

Reliability of Core Needle Biopsy in Diaqnosis of Malignant Bone Tumours

Hassan Elbahri^{*}

Department of orthopaedic oncology, International University of Africa (IUA), Khartoum- Sudan.

*Correspondence to: Hassan Elbahri, Department of orthopaedic oncology, International University of Africa (IUA), Khartoum- Sudan, Tel: +249912224183; Email: hssnbahari0@gmail.com

Received date: August 03, 2021; Accepted date: August 17, 2021; Published date: August 24, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Elbahri H. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Background: Compared to open biopsy core needle biopsy is less invasive technique with fewer complications; however its reliabity in reaching accurate diagnosis is still questionable. The aim of this study is to evaluate the accuracy of core needle biopsy in diagnosis of malignant bone tumours.

Material and Method: This is a retrospective analytical cross sectional study on 152 patients underwent core needle biopsy and followed by definitive surgery during the period of 2016- 2017 at Ibrahim Malik Teaching Hospital, Khartoum, Sudan. The needle biopsies were assessed for sensitivity, specificity, predictive positive value, and predictive negative value.

Results: A final diagnosis was not reached in 7/152 patients (4.7%) with an overall sensitivity of 96.2 % (104\108), and specificity of 93.1% (41\44), positive predictive value of 97.1% (104\107), negative predictive value of 91.1% (41\45). No complications due to core needle biopsy were noted.

Conclusion: Core needle biopsy is a reliable method for reaching the final diagnosis in malignant bone tumours with fewer complications. It could be adopted confidently in clinical practice instead of the open biopsy method.

Keywords: Core needle biopsy; Malignant bone tumour; Reliability of biopsy diagnosis; Sensitivity of core needles; Specificity of core needle; Complications of biops

Introduction

In musculoskeletal oncology biopsy is considered essential for the accurate diagnosis. Management of malignant bone sarcoma requires histologic typing and the degree of tumour differentiation.

Biopsy is usually taken after completion of clinical and radiological assessment. Open biopsy was previously considered the gold standard procedure to get enough adequate representative tissue for histopathological assessment [1].

In our local practice, most of orthopaedic surgeons usually take open biopsies with the presumption that open biopsy does not provide adequate tissue for histological diagnosis.

In 2013 we established the first specialized musculoskeletal oncology unit in Khartoum Teaching Hospital, Khartoum, Sudan. Adequate training of residents on the technique of needle biopsy was undertaken [2].

In this study, we assessed the reliabity of core needle biopsy method in reaching the accurate diagnosis for malignant bone tumours.

Materials and Methods

This is a retrospective analytical cross sectional hospital based study on patients' records during the period of 2016- 2017 at Ibrahim Malik Teaching Hospital orthopaedic oncology unit and Histo center lab records.

All patients who diagnosed as bone tumours based on needle biopsy result were considered part of this study [3].

The biopsy technique was well standardized since it was carried out by the same orthopaedic oncology team throughout the study period.

The chosen anatomical site of biopsy was dictated by the future plan for the definitive surgery.

The procedures were performed under general, spinal, regional or sometimes local anaesthesia. Fluoroscopy used when indicated. Jamshidi needle size (8, 11 gauge) was used and 3-5 cores from different sites of the bone lesion were taken. Figure (1, 2) [4].



Figure 1: Jamshidi needle.



Figure 2:Multiple cores gained by core needle.

The proper handling of the sampled tissue was ensured to avoid crush artifacts. The sample fix by 10% buffered formalin to allow further ancillary immunohistochemistry studies. The labeled sample attached to request form which emphasis on important key points in history and examination. The needed images sent to the lab attached with the tissue sample [5].

Records of 213 patients' biopsy samples form Jan 2016- Dec 2017 at Ibrahim Malik Teaching Hospital and Histo center lab were

reviewed. The inclusion criterion was: all core needle samples of malignant and benign tumours which had another available documented biopsy result from the definitive surgery within the same study period. The definitive surgery diagnosis considered the accurate one due to large tissue sample volume. Only 160 samples were met the inclusion criterion. Eight of them were excluded, because the sites of core needle samples were not matching the definitive surgery one.

Sensitivity was defined as the proportion of people who have the malignant bone sarcoma who have positive needle biopsy results, while specificity was defined as the proportion of patients who don't have the malignant bone sarcoma who have negative needle biopsy results. Biopsies results assessed for the sensitivity and specifity in order to answer the study question regarding the reliability of closed method of core needle biopsies. We studied the result of the core needle biopsy using the results obtained during the definitive surgery for the same patient; such as curettage and resection as reference for open biopsy and the final diagnosis. Moreover, the procedure of core needle was tested for predictive positive value (PPV) and the predictive negative value (PNV).

