

Surfactant's Effects on a Leaching Column's Permeability at Various Places

Sheyriat Mobanasser*

Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

Abstract

Solid surface physical chemistry, seepage mechanics theory for porous media, CT scanning, and SEM were used to carry out column leaching tests with a home-made segmented removable plexiglass column. The variation law for the permeability coefficients of each segment of the leaching column before and after leaching was analysed in order to address the issues of poor permeability and low leaching rate in ore heap leaching [1, 2]. The experimental findings indicated that, prior to leaching, the permeability coefficient of ore at various heights varied only slightly. The permeability coefficients were unevenly distributed along the height of the column after leaching, with the lowest value being at the base of the leaching column. The permeability of the leaching column clearly improved with the addition of surfactant. At the base of the leaching column, the permeability coefficient was 6% greater than in the control group [3]. Surfactant was also added, which approximately 10% more ore was leaching at the same time. According to a theoretical analysis, the surfactant increased the ore heaps' permeability primarily by minimising physical blockage by tiny particles and by reducing the deposition of chemical compounds [4].

Keywords: Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT); Polychlorinated biphenyls; Nanomaterials; Adsorption

Introduction

Because of the straightforward equipment, low cost, and minimal energy consumption required, heap leaching of ore has been frequently employed. Target minerals and valuable components must be transported via seepage out of the ore heap during the heap leaching process. At the same time, leaching dead corners and blind sections prevent recovery of the target metal, and the permeability of the ore heap directly influences the uniformity of solution dispersion in the ore heap. The recovery rate decreases with increasing permeability. The development of heap leaching technology is now being hampered by the poor permeability of ore heaps [5].

Leaching from ore heaps is significantly influenced by their permeability. The linkages between ore heap structure, the leaching procedure, and ore heap permeability have been the subject of pertinent research by academics. Using the bulk density and computed tomography (CT) scanning methods, Zhang examined the impact of three types of grain size gradation on porosity and pore size distribution. Through computer simulations, Robertson discovered that the heap height changes as heap leaching develops [6]. Mineral dissolution causes ore to disintegrate, which lowers the height of ore heaps and subsequently reduces their porosity and permeability. Iankoon and Neethling tested solution seepage from a heap leaching system using uniform and graded particles, and they examined the impact of particle gradation on solution seepage. With the use of acid curing and agglomerating technology, Lu et al. successfully increased the average heap permeability. The impact of sulfuric acid on hydraulic mechanical properties was investigated by Ghasemzadeh et al. using indices for friction angle and slakes durability [7]. According to Dhawan et al. the curing and subsequent precipitation of substances like sulphide and calcium sulphate can be significant in ore heap leaching. As a result, a number of steps should be taken to lessen precipitation during the heap leaching process.

In order to increase the permeability of heap leaching systems, researchers have proposed a number of physical and chemical measures, primarily fine ore granulation technology, ore grading of heap structures, mechanical loosening of heaps, and surfactant

addition, all of which have produced positive outcomes. Surfactant addition is one of them, and it increases the solution's surface activity while also promoting good diffusion and permeability. The solution can easily enter the interior surfaces of ore particle pores and cracks. Surfactants are being used in the leaching process of metal ore. In terms of enhancing ore heap permeability and ore leaching rate, they demonstrate impressive impacts and a wide range of development opportunities [8].

Methods

Nanoparticle preparation

Stock suspensions of 14 nm Au (U.S. Research Nanomaterials, Inc., Houston, TX), CuO (50 nm, Sigma Aldrich), 80 nm SiO₂, and aminated SiO₂ (NanoComposix, San Diego, CA) NPs were prepared in 20 mL ultrapure water (MQ, Milli-Q 18.2 resistivity, Merck Millipore, Burlington, MA), and sonic (40.1 W, 4812 J). Using ultrapure water, the stocks were further diluted.

Nanoparticle characterization

The hydrodynamic diameters (HDD) of CuO, Au, SiO₂, and Ami-SiO₂ NPs were evaluated in ultrapure water immediately following dispersion and sonication. A volume of 1.5 mL was placed in a disposable cuvette for measurement. Zeta potential measurements were performed in 0.5x phosphate buffered saline (PBS) to provide sufficient ionic strength to carry an electrical charge. HDD and ZP measurements were both performed using a Malvern Zetasizer [9].

