Journal of Community & Public Health Nursing

Mini Review Open Access

The Health Belief Model: A Framework for Understanding Health Behavior

Yahya H. Khormi*

Department of Neurology, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia

Introduction

The Health Belief Model (HBM) is one of the most influential and widely used conceptual frameworks in health behavior theory. Developed in the early 1950s by social psychologists Hochbaum, Rosenstock, and Kegels at the U.S. Public Health Service, the model was initially designed to understand why individuals failed to participate in disease prevention programs, particularly tuberculosis screening initiatives. Over the decades, the model has evolved into a cornerstone of public health education and health promotion strategies, offering a structured approach to understanding and predicting health-related behaviors. At its core, the Health Belief Model is based on the premise that individual behavior is driven by personal beliefs or perceptions about a disease or health condition and the strategies available to decrease its occurrence. The model posits that people are more likely to engage in health-promoting behaviors if they believe they are susceptible to a condition (perceived susceptibility), understand the serious consequences of the condition (perceived severity), believe that a specific action can reduce their risk (perceived benefits), and perceive fewer barriers to taking that action (perceived barriers). Later refinements of the model also introduced the concepts of cues to action (triggers that prompt health behaviors) and self-efficacy (confidence in one's ability to take action). The HBM is particularly valuable in public health and community health nursing because it provides a clear framework for developing educational interventions and communication strategies [1]. It helps professionals identify the psychological factors that influence health decisions and tailor programs that address specific beliefs and barriers within target populations. This model has been applied in a wide range of health contexts, from encouraging vaccination and promoting cancer screenings to managing chronic diseases and enhancing preventive behaviors such as exercise and smoking cessation. Its enduring relevance lies in its simplicity, flexibility, and emphasis on individual perceptions—factors that remain central to the complex decision-making processes behind health behaviors.

Discussion

The Health Belief Model (HBM) offers a practical framework for understanding health behavior by emphasizing the role of individual beliefs in decision-making. One of its greatest strengths lies in its simplicity and focus on key psychological factors that influence whether a person will take a health-related action. By addressing perceived susceptibility, severity, benefits, and barriers, the model provides clear pathways for designing effective public health interventions [2].

For example, if a person does not believe they are at risk for heart disease (low perceived susceptibility), they are unlikely to adopt lifestyle changes such as exercising or modifying their diet. Conversely, if they recognize their vulnerability and believe the consequences of heart disease are serious (high perceived severity), and that exercising can reduce their risk (high perceived benefits), they may be more motivated to take action—especially if barriers like time or cost are minimal [3].

The addition of cues to action and self-efficacy enhances the model's explanatory power. Cues to action—like health campaigns, doctor reminders, or a friend's illness—can prompt individuals to move from intention to behavior. Self-efficacy plays a critical role in determining whether someone believes they can successfully carry out a recommended behavior, such as quitting smoking or adhering to a medication regimen [4].

However, the model is not without limitations. It largely focuses on individual cognition and may overlook broader social, cultural, and economic influences. It assumes that people make rational decisions based on perceived risk and benefit, which may not always hold true in real-life contexts influenced by habits, peer pressure, or misinformation [5].

Despite these limitations, the HBM remains a valuable and widely used model in community health nursing and public health, especially when combined with other behavioral theories or adapted for specific populations and settings [6].

Applications of the Health Belief Model

The HBM has been used extensively in designing public health interventions, especially in the areas of:

Vaccination campaigns: Understanding why people do or do not get vaccinated against diseases like influenza or COVID-19.

Chronic disease management: Promoting adherence to medication and lifestyle changes in conditions such as diabetes or hypertension [7].

Cancer screening: Increasing participation in mammography, colonoscopy, and other screening programs.

Sexual health: Encouraging the use of condoms and regular testing for sexually transmitted infections (STIs).

Injury prevention: Promoting seatbelt use, helmet-wearing, and safe driving behaviors [8].

Strengths of the Health Belief Model

Simplicity and clarity: The model is easy to understand and apply in both research and practice.

Flexibility: It can be used across a range of health behaviors and populations.