Results

Assessment included 152 patients, 98 were Male and 54 were females. The age ranged between 6 and 64 years (The mean age was 24.6+_ 13.08 Standard deviation). The most common anatomical location for bone tumour biopsy was distal femur, which accounts (54\152) 35.5%, while scapula was considered the less frequent with (4\152) 2.6%.

The biopsy result of final diagnosis showed 108 malignant bone tumours 71%, while there were 44 benign lesions. The most encountered common diagnosis of the malignant bone disease was osteosarcoma 43.5 % (47\108), while the most diagnosis among the benign was osteochondroma 18.1% (8\44). There were no complications recorded with all core needle biopsy procedures. Infection not only wasn't reported but also the wound incision healed adequately. Hospital stay was 2-6 hours postoperatively. Closed method of core needle biopsy showed a positive predictive value of 97.1% (104\107), a negative predictive value of 91.1% (41\45), a sensitivity of 96.2 % (104\108), and a specificity of 93.1% (41\44).

In this study, the core needle results were matched the final histological results in 95.3% (145/152) of biopsied tumours, which represent the diagnostic accuracy for benign and malignant cases. Despite of high percentage of matching results, 7 core biopsy results did not match the final histological results; 4 were considered non-malignant benign and one infection) but turned out to be malignant. More 3 cases considered as malignant but turned out to be benign.

In fact, the 152 studied core needle biopsies were reported as adequate core samples. The chunk of tissue provided by core needle biopsy were -when indicated- subjected to immunohistochestry stains and special tests in order to comment on tumor architecture, interrelation of its cells, nature of neoplasm (benign/malignant), subtype and to reach the accurate diagnosis.

Discussion

Open biopsy carries many complications and risks. It has longer postoperative hospital stay compared to the closed method of biopsy. The possible risk of surgical wound infection in open biopsy may lead to unintended delay for receiving the neo-adjuvant chemotherapy in malignant bone sarcoma. The main purpose of giving the neo adjuvant chemotherapy is to control and kill the blood micro metastasis, thus delay had a significant impact on the overall survival and recurrence rate. Moreover, complication such as seeding of tumour cells in surrounding tissue and hematoma may be encountered in open biopsy technique. Complications rate of an open biopsy is reported in literature to be 8%-16%.

Many publications have assessed the reliability of use of closed method of core needle biopsy compared to open method based on calculation of sensitivity and specificity, Predictive Positive Value (PPV) and Predictive Negative Value. Sarcomas of the bone are relatively rare tumors and account for less than 1% of all malignant tumors. The protocol for management of malignant bone tumour is completely different from benign one and more different among malignant types and subtypes. Thus, reaching non-skeptical, accurate diagnosis within suitable time frame is a corner stone that directly reflected on better prognosis and high possibility to achieve limb salvage procedure. In this study the numbers of the malignant diagnosed cases were equivalent of about more than two and half times like benign tumor . This is in contrary to the prevalence of bone tumour in literature, in which the benign cases are almost 100 times more common as compared to the malignant tumours. The true frequency of musculoskeletal neoplasms is difficult to be estimate in this 152 case study because most of the benign neoplasms are not treated or dealt with by general orthopaedic surgeon elsewhere. The specialized units most likely received the more advanced cases; hence the frequency of malignant is more than the benign in our study.

The sensitivity and specificity of core needle biopsy in this study are corresponds to what is published in literature. Sensitivity was Page 3 of 3

96.2% compared to range (82%- 97%), while the specificity 93.1% compared to (88-100%).

Conclusion

Core needle biopsy could be adopted as standard method for biopsy, in view of its high sensitivity, specifity, predictive positive value and predictive negative value. Last not least, easy to perform provide enough tissue for further ancillary studies, and of lesser chance for local complications. Core needle biopsy is a reliable method in reaching final diagnosis in malignant bone tumours.

References

- 1. Bickels J, Jelinek JS, Shmookler BM, Neff RS, Malawer MM, et al. (1999) Biopsy of musculoskeletal tumors current concepts. Clin Orthop Relat Res 368:212–219.
- 2.Kaur I, Handa U, Kundu R, Garg SK, Mohan H, et al. (2016) Role of fine-needle aspiration cytology and core needle biopsy in diagnosing musculoskeletal neoplasms. J Cytol 33:7-12.
- 3. 3.Pohlig F, Kirchhoff C, Gradinger R, von Eisenhart-Rothe R, Rechl H, et al. (2012) Percutaneous core needle biopsy versus open biopsy in diagnostics of bone and soft tissue sarcoma: a retrospective study. E J Med Res 17.
- 4. Bennert KW, Abdul-Karim FW (1994) Fine needle aspiration cytology vs. needle core biopsy of soft tissue tumors: A comparison. Acta Cytol 38:381-438.
- 5. 5.Leffler SG, Chew FS (1999) CT-guided percutaneous biopsy of sclerotic bone lesions: diagnostic yield and accuracy. AJR Am JRoentgenol 172:1389-92.