

## The Importance of Mental Health Screening in Forensic Populations

Crizel Rosane\*

School of Forensic Health, Massey University, New Zealand

### Abstract

Mental health screening in forensic populations is critical for identifying psychological disorders, providing appropriate treatment, and enhancing both individual and public safety. Forensic populations, including individuals in prisons, jails, and those undergoing legal proceedings, often experience higher rates of mental illness compared to the general population. Left untreated, these conditions can exacerbate criminal behavior, hinder rehabilitation, and increase recidivism. Early mental health screening ensures that individuals receive necessary interventions, reducing the risk of harm to themselves and others. Furthermore, it supports more informed judicial decisions, guiding the legal system toward more humane and rehabilitative outcomes. This review examines the role of mental health screening in forensic settings, the challenges in implementation, and the potential benefits for the individual, the criminal justice system, and society at large.

**Keywords:** Mental health screening; Forensic populations; Criminal justice; Rehabilitation; Recidivism; Public safety; Mental illness; Legal system; Treatment; Early intervention; Stigma

### Introduction

The intersection of mental health and the criminal justice system is a complex and often fraught domain, where the implications of untreated psychological disorders can have far-reaching consequences for individuals and society. Forensic populations, which encompass individuals in correctional facilities, those awaiting trial, and those involved in civil commitments, frequently present with a higher prevalence of mental health disorders than the general populace. Studies indicate that approximately 20-25% of incarcerated individuals experience serious mental illnesses, such as schizophrenia or bipolar disorder, while a significant number also grapple with co-occurring substance use disorders [1].

Mental health screening in these populations is not merely a clinical necessity but a vital component of a just and effective criminal justice system. By identifying mental health issues early, we can facilitate timely and appropriate interventions that not only address the psychological needs of individuals but also promote rehabilitation and reduce recidivism. Furthermore, informed screening practices can assist in legal decision-making, potentially mitigating the stigma surrounding mental health conditions within forensic contexts [2].

Despite the recognized need for mental health screening, numerous challenges persist, including limited resources, inadequate training for personnel, and systemic barriers that hinder access to care. This introduction outlines the significance of mental health screening in forensic populations, emphasizing the dual imperatives of improving individual well-being and enhancing public safety. By addressing these issues, we can foster a more compassionate and effective approach to mental health within the criminal justice system, ultimately contributing to a healthier society [3].

### Discussion

The importance of mental health screening in forensic populations cannot be overstated, as it plays a crucial role in shaping individual outcomes and influencing broader societal implications. This discussion highlights several key aspects of mental health screening, including its impact on rehabilitation, public safety, and the legal system, as well as the challenges that must be addressed to optimize its implementation [4].

**Enhancing rehabilitation and reducing recidivism:** Effective mental health screening serves as a foundation for targeted interventions that can significantly enhance rehabilitation efforts for individuals in forensic settings. By identifying mental health disorders, such as depression, anxiety, or post-traumatic stress disorder, correctional facilities can tailor treatment plans that address specific needs. Evidence suggests that when mental health issues are properly diagnosed and treated, individuals are more likely to engage in rehabilitation programs, develop coping skills, and reduce recidivism rates. Studies have demonstrated that inmates who receive mental health treatment are less likely to reoffend compared to those who do not, highlighting the necessity of integrating mental health services into the criminal justice framework [5].

**Promoting public safety:** Addressing mental health needs in forensic populations is also a critical aspect of public safety. Many individuals who exhibit criminal behavior may be acting out due to untreated psychological disorders. By implementing mental health screenings, we can better understand the underlying causes of such behaviors and direct individuals toward appropriate mental health care rather than solely punitive measures. This approach not only benefits the individuals involved but also contributes to safer communities by reducing the likelihood of future offenses. Effective mental health interventions can facilitate recovery and reintegration into society, decreasing the overall burden on the criminal justice system [6].

**Informing judicial processes:** Mental health screenings play a vital role in informing judicial decisions, particularly in cases involving competency to stand trial or criminal responsibility [7]. Assessing an individual's mental health status can provide valuable insights into their ability to comprehend legal proceedings or make informed decisions

\*Corresponding author: Crizel Rosane, School of Forensic Health, Massey University, New Zealand, E-mail: crizel.rosa45@gmail.com

**Received:** 04-Oct-2024, Manuscript No: gnfs-24-151103; **Editor assigned:** 07-Oct-2024, Pre QC No. gnfs-24-151103 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 21-Oct-2024, QC No. gnfs-24-151103; **Revised:** 25-Oct-2024, Manuscript No. gnfs-24-151103 (R); **Published:** 30-Oct-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2572-0899.1000298

**Citation:** Crizel R (2024) The Importance of Mental Health Screening in Forensic Populations. Glob J Nurs Forensic Stud, 8: 298.

