

## The Environmental and Social Impacts of Fishery

Hussein Sinn\*

School of Resource and Environmental Management, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada

### Abstract

This abstract provides a concise overview of the intricate dynamics surrounding fishery subsidies, focusing on their dual impacts on both the environment and social fabric. The environmental consequences encompass overfishing, depletion of marine resources, ecosystem disruption, and the carbon footprint associated with certain subsidies. Socially, the distribution of subsidies introduces inequities, favoring large industrial fleets over smaller fishers and impacting the livelihoods and food security of coastal communities. While income support subsidies aim to mitigate challenges, their effectiveness varies. Global initiatives, particularly within the World Trade Organization (WTO), are underway to address these issues, seeking binding rules that eliminate harmful subsidies while considering the unique needs of developing countries. This abstract emphasizes the urgent need for a holistic and collaborative approach to strike a delicate balance between economic interests and the preservation of marine ecosystems and coastal livelihoods.

**Keywords:** Environment; Marine resources; Ecosystem disruption; Coastal communities

### Introduction

The world's oceans, teeming with biodiversity, provide sustenance for millions of people and support thriving economies. However, the delicate balance between economic interests and environmental sustainability is often disrupted by fishery subsidies. This article delves into the nuanced environmental and social impacts of fishery subsidies, highlighting the need for comprehensive strategies that safeguard both marine ecosystems and the livelihoods of coastal communities [1].

### Environmental impacts

**Overfishing and Depletion:** Among the most immediate and critical consequences of certain fishery subsidies is the exacerbation of overfishing. Capacity-enhancing subsidies, such as those supporting the construction of new vessels or providing fuel subsidies, contribute to the over-exploitation of fish stocks. This overcapacity places immense pressure on ecosystems, leading to the depletion of vital marine resources [2].

**Ecosystem Disruption:** Resource-altering subsidies, intended to influence fish stock availability, often result in harmful fishing practices that disrupt marine ecosystems. Techniques like bottom trawling, encouraged by certain subsidies, cause collateral damage to non-target species and destroy habitats, triggering a cascade effect that weakens the overall resilience of marine environments [3].

**Climate Change Impact:** Fishery subsidies that support long-distance fishing operations contribute to increased greenhouse gas emissions. The environmental footprint of fishing fleets, especially those subsidized for extended travel in search of fish plays a role in exacerbating climate change, further stressing marine ecosystems.

### Social impacts

**Social Inequity:** The distribution of fishery subsidies is often skewed, favoring larger industrial fleets over small-scale and artisanal fishers. This perpetuates social inequalities within the fishing industry, impacting the well-being of smaller communities reliant on traditional fishing practices. As subsidies disproportionately benefit larger players, smaller fishers face increased competition and economic challenges.

**Livelihoods and Food Security:** Unsustainable fishery practices,

driven by certain subsidies, jeopardize the livelihoods of coastal communities dependent on fishing. Small-scale fishers, in particular, face economic hardships as overfishing depletes stocks and reduces catch sizes. This not only impacts income but also threatens food security in regions where fish are a primary source of nutrition [4].

**Community Resilience:** Income support and rural development subsidies aim to support fishing communities during lean seasons or promote alternative livelihoods. However, the effectiveness of these subsidies in building community resilience depends on proper implementation and consideration of the unique needs of each community. Inadequate planning can lead to dependency on subsidies, hindering long-term sustainable development.

**Global Initiatives and the Road Ahead:** Acknowledging the multifaceted impacts of fishery subsidies, global initiatives, particularly within the World Trade Organization (WTO), are actively seeking solutions. Negotiations aim to establish binding rules that eliminate harmful subsidies while considering the social and economic needs of developing countries. Striking a balance between economic interests and environmental conservation remains a complex challenge, but the ongoing efforts underscore the global commitment to creating a sustainable future for fisheries [5].

### Discussion

The environmental and social impacts of fishery subsidies intertwine to create a complex tapestry of challenges that require careful consideration and global collaboration. Understanding and

**\*Corresponding author:** Hussein Sinn, School of Resource and Environmental Management, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada, E-mail: husseinsinn@gmail.com

**Received:** 01-Dec-2023, Manuscript No: jflp-24-124411, **Editor assigned:** 04-Dec-2023, PreQCNo: jflp-24-124411 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 18-Dec-2023, QC No: jflp-24-124411, **Revised:** 25-Dec-2023, Manuscript No: jflp-24-124411 (R), **Published:** 30-Dec-2023, DOI: 10.4172/2332-2608.1000489

**Citation:** Sinn H (2023) The Environmental and Social Impacts of Fishery. J Fisheries Livest Prod 11: 489.

**Copyright:** © 2023 Sinn H. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

addressing these impacts is crucial for the sustainable management of fisheries, the preservation of marine ecosystems, and the well-being of coastal communities.

