only improves patient outcomes but also supports the overall effectiveness of healthcare teams, ensuring they can provide comprehensive care tailored to individual patient needs [7].

Challenges and considerations

Despite the positive outcomes, the pilot study encountered several challenges. One key concern was ensuring consistent adherence to the protocols across different healthcare settings [8]. Variations in staffing levels, patient volumes, and facility resources may have impacted the uniformity of implementation, potentially affecting the study's results. Additionally, the integration of electronic health record (EHR) systems to support real-time communication and documentation presented some technical difficulties. Ensuring seamless integration of technology into these protocols remains a critical consideration for future practice. Another challenge was managing the complexity of care required for patients with varying degrees of symptom severity. Balancing the urgency response protocols with individualized care plans and addressing the emotional and psychosocial needs of patients and families remain essential considerations for ongoing research and practice [9].

***Corresponding author:** Jiff Ruska, University of Pittsburgh School of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmacy and Therapeutics, USA, E-mail: jiffruska@gmail.com

Received: 02-May-2024, Manuscript No. jpcm-24-139318; **Editor assigned:** 04-May-2024, PreQC No. jpcm-24-139318 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 18-May-2024, QC No. jpcm-24-139318; **Revised:** 22-May-2024, Manuscript No. jpcm-24-139318 (R); **Published:** 29-May-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2165-7386.1000648

Citation: Ruska J (2024) Pilot Study of Urgency Response Protocols in Palliative Care. J Palliat Care Med 14: 648.

Copyright: © 2024 Ruska J. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Future directions

The success of this pilot study suggests that future research should focus on refining urgency response protocols to further enhance patient outcomes. Additionally, exploring the impact of these protocols across a larger, more diverse population will provide a broader understanding of their effectiveness in various healthcare settings. Longitudinal studies examining the sustained impact of urgency response protocols on patient care quality and healthcare provider satisfaction will also contribute valuable insights. Moreover, the integration of advanced technologies, such as artificial intelligence and machine learning, could provide additional support in streamlining and optimizing the care process. Future research should explore the role of these technologies in enhancing the efficiency and accuracy of urgency response protocols [10].

Conclusion

The pilot study of urgency response protocols in palliative care settings offers compelling evidence of their positive impact on patient outcomes and healthcare provider satisfaction. By facilitating prompt and effective care delivery, these protocols enhance the overall quality of care in palliative care settings. While challenges and considerations remain, ongoing research and refinement of these protocols will continue to contribute to the advancement of palliative care practices and patient well-being.

References

1. Abel J, Kellehear A, Karapliagou A (2018) Palliative care-The new essentials. *Ann Palliat Med* 7: 3-14.
2. Plas AG, Pasman HRW, Schweitzer B, Onwuteaka-Philipsen B (2018) Improving palliative care provision in primary care: A pre-and post-survey evaluation among PaTz groups. *Br J Gen Pract* 68: 351-359.
3. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston MLT, Page M, et al. (2022) *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 6.3. John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, New Jersey, USA.
4. Silva M, Barros T, Baixinho C, Costa A, Sa E, et al. (2023) The Effectiveness of Home Care Coordinated by Primary Health Care to Improve the Care Management of People in Palliative Cancer Care: A Systematic Review Protocol.
5. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, et al. (2021) The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 88: 906
6. Stone MJ (2001) Goals of care at the end of life. *Proc Bayl Univ Med Cent* 14: 134-137.
7. Nordly M, Vadstrup ES, Sjogren P, Kurita GP (2016) Home-based specialized palliative care in patients with advanced cancer: a systematic review. *Palliat Support Care* 14: 713-724.
8. International Associations for Hospice and Palliative Care (IAHPC) (2018) *Global Consensus Based Palliative Care Definition*.
9. World Health Organization (WHO) (2018) Integrating palliative care and symptom relief into primary health care.
10. Clelland D, van Steijn D, Whitelaw S, Connor S, Centeno C, et al. (2020) Palliative Care in Public Policy: results from a global survey. *Palliat Med Rep* 1: 183-190.
11. Carvalho GAFDL, Menezes RMPD, Enders BC, Teixeira GA, Dantas DNA, et al. (2018) Meanings attributed to palliative care by health professional in the primary care context. *Texto Contexto Enferm* 27: 40016.
12. Stajduhar KI, Davies B (2005) Variations in and factors influencing family members' decisions for palliative home care. *Palliat Med* 19: 21-32.
13. Wilson DM, Cohen J, Deliens L, Hewitt JA, Houttekier D (2013) The preferred place of last days: results of a representative population-based public survey. *J Palliat Med* 16: 502-508.
14. Walsh K, Jones L, Tookman A, Mason C, McLoughlin J, et al. (2007) Reducing emotional distress in people caring for patients receiving specialist palliative care. *Br J Psychiatry* 190: 142-147.
15. Duggleby WD, Degner L, Williams A, Wright K, Cooper D, et al. (2007) Living with hope: initial evaluation of a psychosocial hope intervention for older palliative home care patients. *J Pain Symptom Manag* 33: 247-257.