



Psychological or Mental hostility by a current or previous intimate partner

Isabel Figueiredo*

Department of Psychiatric Acute, Oslo University Hospital, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo, Norway

Abstract

The Hierarchical Taxonomy of Psychopathology (HiTOP) consortium has developed a comprehensive, hierarchical, and dimensional model of symptoms and syndromes on the basis of this evidence. In the HiTOP model, correlated syndromes are explained by overarching subfactors, and similar symptoms are grouped into syndromes (disorders) based on their natural covariation. These subfactors are also combined to form spectra, which represent psychopathology's higher-order dimensions. Besides, the HiTOP model features the layered appraisal of psychopathology. Measurements of constructs with unclear boundaries and information beyond the distinction between disorder presence and absence are particularly well served by dimensional models. Rather than relying on diagnostic categories, examining a disorder's symptoms may yield incremental information that is more reliable.

Keywords: Hierarchical Taxonomy of Psychopathology; Psychological; Humiliation; Cyberstalking

Introduction

Psychological or mental hostility in the context of an intimate partner relationship refers to the use of manipulative tactics, verbal abuse, emotional manipulation, and coercive control to assert power and control over the other person. It involves behaviors that undermine the victim's self-esteem, sense of worth, and overall psychological well-being. This form of hostility can manifest in various ways, including:

Verbal and emotional abuse: This includes insults, humiliation, constant criticism, name-calling, and belittling the victim [1]. The abuser may use derogatory language or engage in gaslighting, where they manipulate the victim into questioning their own perceptions, memories, and sanity. The abuser may try to isolate the victim from their friends, family, and support networks. They may discourage or prevent the victim from engaging in social activities or cut off their contact with others, making them dependent on the abuser for their emotional well-being [2].

Control and manipulation: The abuser exercises control over the victim's life, making decisions for them, and restricting their autonomy. They may monitor their activities, invade their privacy, and use manipulative tactics to get their way [3].

Threats and intimidation: The abuser may use threats of physical harm, harm to loved ones, or threats of self-harm to maintain control over the victim. They may also engage in stalking or cyberstalking behaviors to intimidate and instill fear in the victim [4].

Financial abuse: This involves controlling the victim's finances, restricting access to money, or sabotaging their employment or financial stability. The abuser may also create dependency by withholding financial resources or making the victim financially reliant on them. Psychological or mental hostility can have severe and long-lasting effects on the victim's mental health, leading to anxiety, depression, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and other psychological disorders. It is essential for individuals experiencing such hostility to seek support from trusted friends, family, or professionals who can provide assistance and help them develop a safety plan to escape the abusive relationship [5].

The Role of Intimate Partner Violence in the Development of Depression and Anxiety:

Both mental and actual hostility in personal connections influence psychological well-being, including risk for wretchedness and tension, as well as posttraumatic stress jumble (PTSD). There is a consistent positive association between IPV and depression and anxiety symptoms in existing research on the effects of intimate partner aggression on women. During pregnancy and the postpartum period, the risk of maternal depression and anxiety is already higher, and pregnant women who experience IPV are 2.5 times more likely than those who do not to experience depressive symptoms. In point of fact, clinically significant levels of depression are found in as many as 46% of pregnant women who experience IPV. Research likewise features the significance of considering the sort of IPV unfurling several connections. In people group tests, mental animosity is extraordinarily connected with emotional wellness challenges (e.g., burdensome and tension side effects) and relationship disappointment while controlling for actual hostility. Psychological aggression, the most common form of IPV during pregnancy, has been shown to be harmful to pregnant women, according to research [6].

Using a Hierarchical Model to Understand How Disorders Are Internalized:

In both the general population and the perinatal population, well-documented comorbidity among mood and anxiety disorders can be found. A hierarchical model appears to be the most effective organizing framework for comprehending psychopathology in studies of multivariate models of the relationships among psychological disorders [7].

Material and Methods

*Corresponding author: Isabel Figueiredo, Department of Psychiatric Acute, Oslo University Hospital, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo, Norway, E-mail: Isabel.figueiredo@gmail.com

Received: 02-Feb-2023, Manuscript No: gnfs-23-102230; **Editor assigned:** 06-Feb-2023, Pre QC No. gnfs-23-102230 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 20-Feb-2023, QC No. gnfs-23-102230; **Revised:** 21-Feb-2023, Manuscript No. gnfs-23-102230 (R); **Published:** 28-Feb-2023, DOI: 10.4172/2572-0899.1000214

Citation: Figueiredo I (2023) Psychological or Mental hostility by a current or previous intimate partner. Glob J Nurs Forensic Stud, 7: 214.

