



## **Psychotropic Pro Re Nata (Prn) Medications: A Critical Comparative Inquiry**

**Abraham Rudnick**

Professor of Psychiatry, Northern Ontario School of Medicine, Lakehead University, Thunder Bay, Canada

**Email:** harudnick@hotmail.com

### **Introduction**

Psychotropic like require or pro re nata (prn) medications, especially antipsychotics and benzodiazepines, are commonly advise, which is not evidence-based. This paper aims to suggest a set of answers to the question why is this practice common. A critical modified inquiry approach and conceptual analysis are used, addressing psychopharmacology (psychotropic medications), neurostimulation/ neuromodulation, psychotherapy and psychiatric rehabilitation as the four main types of clinical intervention in immediate psychiatry. Key findings are that psychotropic prn medications may be commonly prescribed primarily due to coercive behavior towards people with psychosis in the case of antipsychotics and due to insufficient access to evidence-based psychosocial interventions such as cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) in the case of Benzodiazapines. This paper is limited by the dearth of rigorous evidence published in relation to psychotropic prn medications. Psychotropic prn medication may be prescribed for unacceptable reasons. Rigorous research is needed to study reasons for prescribing psychotropic prn medications as well as their cost-benefit, preferably using well-controlled balance with psychosocial (and other) evidence-based interventions such as CBT.

Psychotropic medications are frequently prescribed ad hoc or as needed, technically termed pro re nata (prn). These prn medications are either provided off label for indications for which they have not been shown to be effective and hence have not been formally approved for, or they are provided as formally approved for selective indications. A prime example of the first type of practice is that of antipsychotic prn prescriptions, which are often provided for anxiety caused by various clinical conditions, such as delirium and psychosis related to schizophrenia for which this practice has been shown to be dangerous. A prime example of the second type of practice is that of benzodiazepine prn prescriptions, of which those with relatively short half-lives such as lorazepam are indicated for use in real time for some anxiety symptoms such as panic attacks, even if their safety as well as effectiveness for this indication is controversial. Benzodiazepine prns are also often provided off label for agitation (indeed, it is not uncommon to prescribe an antipsychotic together with a benzodiazepine for such agitation), which has been shown to be unsafe in some situations such as when provided for delirium .

Why the confirmed unsafe and often unsuccessful practice of prescribing antipsychotics and benzodiazepines prn common? This paper aims to submit a set of answers to this question, in order to facilitate more inquiry into this matter and hence confidently increase the safety and effectiveness

of psychotropic medication – particularly antipsychotic and Benzodiazapine – use. A critically minded approach that does not assume that current practice is optimal is needed in this context, and comparative inquiry is arguably necessary for any research. Hence, a critical comparative inquiry approach is used here, addressing psychopharmacology (psychotropic medications),neurostimulation, psychotherapy and psychiatric rehabilitation as the four main types of clinical intervention in contemporary psychiatry. Conceptual analysis is used to break down the principal question into related sub-questions that ease the suggestion of answers .

First, is a prn approach used in the three other main types of clinical intervention as well as for other psychotropic medications? All effective neuro stimulation/neuromodulation interventions, such as electroconvulsive therapy for severe depression and deep brain stimulation for refractory obsessive compulsive disorder, do not use a prn approach. All evidence-based psychotherapies, such as cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) for anxiety or depression and dialectical behavioral therapy for borderline personality disorder, do not use a prn approach. And psychiatric rehabilitation best practices, such as high fidelity supported employment for people with a psychiatric disorder and manualized multi-family psychoeducation for people with schizophrenia, do not use a prn approach.

Second, are there pertinent similarities and differences between antipsychotic prn and benzodiazepine prn practices? A conspicuous similarity is their common use for agitation, which seems to be related to their generic – sedative – effects rather than to their more exclusive effects – antipsychotic and anxiolytic, respectively. As written above, this is not a safe practice for some conditions that it is used for. A conspicuous difference is the use of such prn practices (particularly antipsychotics) for people with psychosis to subdue their behavior when it is considered disruptive, compared to the use of such prn practices (specifically benzodiazapines) to alleviate suffering of people with anxiety symptoms in real time such as for panic attacks. Subduing what is considered disruptive behavior with prn medication that is unsafe for them can be review part of the more normally coercive behavior towards people with psychosis such as schizophrenia. Alleviating suffering in real time with prn medications when there are safer and more effective interventions to use for that such as CBT can be considered part of the more general situation to date of insufficient access to evidence-based psychosocial interventions.

Key findings of this investigation are that psychotropic prn medications may be commonly prescribed primarily due to coercive behavior towards people with psychosis in the case

of antipsychotics and due to insufficient access to evidence-based psychosocial interventions such as cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) in the case of Benzodiazepines. Hence, moral distress of service users, providers and other parties involved may be generated due to these practices, which are unsafe as well as ineffective in many instances [10]. Such moral distress is an additional negative consequence that may result from use of these practices, although it may produce positive action such as policy and practice remediation in response. Of note is that this paper is limited by the shortage of rigorous evidence published in relation to psychotropic prn medications. To conclude, psychotropic - antipsychotic and Benzodiazepine - prn medications may be prescribed for unacceptable reasons.

Rigorous research is needed to study reasons for prescribing these psychotropic prn medications as well as their cost/benefit, by choice using well-controlled head-to-head and

**Keywords:** Antipsychotics; Benzodiazepines; Coercion; Prn; Psychotropic medications

