

Social Support with Parent and Child Mental Health during COVID-19

Setsuki Congo*

Department of Psychiatry, Nairobi University, Kenya

Abstract

During COVID-19, the rise in mental health issues has been referred to as a national crisis. Stress, anxiety, and depression among parents and caregivers may be exacerbated by economic uncertainty. In the early weeks of COVID-19, this study examined the relationship between food insecurity and material social support and subsequent mental health outcomes for parents and children using longitudinal data. At two time points, data were gathered from a national convenience sample of 359 parents in the United States: 14 April 2020 (T1) and 30 April 2020. Information were broke down utilizing multivariate direct and strategic relapse examinations. The majority of the sample (67.5%) were mothers and identified as White. Over half (51.4%) of parents who qualified for services were unable to receive free or reduced-price school lunch. In addition to increased parenting stress ($= 0.16, p = .008$) and parental report of child anxiety ($= 0.15, p = .014$), food insecurity at T1 was significantly associated with parental anxiety ($OR = 1.52, p .001$) and depression ($OR = 1.63, p .001$).

Keywords: Mental health; Social support

Introduction

On the other hand, material social support was significantly linked to a lower risk of parental anxiety ($OR = 0.90, p = .014$), depression ($OR = 0.85, p .001$), as well as a lower level of parenting stress ($OR = -0.20, p = .001$) and parental anxiety about their children ($OR = -0.13, p = .028$). According to the findings, food insecurity may increase the likelihood that parents and children will experience mental health issues during COVID-19. However, it may be beneficial to the mental health of both the parent and the child to have access to tangible resources that provide material or financial support. Concentrate on results recommend that arrangement mediations are expected to help the monetary prosperity of families during Coronavirus.

Numerous studies show that stress-related mental health issues increased during COVID-19. According to data from the nationally representative Household Pulse Survey conducted by the U.S. Census, adult anxiety and depression levels in the United States will triple in the spring and summer of 2020. 11.6 million More adults in the United States reported symptoms that would meet the screening criteria for major depressive disorder and generalized anxiety disorder during this time; and anxiety and depression levels rose during this. There is reason to believe that children's mental health may have suffered as well, despite the limited data on children. Children reported increased anxiety and difficulties coping with social isolation and at-home education based on parental self-report. According to Gassman-Pines & Gennetian (2020), researchers hypothesized that the widespread job loss, economic uncertainty, and school closures contributed to deteriorating child well-being.

High rates of food insecurity were another factor that could put stress on parents and children during COVID-19. Food insecurity was made worse when schools closed in March 2020, when millions of families were reportedly cut off from school-based free and reduced-price meals. Parents' and children's mental health has been linked to food insecurity. The current study examined longitudinal associations of self-reported food insecurity and material social support with subsequent changes in parenting stress, anxiety, and depression using survey data gathered from a national convenience sample of parents in the United States in early and late April 2020. Noting that very few studies have examined the mental health of children during the early months of COVID-19, this study also sought to determine whether

food insecurity and material social support contribute to parental reports of child anxiety. The review time period corresponds with the early months of the Coronavirus pandemic, before strategy estimates, for example, the Families First Covid Reaction Act were completely carried out to cure the financial results of Coronavirus [1-5].

Discussion

Millions of vulnerable families' food insecurity is alleviated by the National School Lunch Program. The National School Lunch Program provided free or reduced-price lunches to nearly 35 million children each day prior to COVID-19. However, school closures during COVID-19 prevented millions of children from receiving free or reduced-price lunches at school, raising serious concerns about food insecurity among low-income families. In point of fact, according to data gathered by the U.S. Census in June 2020, as many as 16.5% of respondents living in households with children stated that their children were not eating enough due to a lack of resources "sometimes" or "often". Food insecurity in the household has been linked to child outcomes in previous studies. Children's acute and chronic physical health outcomes are linked to food insecurity, for instance. According to Melchior et al., food insecurity also predicts more severe behavioral issues, hyperactivity, and inattention in children.

