

The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Quality of Life and Well-Being in Morocco

Lemfadli Y¹, Samlani Z¹, Ait Errami A¹, Oubaha S², Krati K¹

¹Department of Gastroenterology, Mohammed VI University Hospital, Marrakech, Morocco

²Department of Physiology, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakech, Morocco

*Corresponding author: Lemfadli Y, Department of Gastroenterology, Mohammed VI University Hospital, Marrakech, Morocco, E-mail: lemfadliY@gmail.com

Received: January 20, 2021; Accepted: February 04, 2021; Published: February 11, 2021

Citation: Lemfadli Y, Samlani Z, Ait Errami A, Oubaha S, Krati K (2021) The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Quality of Life and Well-Being in Morocco. *Epidemiol Sci* 10: 398

Copyright: © 2021 Lemfadli Y, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Objective: The majority of epidemiological reports focus on confirmed cases of COVID-19. In this study, we aim to assess the health and well-being of adults not infected with Covid-19 after two months of quarantine in Morocco.

Materials and Methods: Two months after the declaration of quarantine in Morocco following the Covid-19 epidemic, we carried out a descriptive cross-sectional study of 279 Moroccan citizens. We used the Short Form Health Survey (SF-12) as a determinant of quality of life, which is based on eight dimensions of health. The data were collected using an electronic questionnaire distributed online. The participants also indicated their socio-demographic data, their knowledge and practices regarding the Covid-19 pandemic and whether they had chronic health problems.

Results: The quality of life of all participants was moderately disrupted during the Covid-19 pandemic with a mental health score (MCS) of 34.49 (\pm 6.44) and a physical health score (PCS) of 36.10 (\pm 5.82). Participants with chronic diseases scored lower with 29.28 (\pm 1.23) in mental health (MCS) and 32.51 (\pm 7.14) in physical health (PCS). The seriousness of COVID-19 has an impact on the quality of life and health well-being of people and this impact is more marked in people with chronic health problems.

Conclusion: Our results confirm the need to pay attention to the health of people who have not been infected with the virus. Our results also point out that uninfected people with chronic illnesses may be more likely to have well-being problems due to quarantine restrictions.

Keywords: Covid-19; Quality of life; Mental health; Physical health

Introduction

The appearance of a new coronavirus disease (COVID-19) was first reported in December 2019 in Wuhan, China [1]. The number of cases has increased exponentially not only in China but in the world. The Moroccan health authorities announced the first confirmed case of Covid-19 on March 2, 2020. COVID-19 was officially declared a pandemic by the world health organization on March 11, 2020 [2]. From December 2019 until the time of writing this article, 11, 4 million cases of COVID-19 have been confirmed worldwide and more than 535 000 people have died [3]. This pandemic has put global public health institutions on alert [4,5,6]. Morocco, like many countries in the world, declared a state of health emergency and quarantine on March 20, 2020.

Admittedly, quarantine and the state of health emergency have a great interest in controlling the spread of the pandemic [7]. However, it is also important to understand the implications of these restrictions on the health and well-being of the community.

We hypothesized that health-related quality of life is more likely to be affected during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Therefore, we aim in this study to provide an analysis of the health and well-being of a sample of the general population during the quarantine period.

Materials and Methods

Characteristics of the participants

We conducted a descriptive cross-sectional online survey about two months after the declaration of quarantine and the COVID-19 state of emergency in Morocco. All participants were adults over the age of 18, resident in Morocco who were not epidemiologically infected with the virus, but they lived in places affected by COVID-19. To have a representative national sample and cover people in areas of varying severity of COVID-19, we interviewed citizens across the 12 regions of Morocco.

Study procedure

Given the circumstances of the quarantine, the study announcements, containing brief information about the study and a link to a web page, were shared by e-mail (to personal and

professional networks), and published on Facebook and other popular social media websites, including Twitter and Instagram. The online survey was administered by Google Forms to ensure wide reach and easy access. Participants were asked to share the survey with their families and acquaintances.

Responses to all elements of the questionnaire were required, and respondents could only submit their responses if all questions were answered. The data reported in this study was collected between May 25 and June 6, 2020.