Copyright: © 2023 Figueiredo I. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Research Design:

- Select an appropriate research design, such as cross-sectional studies, longitudinal studies, or qualitative research methods.
- Ensure that the research is conducted ethically and with sensitivity to the well-being and privacy of participants.
- Obtain informed consent from participants and maintain confidentiality [8].

Sampling:

- Define the target population (e.g., individuals who have experienced psychological or mental hostility from an intimate partner).
- Determine the sampling method (e.g., random sampling, convenience sampling) based on the research design and available resources.

Data Collection:

- Decide on the data collection instruments, such as questionnaires, interviews, or observations, depending on the research objectives.
- Develop or select validated measurement tools to assess psychological hostility, such as scales measuring emotional abuse, coercive control, or psychological manipulation.
- Pilot test the instruments to ensure their reliability and validity.
- Administer the instruments to the selected sample of participants.

Data Analysis:

- Clean and organize the collected data.
- Analyze the data using appropriate statistical or qualitative analysis techniques based on the research design and research questions.
- Identify patterns, trends, and relationships in the data [9].

Interpretation and Discussion:

- Interpret the findings in light of the research objectives and relevant theoretical frameworks.
- Discuss the implications of the findings, including their significance in understanding psychological or mental hostility in intimate partner relationships.
- Address the limitations of the study and suggest areas for further research.

Conclusion

According to the findings of this study, women's and their partners' levels of negative affectivity during pregnancy were linked to higher rates of psychological and physical aggression during arguments between intimate partners. Nonetheless, just mental hostility showed gradual expectation, proposing that contentions described by raised voices and abuses may be especially unsafe to perinatal psychological well-being in spite of the possibility to minimize the results of these ways of behaving comparative with truly forceful demonstrations. In addition, by identifying the distinctive symptom manifestations associated with IPV, our application of a hierarchical model of internalizing disorders extends prior research that primarily focused on perinatal depression. Pregnant women may be particularly vulnerable to experiencing traumatic distress, which may be exacerbated by childbirth, highlighting the need for practitioners to routinely screen for avoidance and intrusions related to previous trauma [10]. Lastly, IPV-associated symptom elevations were observed not only in women but also in their partners. As a result, the findings emphasize the significance of couple-level interventions that take into account not only the mental health of both partners in dual-parenting households but also healthy relational dynamics during pregnancy (like the Family Foundations Program, for example).

Acknowledgement

None

References

1. Garcia-Moreno C, Jansen HA, Ellsberg M, Heise L, Watts CH (2006) Prevalence of intimate partner violence: Findings from the WHO multi-country study on women's health and domestic violence. *Lancet* 368:1260-1269.
2. Lawrence E, Yoon J, Langer A, Ro E (2009) Is psychological aggression as detrimental as physical aggression? The independent effects of psychological aggression on depression and anxiety symptoms. *Violence Vict* 24:20-35.
3. Nathanson AM, Shorey RC, Tirone V, Rhatigan DL (2012) The prevalence of mental health disorders in a sample of female victims of intimate partner violence. *Partn Abus* 3:59-75.
4. Davis EP, Narayan AJ (2020) Pregnancy as a period of risk, adaptation, and resilience for mothers and infants. *Dev Psychopathol* 32:1625-1639.
5. Bailey BA, Daugherty RA (2007) Intimate partner violence during pregnancy: Incidence and associated health behaviors in a rural population. *Matern Child Health J* 11:495-503.
6. Mojahed A, Alaidarous N, Kopp M, Pogarell A, Thiel F, et al. (2021) Prevalence of intimate partner violence among intimate partners during the perinatal period: A narrative literature review. *Front Psychiatry* 12:601236.
7. Fairbrother N, Janssen P, Antony MM, Tucker E, Young AH (2016) Perinatal anxiety disorder prevalence and incidence. *J Affect Disord* 200:148-155.
8. O'Hara MW, McCabe JE (2013) Postpartum depression: Current status and future directions. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* 9:379-407.
9. Alhusen JL, Ray E, Sharps P, Bullock L (2015) Intimate partner violence during pregnancy: Maternal and neonatal outcomes. *J Women's Health* 24:100-106.
10. Castro RTA, Anderman CP, Glover V, O'Connor TG, Ehler U, et al. (2017) Associated symptoms of depression: Patterns of change during pregnancy. *Arch Women's Health* 20:123-128.