***Corresponding author:** Yahya H. Khormi, Department of Neurology, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia, Email: yahya847@gmail.com

Received: 01-Feb-2025, Manuscript No: JCPHN-25-166814, **Editor Assigned:** 03-Feb-2025, Pre QC No: JCPHN-25-166814 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 17-Feb-2025, QC No: JCPHN-25-166814, **Revised:** 22-Feb-2025, Manuscript No: JCPHN-25-166814 (R), **Published:** 28-Feb-2025, DOI: 10.4172/2471-9846.1000625

Citation: Khormi YH (2025) The Health Belief Model: A Framework for Understanding Health Behavior. J Comm Pub Health Nursing, 11: 625.

Focus on individual beliefs: This personal perspective allows for tailored interventions that address specific needs and concerns [9].

Empirical support: Numerous studies have validated the model's constructs and their relevance to behavior change.

Limitations of the Health Belief Model

Despite its strengths, the HBM is not without limitations:

Neglects social and environmental factors: The model focuses largely on individual cognition and does not adequately address broader social influences, such as peer pressure or cultural norms.

Assumes rational decision-making: It presumes individuals make logical health choices based on perceived risks and benefits, overlooking emotional and irrational aspects of behavior.

Limited predictive power: While useful for understanding intent, the HBM may not always predict actual behavior, especially for habitual or addictive behaviors [10].

Underutilization of self-efficacy: Although self-efficacy was added later, it is not always fully integrated into interventions based on the HBM.

Conclusion

The Health Belief Model remains a valuable tool in public health and community nursing for understanding why people do or do not engage in health-promoting behaviors. By considering how individuals perceive their susceptibility, the severity of health threats, the benefits of action, and the barriers to change, health professionals can design more effective interventions. While it has limitations, especially regarding social context and emotional factors, the HBM's simplicity and adaptability continue to make it a cornerstone of health behavior theory and practice. However, while the model is useful in guiding health education and behavior change strategies, it is important to recognize its limitations. It does not fully account for social, emotional, and environmental factors that also shape health decisions. As such, the HBM is often most effective when integrated with other models and tailored to the cultural and contextual realities of the target audience. In conclusion, the Health Belief Model remains a vital part of health promotion practice, helping to bridge the gap between awareness and action in the pursuit of better health outcomes.

References

1. Inouye SK, Westendorp RG, Saczynski JS (2014) Delirium in elderly people. Lancet 383: 911-922.
2. Davis DH, Muniz-Terrera G, Keage HA (2017) Association of delirium with cognitive decline in late life: a neuropathologic study of 3 population-based cohort studies. JAMA Psychiatry 74: 244-251.
3. Leslie DL, Marcantonio ER, Zhang Y, Leo-Summers L, Inouye SK (2008) One-year health care costs associated with delirium in the elderly population. Arch Intern Med 168: 27-32.
4. Gleason LJ, Schmitt EM, Kosar CM (2015) Effect of delirium and other major complications on outcomes after elective surgery in older adults. JAMA Surg 150: 1134-1140.
5. Fong TG, Tulebaev SR, Inouye SK (2009) Delirium in elderly adults: diagnosis, prevention and treatment. Nat Rev Neurol 5: 210-220.
6. Oh ES, Fong TG, Hshieh TT, Inouye SK (2017) Delirium in older persons: advances in diagnosis and treatment. JAMA 318: 1161-1174.
7. Yue J, Tabloski P, Dowal SL, Puelle MR, Nandan R, Inouye SK (2014) NICE to HELP: operationalizing National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence guidelines to improve clinical practice. J Am Geriatr Soc 62: 754-761.
8. Inouye SK, Kosar CM, Tommet D (2024) The CAM-S: development and validation of a new scoring system for delirium severity in 2 cohorts. Ann Intern Med 160: 526-533.
9. Li P, Redden DT (2015) Comparing denominator degrees of freedom approximations for the generalized linear mixed model in analyzing binary outcome in small sample cluster-randomized trials. BMC Med Res Methodol 15: 38-49.
10. Hshieh TT, Yue J, Oh E (2015) Effectiveness of multicomponent nonpharmacological delirium interventions: a meta-analysis. JAMA Intern Med 175: 512-520.