Participation was voluntary and all participants gave informed consent electronically and without any remuneration for their participation. No identifying information was collected to protect the anonymity of participants.

Variables studied

Participants provided their socio-demographic characteristics, such as gender, age, education and residence (region and city) as well as their knowledge and practices regarding the Covid-19 pandemic. Given that COVID-19 is more dangerous for people with comorbidities [8], so we asked if the participants had a chronic disease.

We assessed individual health using the Short Form-12 (SF12). We used a Moroccan version validated in Arabic and French [9]. SF12 contains 12 elements and 8 dimensions: physical activity (2 elements), limitations due to physical activity (2 elements), physical pain (1 element), perceived general health (1 element), vitality (1 element), social functioning (1 element), limitations due to mental state (2 elements) and mental health (2 elements) [10]. The eight dimensions form two physical and mental subscores (PCS and MCS), with a possible total score ranging from 0 to 100 [11]. A higher SF12 score indicates better health.

Statistical analyzes

We report descriptive statistics of the study variables. The analyzes were performed by IBM SPSS Statistics v 21. We used the t-student test to compare the means of the summary physical and mental scores of the SF-12, after having checked the normality of the distribution of the two scores. The materiality threshold was set at 0.05.

Results

279 participants responded to the survey. Table 1 presents the descriptive characteristics of the participants. The average age was 34.75 years (\pm 11.8). The majority of participants were men (51.6%), singles (66.3%) and those with a university level (88.9%). All regions were represented. The Marrakech–Safi region was the most represented (25.40%). 13.3% of the participants indicated that they have a family member or know a loved one with a confirmed Covid-19 infection. 10.40% of the participants reported that they suffered from chronic diseases.

		Number of participants	Percentage
Age (years)	Age (years) Mean \pm SD	29.03 \pm 9.85	-
	Extremes (years)	18–68	
Gender	Male	144	51.60%

	Female	135	48.40%	
Marital status	Single	185	66.30%	
	Married	90	32,30%	
	Divorced	4	1,40%	
	Widower	0	0%	
Education level	Unschoolled	0	0%	
	Primary	2	0.71%	
	Secondary	29	10.39%	
	University	248	88.90%	
Residence	Urban	246	88%	
	Rural	33	11.80%	
Region	Tangier-Tetouan-Al Hoceima region	13	4.70%	
	Oriental region	4	1.40%	
	Fez-Meknes region	14	5,00%	
	Region of Rabat-Salé-Kénitra	26	9.30%	
	Béni Mellal-Khénifra region	68	24.40%	
	Casablanca-Settat region	34	12.20%	
	Marrakech-Safi region	71	25.40%	
	Drâa-Tafilalet region	8	2.80%	
	Souss-Massa region	27	9.70%	
Chronic disease	Yes	29	10.40%	
	No	250	89.60%	
	Covid-19 infection confirmed in family member or relative	Yes	37	13.30%
		No	242	86.70%

Table 1: Description of participants (n=279).

Regarding participants' knowledge of Covid-19 (Table 2): 76.4% think it is a dangerous disease and 30% think it is fatal in 15 to 40% of cases. 90% believe that transmission is through the droplets of an

infected person. The most vulnerable group according to participants is the elderly and people with chronic diseases in 87.1% and 91.8% of the responses respectively. The majority of respondents reported fever, cough and difficulty breathing as major symptoms of the disease in 95.7%, 93.2% and 88.5% of the responses. 62.70% of participants believe that there is no specific current treatment for Covid-19 infection. 95.69% of participants believe that wearing a mask is effective in preventing Covid-19 infection.