**Copyright:** © 2024 Crizel R. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

about their defense. Courts increasingly recognize the importance of mental health evaluations, which can lead to more equitable outcomes for individuals with mental illnesses. By integrating mental health assessments into the judicial process, the system can better balance the needs of justice with the imperative of treating individuals with dignity and compassion [8].

**Challenges and barriers to implementation:** Despite the clear benefits of mental health screening, several challenges hinder its widespread implementation in forensic settings. Resource limitations, including inadequate funding, a shortage of trained mental health professionals, and lack of access to care, pose significant obstacles [9]. Additionally, systemic issues such as overcrowded facilities and a punitive focus within the criminal justice system can detract from the prioritization of mental health care. Moreover, stigma surrounding mental illness can impede both individuals' willingness to seek help and the willingness of institutions to invest in mental health initiatives. To overcome these challenges, a multi-faceted approach is needed, including increased funding for mental health services, enhanced training for correctional staff, and the establishment of partnerships between mental health providers and the criminal justice system. Policymakers must recognize the integral role of mental health screening as a preventive measure, not merely an adjunct to correctional practices [10].

## Conclusion

The implementation of mental health screening in forensic populations is a critical step toward fostering a more humane and effective criminal justice system. As research consistently demonstrates the high prevalence of mental health disorders among individuals in these settings, it becomes increasingly clear that early identification and intervention can lead to significant improvements in individual well-being and public safety. By addressing mental health needs, we not only enhance rehabilitation efforts and reduce recidivism but also create a legal framework that respects the rights and dignity of individuals with mental illnesses.

Despite the numerous benefits, challenges such as resource limitations, systemic barriers, and societal stigma continue to impede the widespread adoption of mental health screening. Addressing these obstacles requires a collaborative approach, involving policymakers, mental health professionals, and criminal justice stakeholders to ensure

that mental health screening is prioritized and effectively integrated into the forensic system.

Ultimately, the importance of mental health screening in forensic populations transcends individual cases; it is a vital component of a just society that acknowledges the complexities of mental health and criminal behavior. By committing to these practices, we can move toward a system that not only seeks justice but also promotes healing, rehabilitation, and a more profound understanding of mental health in the context of law and order. In doing so, we pave the way for a future where individuals are treated with compassion and provided with the support they need to reintegrate into society as healthy, contributing members.

## References

1. Bonne S, Tufariello A, Coles Z, Hohl B, Ostermann M, et al. (2020) Identifying participants for inclusion in hospital-based violence intervention: An analysis of 18 years of urban firearm recidivism. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 89: 68-73.
2. Nygaard RM, Marek AP, Daly SR, Van Camp JM (2018) Violent trauma recidivism: Does all violence escalate?. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg* 44: 851-858.
3. Bernardin ME, Spectorosky K, Gu H, Fairfax C, Cutler K (2023) Child Firearm Injury Circumstances and Associations With Violence Intervention Program Enrollment. *J Surg Res* 285: 67-75.
4. Fahimi J, Larimer E, Hamud-Ahmed W, Anderson E, Schnorr CD, et al. (2016) Long-term mortality of patients surviving firearm violence. *Inj Prev* 22: 129-34.
5. Branas CC, Han S, Wiebe DJ (2016) Alcohol Use and Firearm Violence. *Epidemiol Rev* 38: 32-45.
6. Kayton ML, Staab V, Stahl B, Tan K, Russo L, et al. (2023) Health Inequities in Pediatric Trauma. *Children (Basel)* 10: 343.
7. Usdin S, Scheepers E, Goldstein S, Japhet G (2005) "Achieving Social Change on Gender-Based Violence: A Report on the Impact Evaluation of Soul City's Fourth Series." *Social Science and Medicine* 61: 2434-45.
8. Webster DW, Whitehill JM, Vernick JS, Curriero FC (2012) "Effects of Baltimore's Safe Streets Program on Gun Violence: A Replication of Chicago's CeaseFire Program". *Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine* 90: 27-40.
9. Stoltenborgh MA, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, Ijzendoorn MH van, Alink LR (2013) "Cultural-Geographical Differences in the Occurrence of Child Physical Abuse? A Meta-Analysis of Global Prevalence". *International Journal of Psychology* 48: 81-94.
10. Tharp AT, Degue S, Valle LA, Brookmeyer KA, Massetti GM, et al. (2012) A Systematic Qualitative Review of Risk and Protective Factors for Sexual Violence Perpetration. *Trauma Violence and Abuse* 14: 133-67.