*Corresponding author: Sheyriat Mobanasser, Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia, E-mail: sheyriatm721@yahoo.com

Received: 02-Jan-2023, Manuscript No. jpmm-23-85740; **Editor assigned:** 05-Jan-2023, PreQC No. jpmm-23-85740 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 19-Jan-2023, QC No. jpmm-23-85740; **Revised:** 26-Jan-2023, Manuscript No. jpmm-23-85740 (R); **Published:** 31-Jan-2023, DOI: 10.4172/2168-9806.1000345

Citation: Mobanasser S (2023) Surfactant's Effects on a Leaching Column's Permeability at Various Places. J Powder Metall Min 12: 345.

Copyright: © 2023 Mobanasser S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Octanol-water partitioning

A KOW value for Au NPs was calculated using the OECD shake flask method [40]. Ultrapure water and 1-octanol were equilibrated with 0.2 mg Au NPs for 24 hours in a volume of 4 mL each. After allowing the liquid phases to separate for 4 hours, samples from each phase were taken, and Au NPs were measured using a SpectraMax M2 spectrophotometer (made by Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, California, USA) at $\lambda = 530$ nm. A standard curve constructed in either 1-octanol or ultrapure water was used to translate absorbance into concentration. CuO NPs were assessed using the same procedure, however the absorbance was measured at 640 nm instead.

Hydrophobic interaction chromatography

HiTrap Octyl FF prepacked HIC columns were bought from GE Life Sciences (Piscataway, NJ). The bed volume was 1 mL and the stationary phase composed of a sepharose support matrix of 90 μ m globules functionalized with hydrophobic octyl ligands, which were named to equal the octanol reference phase of the KOW system. The column was loaded with 2 mL of a 10 mg/L Au NP suspension at an inflow rate of 1 mL/min. A lower attention was used (10 mg/L) relative to the KOW system to limit agglomeration, which would block inflow through the severance space. After loading the column, a HPLC pump (Model No. NE-1010, New Era Pump Systems, Inc. Farmingdale, NY, USA) was used to flow 20 mL of 0.5 x PBS through the column at 1 mL/min and the eluent was collected in 1 mL fragments [10]. To remove Au NPs retained in the column during PBS elution, a surfactant (0.1 TritonX-100, laboratory grade, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), was pumped through the column at 1 mL/min for another 20 minutes, and the eluent was again collected in 1 mL fragments every nanosecond. The collected samples from both elution phases were placed in a 96-well plate and the absorbance at 530 nm was estimated using UV-vis spectroscopy to determine Au attention.

Dye adsorption

The relative adsorption of a hydrophobic inquiry and a hydrophilic inquiry (Nile Blue A, ACROS Organics, New Jersey, USA) to the NP face was used as a measure of hydrophobicity. Color attention (0.5 – 30 μ M) were prepared in ultrapure water. Equal volumes of color and NP stock were combined in 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes for each attention and incubated in a tube rotator for 90 minutes. Controls were prepared by adding color to ultrapure water to regard for any observed loss of color due to adsorption to the vials. To estimate implicit declination of color by reactive oxygen species (ROS) from the NP face, controls were prepared with colorful attention of H₂O₂. Each sample was prepared in triplet [11]. Following incubation, NPs were removed from result by centrifugation for 30 minutes at 14000 rpm. The remaining attention of color in the supernatant was analyzed using UV-Vis spectroscopy at $\lambda = 543$ nm for Nile Blue and $\lambda = 620$ nm for Nile Blue. This system was performed with final attention of 500 mg/L SiO₂ and Ami-SiO₂ and 250 mg/L CuO. The color attention were named grounded on the minimum and maximum sensible absorbance by the UV-Vis spectrometer. NP attention were maximized to observe a significant change in absorbance while minimizing the goods of agglomeration. A lower CuO attention was used to minimize agglomeration.

Results and Discussions

Particle Size

In this study, FESEM was used to prepare the image of the nanomaterials. As shown in Fig 1a the multi-walled carbon nanotubes

have invariant lengths and diameters. Their lengths were about 300 – 400 nm and their diameters ranged from 10 nm to 20 nm. The large tubes were in fact agglomeration of much lower tubes. The nano-alumina patches are shown to wad together relatively significantly. This value could be conceivably lower than the factual because of flyspeck agglomeration [12]. The size distributions of the three nanomaterials used in this study were measured by zeta sizer instrument. The loftiest intensity of size of MWNT, nano-complexion, nano-alumina ranged from 10 nm to 400 nm, 10 nm to 400 nm and 10 nm to 600 nm, independently. It showed that the distribution of nano-alumina patches were wider and included both small and big size patches.