		Number of participants	Percentage
Do you think Covid-19 is a serious disease?	Yes	213	76.40%
	No	33	12%
	I do not know	33	11.80%
How are people infected with COVID-19?	By droplets	249	89.24%
	By contact with infected surfaces	264	94.62%
	Eating bats	84	30.10%
	By blood	78	27.95%
	By air	134	48%
People most vulnerable to covid-19 infection	Infants	72	26%
	Children	55	19.70%
	Young adults	72	25.80%
	Pregnant woman	105	37.60%
	The elderly	243	87%
	People with chronic diseases	256	91.80%
Symptoms of Covid-19	Fever	267	95.70%
	Dry cough	247	88.50%
	Headache	208	74.60%
	Stuffy nose	82	29.40%
	Runny nose	97	34.80%
	Sneeze	144	51.60%
	Difficulty breathing	260	93.20%
	Abdominal pain	89	31.90%
	Diarrhea	158	56.60%
	Asymptomatic	219	78.50%
Treatment of Covid-19	There is an effective treatment for the virus	30	10.75%
	There is only symptomatic treatment	175	62.72%
	There are drugs in the testing phase	224	80.20%

	A vaccine has been developed	20	7.16%
	Antibiotics are effective	65	23.30%
Prevention of Covid-19 infection is based on?	wearing a mask	267	95.69%
	avoid crowded places	274	98.20%

Table 2: Participants' knowledge of the Covid-19 (n = 279).

Concerning the practices of the population in the face of the Covid-19 pandemic. The majority of participants (96.77%) report that they follow the health recommendations for coronavirus and 75.95% comply with the quarantine recommendations in more than 80% (Figure 1). 90.32% of the respondents report that they always wear the mask when leaving the house. 97.50% of participants wash their hands daily at least with soap and water.

Participants' fear of catching the coronavirus was assessed using an increasing numerical scale from 1 to 10 (Figure 2). 60.6% of the participants reported a fear less than or equal to 5 degrees.




Figure 1: Level of commitment of participants in quarantine.




Figure 2: Level of fear of Covid-19 participants.

Based on the SF12 algorithm [9], all participants obtained a total average score of 70.60 (\pm 13.1) with a mental health score (MCS) of 34.49 (\pm 6.44) and a physical health score (PCS) of 36.10 (\pm 5.82). The physical (PCS) and mental (MCS) scores of participants with chronic diseases were 32.51 (\pm 7.14) and 29.28 (\pm 1.23), respectively.

Overall, the participants' PCS and MCS scores suffered from chronic diseases and the elderly participants were lower than those of young participants without comorbidities.

Discussion

Restrictive measures applied in several countries around the world appear to be effective in containing the spread of COVID-19 [7]. However, these measures have disrupted people's daily employment and daily activities and can therefore have important implications for their health and well-being [12].

As was the case previously with the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) [13,14], the COVID-19 pandemic also causes panic and mental health problems for the general population [15,16,17]. In addition, quarantine could affect the psychological health of the public [18,19]. This can influence the general health and quality of life of people.

People with chronic health conditions are vulnerable populations with a lower quality of life during this Covid-19 crisis [20]. However, health systems have largely neglected this fragile population.

Our results show that the quality of life linked to the general health of people in quarantine is poor and especially if there are chronic health problems, as shown by SF12. Insignificant differences in some dimensions of SF12 (body pain, physical functioning and social functioning) were present, since people who had not been directly affected by the virus would not differ much in these dimensions.

An article published on *Lancet* pointed out that people in quarantine have reported a high prevalence of symptoms of distress and psychological disorders and some of these symptoms seem to persist long after quarantine.

We may need to pay more attention to those affected and those with co-morbidities, but also physically active people, who may be more frustrated with the quarantine restrictions. Such identification can help health systems prioritize those who may have more impact during this health crisis.

We present this data on general quality of life disruptions to provide evidence on the health of the community during this Covid-19 crisis.

The study has certain limitations. First of all, this study was based on a cross-sectional observation survey. The data do not allow conclusions to be drawn about the nature or the orientation of the associations examined. We also do not know whether this lower quality of life existed before COVID-19.

Likewise, online self-assessment questionnaires may be influenced by the difficulty of completing them. This could affect the validity of the data provided.

Given our recruitment methods and our sample size, the results may not be generalized to the entire population of Morocco and other countries. Nevertheless, the hypotheses reported could be targets for future studies.

