Journal of Community Medicine & Health Education

ISSN: 2161-0711

Bernard JL, J Community Med Health Educ 2025, 15:1

Perspective Open Access

Preventive Care as a Structural Right: Reversing the Curative Bias in Low-Income Communities

Jean-Luc Bernard*

Department of Health Education, Université de Paris, Paris, France

***Corresponding author:** Jean-Luc Bernard, Department of Health Education, Université de Paris, Paris, France, E-mail: jlbernard@u-paris.fr

Received: 29-Jan-2025, Manuscript No. JCMHE-25-165774; **Editor assigned:** 31-Jan-2025, PreQC No. JCMHE-25-165774 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 14-Feb-2025, QC No. JCMHE-25-165774; **Revised:** 21-Feb-2025, Manuscript No. JCMHE-25-165774 (R); **Published: 28**-Feb-2025, DOI: 10.4172/2161-0711.1000918

Citation: Bernard JL (2025) Preventive Care as a Structural Right: Reversing the Curative Bias in Low-Income Communities. J Community Med Health Educ 15:918.

Description

Income inequality is an enduring determinant of health disparities around the world. Despite advances in medical science and public health, individuals from lower-income groups continue to face higher rates of disease, disability and premature mortality compared to their wealthier counterparts. This enduring gap stems not only from socioeconomic conditions but also from a fundamental imbalance in healthcare delivery: The overreliance on curative medicine at the expense of preventive care, especially among economically disadvantaged populations.

Preventive medicine through interventions like vaccinations, routine screenings, health counseling and public education aims to intercept disease before it begins or progresses. Curative medicine, in contrast, intervenes after the onset of disease, focusing on diagnosis, treatment and rehabilitation. Both are necessary, but their accessibility and impact diverge significantly when analyzed through an income lens.

For individuals in higher-income brackets, preventive care is often readily accessible and integrated into their routine lives. These populations generally benefit from stable health insurance, paid time off, access to primary care providers and greater health literacy. As a result, they are more likely to receive timely vaccinations, cancer screenings and cardiovascular risk assessments. Preventive care in this context becomes a proactive lifestyle choice, enabling better long-term outcomes and lowering the need for emergency or intensive curative interventions.

In contrast, lower-income populations face significant structural and systemic barriers that limit their access to preventive services. These may include lack of health insurance, inflexible working hours, transportation difficulties, or shortages of healthcare providers in underserved areas. Consequently, individuals in these communities often delay or forego preventive care, only seeking medical help when conditions have advanced and require urgent or aggressive treatment. This pattern not only exacerbates health outcomes but also imposes greater financial strain on both patients and the healthcare system.

Moreover, the way healthcare systems are structured frequently amplifies this disparity. Many systems, particularly in high-income countries, allocate more funding and reimbursement toward specialist care, surgical procedures and hospital stays attributes of curative medicine rather than toward preventive strategies. This reinforces a reactive model of healthcare delivery, particularly in marginalized communities where preventive efforts are least accessible yet most needed. Even when preventive services are available, cultural barriers, mistrust in the healthcare system and low health literacy can inhibit their use, further widening the gap in outcomes between income groups.

The consequences are stark. Lower-income populations exhibit higher rates of chronic conditions like diabetes, hypertension, obesity and mental health disorders. These conditions are often diagnosed late, when treatment becomes more complex, less effective and more costly. The burden of these diseases reduces work productivity, increases dependency and perpetuates the cycle of poverty and poor health. Meanwhile, affluent groups benefit from early interventions that preserve health and reduce long-term healthcare costs.

To address this imbalance, a shift in health policy, funding priorities and community engagement is critical. Investment in community-based preventive health services such as mobile clinics, neighborhood health centers and school-based health programs can help bridge the gap in access. Telemedicine, when implemented equitably, offers another method for delivering preventive care to underserved regions. Public-private partnerships can be leveraged to expand health outreach and build sustainable prevention infrastructures.

Insurance systems also need to be redesigned to incentivize preventive care. This includes eliminating copays for routine screenings, rewarding primary care visits with lower premiums and integrating social determinants of health into coverage decisions. Prevention must be treated not as a secondary concern but as a cost-saving, life-saving core strategy in health financing. Equally important is community engagement and health education. Public health campaigns must be culturally relevant and linguistically accessible to reach diverse populations. Health literacy efforts should go beyond mere information-sharing to address structural barriers, social stigma and behavioral patterns. Empowering communities to take charge of their health through knowledge, support and accessible services can transform the role of prevention from privilege to norm.

In conclusion, income-based health disparities are intimately linked to how healthcare systems prioritize prevention versus cure. As long as preventive care remains underutilized among low-income groups, curative medicine will dominate, reinforcing a cycle of reactive treatment and poor outcomes. A more equitable future demands the deliberate elevation of preventive medicine through better policy, funding, education and access particularly for those most at risk. Prevention must not be an afterthought nor a luxury; it is a right and its equitable delivery is essential to breaking the chain of health inequity.