Effect of contact time

In order to determine the equilibrium (shaking) time for adsorption, the effect of contact time on adsorption was studied at room temperature. For original attention of DDT and PCB in contact with MWNT, nano-complexion and nano-alumina in this study. All attention of DDT and PCB in contact with colorful quantum of nano accoutrements (0.25 to 10) were examined to find the effect of contact time. Still at 10 of nanomaterials results were most easily. It was set up that the chance of DDT adsorption on MWNT increased with time and sluggishly reaching equilibrium after about 22 h (hours) [13]. Therefore, the 22 h period was established as the time in which the fusions (soil-DDT-MWNT) were shaken for analysis of DDT adsorption with MWNT. The original pH values of (DDT soil) and (PCB soil) results recorded were between 4.5 and 4.9, independently. The contact time for adsorption of PCB in this study was 49 h. Shao et al. (2010) reported that the equilibrium time for junking PCB passed substantially in the first contact time of 24 h, and 45 h was enough to achieve the adsorption equilibrium. Nollet et al. (2003) reported that the adsorption of 2, 3, 4-trichlorobiphenyl and 2, 2', 3, 3', 4, 5, 6-heptachlorobiphenyl on the cover ash reached equilibrium after contact time of 50 h also, 80 – 90 junking of 2, 3, and 4-trichlorobiphenyl passed at that contact time [14]. For all trials conducted in this study, the rate of adsorption of PCB was slower than rate of DDT adsorption on MWNT. The chance of adsorption of DDT and PCB increased with time and gradually reaching equilibrium after 22 h and 20 h, independently. The results of DDT and PCB in contact with nano-alumina are shown. It was set up that the chance of adsorption increased with time and sluggishly reaching equilibrium after about 16 hours. Therefore, the 16 h period was established as the time in which the fusions (soil-DDT-nano-alumina) were shaken for analysis. As can be seen, the chance of adsorption increased with time and sluggishly reaching equilibrium after about 17 h.

Percentage of pollutants that nanomaterials can adsorb

DDT and PCB had initial values ranging from 10 ppm to 60 ppm. At a pH of 4.9, experiments were carried out at room temperature. The quantity of adsorbent employed in the removal procedure is crucial for cleaning up DDT and PCB from soil and soil solutions for financial reasons. In this way, the impact of adsorbent dose on PCB adsorption was initially investigated [15].

Effect of pH

In this study, the effect of pH on the adsorption of DDT and PCB on to MWNT, nano-complexion and nano-alumina face was examined by varying the DDT and PCB-soil result pH over the range of 3 to 10 at room temperature (28 °C) in contact with 10 of each nanomaterial. The result pH was one of the crucial factors that told the adsorption process on carbon accoutrements by controlling

the electrostatic relations between the adsorbent and the adsorbate. As illustrated in Fig 5, the quantum of adsorption of DDT onto MWNT increased with the drop in the result pH, i.e. the maximum uptake was noted at pH 3. Peng et al. (2003) explained this was possible due to the fact that further oxygen-containing groups on the MWNT face were ionized at advanced pH and therefore they were suitable to adsorb further water [16]. The goods of pH on the junking of PCB from the polluted soil to MWNT face were studied by varying the result pH over the range of 3 to 10 using the same attention of PCB. As illustrated the quantum of adsorption of PCB increased efficiently with the drop of result pH. The original attention was 10 mg/ L at 28 °C. The uptake of PCB by adsorbent in result increased as the pH dropped from 10 to 3 former exploration had shown that the goods of result pH on organic chemicals adsorption depended on the insecurity and electron — patron/ acceptor capability of the organic chemicals [17].