Conclusion

The results underscore the importance of social ties to mitigate the negative consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health and physical well-being. Policymakers managing the COVID-19 pandemic can benefit from understanding these implications for the

health and well-being of the population, especially the elderly and those with chronic diseases.

Conflict of Interest Statement

We declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

None

References

1. O'Loughlin J, Robitaille V, Boivin JF, Suissa S (1993) Incidence of and risk factors for falls and injurious falls among the community-dwelling elderly. *Am J Epidemiol* 137: 342-354.
2. National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), National Vital Statistics System. Accessed from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).
3. Siracuse JJ, Odell DD, Gondek SP, Odom SR, Kasper EM, et al. (2012) Health care and socioeconomic impact of falls in the elderly. *Am J Surg* 203: 335-338.
4. Afilalo J, Karunanathan S, Eisenberg MJ, Alexander KP, Bergman H (2009) Role of frailty in patients with cardiovascular disease. *Am J Cardiol* 103: 1616-1621.
5. Yeung SSY, Reijnierse EM, Pham VK, Trappenburg MC, Lim WK, et al. (2019) Sarcopenia and its association with falls and fractures in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 10: 485-500.
6. Fülster S, Tacke M, Sandek A, Ebner N, Tschöpe C, et al. (2013) Muscle wasting in patients with chronic heart failure: Results from the studies investigating co-morbidities aggravating heart failure (SICA-HF). *Eur Heart J* 34:512-519.
7. Park JK, Deutz NEP, Cruthirds CL, Kirschner SK, Park H, et al. (2020) Risk factors for postural and functional balance impairment in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Clin Med* 9: 609.
8. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). STEADI Algorithm for Fall Risk Screening, Assessment, and Intervention.
9. Hamel C, Hekmatjah N, Hakakian B, Banooni M, Lalezari J, et al. 2019. Evidence-Based Community Fall Prevention Programs at Senior Centers Near 10 US Academic Centers. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 67: 1484-1488.
10. Zijlstra GA, van Haastregt JC, Ambergen T, van Rossum E, van Eijk JT, et al. (2009) Effects of a multicomponent cognitive behavioral group intervention on fear of falling and activity avoidance in community-dwelling older adults: Results of a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 57: 2020-2028.
11. Clemson L, Cumming RG, Kendig H, Swann M, Heard R, et al. (2004) The effectiveness of a community-based program for reducing the incidence of falls in the elderly: A randomized trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 52: 1487-1494.
12. Li F, Harmer P, Fitzgerald K, Eckstrom E, Akers L, et al. (2018) Effectiveness of a Therapeutic Tai JiQuan Intervention vs. a Multimodal Exercise Intervention to Prevent Falls Among Older Adults at High Risk of Falling A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Intern Med* 178: 1301-1310.
13. Smith M, Towne S, Herrera-Venson A, Cameron K, Horel SA, et al. (2018) Delivery of fall prevention interventions for at-risk older adults in

-
- rural areas: Findings from a national dissemination. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 15: 2798.
14. Campbell AJ, Robertson MC, Gardner MM, Norton RN, Buchner DM (1999) Falls prevention over 2 years: A randomized controlled trial in women 80 years and older. *Age Ageing* 28: 513-518.
15. Shumway-Cook A, Silver IF, LeMier M, York S, Cummings P, et al. (2007) Effectiveness of a community-based multifactorial intervention on falls and fall risk factors in community-living older adults: A randomized, controlled trial. *J Gerontol A BiolSci Med Sci* 62: 1420-1427.
16. Callahan LF, Cleveland RJ, Altpeter M, Hackney B (2016) Evaluation of Tai Chi Program Effectiveness for People with Arthritis in the Community: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Aging Phys Act* 24: 101-110.
- 17.
18. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S (1991) The timed "Up and Go": A test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 39: 142-148.
18. Pfeiffer E (1975) A short portable mental status questionnaire for the assessment of organic brain deficit in elderly patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 23: 433-441.
19. Wilson LA, Brass W (1973) Brief assessment of the mental state in geriatric domiciliary practice. The usefulness of the mental status questionnaire. *Age Ageing* 2: 92-101.
20. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR (1975) "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 12: 189-198.