Additionally, during the first few months of the COVID-19 pandemic, one in five American children saw an adult in their household lose their job. Food insecurity did not just affect children in school. According to one study, 27% of pregnant women reported not being able to get healthy food; According to Barbosa-Leiker et al., 7% stated that they were unable to obtain healthy foods due to financial difficulties. 2021). According to Rodriguez et al., another study of parents of children under the age of 5 found that 25% of mothers

***Corresponding author:** Setsuki congo, Department of Psychiatry, Nairobi University, Kenya, E-mail: congosetski@edu.ke

Received: 03-Mar-2023, Manuscript No: jcalb-23-91973; **Editor assigned:** 06-Mar-2023, Pre-QC No: jcalb-23-91973 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 20-Mar-2023, QC No: jcalb-23-91973; **Revised:** 22-Mar-2023, Manuscript No: jcalb-23-91973 (R); **Published:** 29-Mar-2023, DOI: 10.4172/2375-4494.1000498

Citation: Congo S (2023) Social Support with Parent and Child Mental Health during COVID-19. J Child Adolesc Behav 11: 498.

Copyright: © 2023 Congo S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative v Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

perceived greater difficulty in providing food for their children. Through the Families First Coronavirus Response Act, policymakers helped to reduce food insecurity by giving stimulus checks to millions of Americans Waxman et al., Approaches to social support theory have disaggregated structural and cognitive forms of social support. Many people have pointed to significant gaps regarding how well those resources reached needy families in a timely manner. Cognitive social support, which refers to how people feel or their values and perceptions, is thought to be more subjective. Access to tangible resources, such as those provided by one's social network and those made available by social services, that one can use to provide social support are structural forms of social support. The Interpersonal Support Evaluation List (ISEL) is a popular social support measure. Cohen and others, (1985), which evaluates four spaces of social help that are remembered to cradle people in one of a kind ways from distressing life altering situations. We specifically focused on the ISEL-rated types of tangible support in this study. According to Cohen and Hoberman (1983), Cohen et al., the purpose of the tangible support subscale is to determine whether the individual believes they can access tangible resources in an emergency [6-10].

Conclusion

Items examining the need for an emergency loan from a friend, emergency child care, a place to stay during an emergency, a ride to work, assistance with daily chores like going to the grocery store, and assistance getting to a doctor's appointment were measured as forms of tangible support. There are numerous reasons why investigating these tangible forms of support during COVID-19 is compelling. Stay-at-home and shelter-in-place orders during the first few months of COVID-19 prohibited in-person contact with most institutions, including schools, child care centres, and non-profit organizations. In the early stages of the pandemic, for instance, a survey of parents found that nearly one in four parents had lost regular child care. During

the initial months of the COVID-19 pandemic, disruptions to basic services like child care, transportation, grocery stores, and doctor's offices likely increased the significance of these forms of support for many parents. As a result, the types of tangibles measured in this study may have been especially important to a lot of people during the initial COVID-19 shutdown.

References

1. Jang KL, Livesley WJ, Angleitner A, Reimann R, Vernon PA (2002) Genetic and environmental influences on the covariance of facets defining the domains of the five-factor model of personality. *Pers Individ Dif* 33: 83-101.
2. DeYoung CG, Quilty LC, Peterson JB (2007) Between facets and domain: 10 aspects of the Big Five. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 93: 880-896.
3. Gosling SD, Vazire S, Srivastava S, John OP (2004) Should we trust web-based studies? A comparative analysis of six preconceptions about internet questionnaires. *Am Psychol* 59: 93-104.
4. Hazan C, Shaver P (1987) Romantic love conceptualized as an attachment process. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 52: 511-524.
5. Jang KL, Livesley WJ, Angleitner A, Reimann R, Vernon PA (2002) Genetic and environmental influences on the covariance of facets defining the domains of the five-factor model of personality. *Pers Individ Dif* 33: 83-101.
6. Fleeson W, Gallagher P (2009) The implications of Big Five standing for the distribution of trait manifestation in behavior: fifteen experience-sampling studies and a meta-analysis. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 97: 1097-1114.
7. Costa PTJr, Terracciano A, McCrae RR (2001) Gender differences in personality traits across cultures: robust and surprising findings. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 81: 322-331.
8. Hyde JS (2005) The gender similarities hypothesis. *Am Psychol* 60: 581-592.
9. John OP, Naumann LP, Soto CJ (2008) Paradigm shift to the integrative Big Five trait taxonomy: history, measurement, and conceptual issue. *Handbook of Personality Psychology: Theory and Research* 3: 114-158.
10. Soto CJ, John OP, Gosling SD, Potter J (2011) Age differences in personality traits from 10 to 65: Big Five domains and facets in a large cross-sectional sample. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 100: 330-348.