Conclusion

Comparisons among the three accoutrements that were examined in this exploration showed that MWNT was the stylish adsorbent for DDT and PCB. It was set up that DDT and PCB attention reduced significantly in the soil samples, when the quantities of nanomaterials were increased from 0.25 to 10. It was set up that the MWNT has high capacity to adsorb DDT from polluted soil. The maximum chance of adsorption of DDT by 10 of MWNT was about 89. In addition, results show that the β parameter (maximum adsorption) increases from 149.25 to 250 mg/ kg by adding the quantities of 10 of MWNT. As an adsorbent for DDT, nano- complexion and nano- alumina didn't show excellent eventuality. Only 67 and 68 of DDT were adsorbed on nano- complexion and nano- alumina, independently. The maximum chance of adsorption of PCB by MWNT was 77. Results show that the β parameters is 909 mg/ kg for PCB at 25 of MWNT. Results also indicated that nano- complexion is an excellent adsorbent to remove PCB from soil and result. Nano- complexion adsorbed 75 of PCB at 10 nano- complexion at 16 hours equilibrium time. Nano- alumina showed the smallest adsorption capacity amongst the nanomaterials (42 at 25 of nano- alumina).

References

1. Semple KT, Morriss AWJ, Paton GI (2003) Bioavailability of hydrophobic organic contaminants in soils: fundamental concepts and techniques for analysis. *Eur J Soil Sci* 54: 809-818.
2. Wang WX (2005) Uptake, absorption efficiency and elimination of DDT in marine phytoplankton, copepods and fish. *Environmental Pollution* 136: 453-464.
3. Cornelissen G, Gustafsson O (2006) Effects of added PAHs and Precipitated humic acid coatings on phenanthrene sorption to environmental black carbon. *Environmental Pollution* 3: 526-531.
4. Yang L, Zhu B, Xing X (2006) Adsorption of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by carbon nanomaterials. *Environ Sci Technol* 40: 1855-1861.
5. Zhou QX, Xiao JP, Wang WD (2007) Trace analysis of triasulfuron and bensulfuron-methyl in water samples using a carbon nanotubes packed cartridge in combination with high-performance liquid chromatography. *Microchim Acta* 157: 93-98.
6. Wang L P, Zhao H X, Giu Y M, Zhou Z Q (2006) Determination of four benzodiazepine residues in pork using multi walled carbon nano tubes soil phase extraction and gass chromatography mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr A* 1136: 99-105.
7. Cai Y Q, Cai Y, Mou SF, Lu YQ (2005) Multi-walled carbon nano tubes as a soil phase extraction adsorbent for the determination of chlorophenols in environmental water samples. *J Chromatogr A* 1081: 245-247.
8. Cai YQ, Jiang GB, Liu JF, Zhou QX (2003) Multiwalled carbon nanotubes as a solid-phase extraction adsorbent for the determination of bisphenol A, 4-n-nonylphenol, and 4-tert-octylphenol. *Anal Chem* 75: 2517-2521.
9. Gotovac S, Hattori Y, Noguchi D, Miyamoto J, Kanamaru M, et al. (2006) Phenanthrene adsorption from solution on single wall carbon nanotubes. *J Phys Chem B* 110: 16219-16224.
10. Cai YQ, Jiang GB, Liu JF, Zhou QX (2003) Multi-walled carbon nanotubes packed cartridge for the solid-phase extraction of several phthalate esters from water samples and their determination by high performance liquid chromatography. *Anal Chime Acta* 494 149-156.
11. Shao D, Jiang Z, Wang X, Li J, Meng Y (2010) Plasma induced grafting carboxymethyl cellulose on multiwalled carbon nanotubes for the removal of UO₂p₂ from aqueous solution. *J Phys Chem* 113: 860-864.
12. Liao Q, Sun J, Gao L (2008) The adsorption of resorcinol from water using multi-walled carbon nanotubes. *Colloid Surf A* 312: 160-165.
13. Wang J X, Jiang DQ, Gu ZY, Yan XP (2006) Detection Multiwalled carbon nanotubes coated fibers for solid-phase microextraction of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in water and milk samples before gas chromatography with electron-capture. *J Chromatogr A* 1137: 8-14.
14. Hiraide M, Iwasawa J, Hiramatsu S, Kawaguchi H (1995) Use of surfactant aggregates form on alumina for the preparation of chelating sorbents. *Anal Sci* 11: 611-615.
15. Bhatanaaga A, Kumar E, Sillanpa M (2010) Nitrate removal from water by nano-alumina; characterization and sorption study. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 163:317-323.
16. Wang S G, Liu X W, Gong W X, Nie W, Gao BY, et al. (2008) Adsorption of fulvic acids from aqueous solutions by carbon nanotubes. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 82: 698-704.
17. Nollet H, Roels M, Lutgen P, Meeren P, Verstraete W (2003) Removal of PCBs from waste water using fly ash. *Chemosphere* 53: 655-665.