### Environmental impacts

**Overfishing and Depletion:** Capacity-enhancing subsidies contribute significantly to overfishing, pushing fish stocks beyond sustainable limits. The resulting depletion of marine resources not only threatens biodiversity but also jeopardizes the long-term health of fisheries [6].

**Ecosystem Disruption:** Resource-altering subsidies, by encouraging harmful fishing practices, lead to the disruption of marine ecosystems. The collateral damage from techniques like bottom trawling harms non-target species and damages critical habitats, triggering cascading effects throughout the food web.

**Climate Change Impact:** Certain subsidies, particularly those supporting long-distance fishing operations, contribute to increased carbon emissions. The environmental footprint of fishing fleets underscores the interconnectedness of fishing practices with broader global challenges, such as climate change [7].

### Social impacts

**Social inequity:** The unequal distribution of fishery subsidies exacerbates social disparities within the industry. Larger industrial fleets often benefit more, creating economic challenges for small-scale and artisanal fishers. This imbalance undermines the social fabric of coastal communities, contributing to inequality and economic instability.

**Livelihoods and food security:** Unsustainable fishing practices driven by subsidies directly impact the livelihoods of coastal communities dependent on fisheries. The reduction in catch sizes and depletion of stocks threaten not only the income of fishers but also the food security of regions where fish are a primary source of nutrition [8].

**Community resilience:** While income support and rural development subsidies aim to address economic challenges faced by fishing communities, their effectiveness varies. Proper implementation is crucial to building community resilience, and there is a need to strike a balance that prevents dependency on subsidies, fostering long-term sustainable development [9].

**Global initiatives and challenges:** International efforts, particularly within the World Trade Organization (WTO), are essential for mitigating the environmental and social impacts of fishery subsidies. Negotiations to establish binding rules seek to eliminate harmful subsidies, recognizing the need to balance economic interests with social and environmental sustainability. However, the complexity of these issues requires a comprehensive and adaptable approach that considers the diverse needs of nations at different stages of development [10].

**The road ahead:** As the global community navigates the dual

challenges posed by fishery subsidies, it is imperative to foster greater transparency, collaboration, and innovation. Implementing and enforcing effective policies that promote sustainable fishing practices, equitable distribution of subsidies, and community-based management approaches will be instrumental in creating a future where the environmental and social dimensions of fisheries are in harmony.

### Conclusion

The discussion underscores the interconnected nature of environmental and social impacts in the realm of fishery subsidies. A holistic approach that addresses both dimensions is essential for achieving a sustainable balance that preserves marine ecosystems while supporting the well-being of coastal communities. As global initiatives progress, the focus should remain on fostering a collective commitment to responsible fisheries management that respects the delicate harmony between economic interests, environmental preservation, and social equity. Balancing the environmental and social impacts of fishery subsidies requires a comprehensive and collaborative approach. By addressing the root causes of overfishing, supporting sustainable practices, and promoting equitable distribution of subsidies, nations can work towards a future where marine ecosystems flourish, and fishing communities thrive in harmony with the oceans. Only through a concerted global effort can we achieve a delicate balance that ensures the resilience of both our seas and the communities that depend on them.

### References

1. Melaku T (2011) Oxidization versus Tractorization: Options and Constraints for Ethiopian Framing System. *Int J Sustainable Agric* 3: 11-20.
2. World Bank (2017) International Development Association: Project Appraisal Document on a Proposed Credit in the Amount of SDR 121.1 Million (US\$ 170 Million Equivalent) to the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia for a Livestock and Fisheries Sector Development Project (Project Appraisal Document No. PAD2396). Washington DC.
3. FAO (2014) OECD, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United States, *Agricultural Outlook 2014*, OECD Publishing FAO.
4. Belay G, Negesse T (2019) Livestock Feed Dry Matter Availability and Utilization in Burie Zuria District, North Western Ethiopia. *Trop Subtrop Agroecosystems* 22: 55-70.
5. Management Entity (2021) Ethiopia's Livestock Systems: Overview and Areas of Inquiry. Gainesville, FL, USA: Feed the Future Innovation Lab for Livestock Systems.
6. Azage T (2004) Urban livestock production and gender in Addis Ababa. ILRI (International Livestock Research Institute). Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Urban Agric Mag* 12: 3.
7. Balehey S, Tesfay G, Balehegn M (2018) Traditional gender inequalities limit pastoral women's opportunities for adaptation to climate change: Evidence from the Afar pastoralists of Ethiopia. *Pastoralism* 8.
8. Emama B, Mohammed H, Mohammed S (2015) A situational analysis of agricultural production and marketing, and natural resource management systems in the Ethiopian highlands. ILRI, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
9. Environmental Policy Review (EPR) (2011) Livestock Production Systems and their Environmental Implications in Ethiopia.
10. Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) (2019) FAOSTAT database.