

Open Access

The Role of Dental Cements in Peri-implant Soft Tissue Health

Roya Hazara*

Rocky Mountain Regional VA Medical Center, Aurora, Colorado, Nigeria

Abstract

Dental implants have revolutionized modern dentistry, offering a reliable and aesthetic solution for tooth replacement. While the focus often revolves around implant materials and surgical techniques, dental cements quietly play a crucial role in ensuring the long-term success of implant procedures. This abstract explores the significant yet often underestimated impact of dental cements on peri-implant soft tissue health.

Dental cements, as the binding agents between implant abutments and prosthetic components, serve a dual purpose: mechanical stability and soft tissue preservation. Microleakage prevention, precise tissue adaptation, biocompatibility, and the maintenance of implant stability and retention all contribute to the vital role that dental cements play in supporting healthy peri-implant soft tissues.

Choosing the right cement, considering factors such as implant type, biocompatibility, setting time, and bond strength, is a crucial decision for clinicians. When selected and applied thoughtfully, dental cements emerge as silent heroes, ensuring a harmonious blend of functionality, aesthetics, and soft tissue well-being in the realm of implant dentistry. Understanding their crucial role is fundamental to achieving optimal implant outcomes and patient satisfaction.

Introduction

Dental implants have become the gold standard for replacing missing teeth, offering patients the promise of a natural-looking and functional smile. However, the success of implant procedures goes beyond the implant fixture and prosthetic components. The oftenoverlooked hero in this story is dental cement. In this review article, we delve into the significance of dental cements in maintaining periimplant soft tissue health, shedding light on their pivotal role in ensuring long-term implant success [1].

Another advantage of cement-retained prosthesis is that the restorative cement possesses shock-absorbing properties which decrease the force distribution into the alveolar bone via the implant assembly. Lacking such shock-absorbing materials, the screw-retained prosthesis accumulates these stresses inside the implant assembly which may adversely affect their success rates [2].

One of the biggest challenges associated with cement-retained prosthesis is the difficulty in clearing the residual cement used in the luting of the prosthesis to the dental implant which may leave behind excess cement in the soft tissues around the implant.

In comparison of the control group with the zinc oxide eugenol group, zinc phosphate group and resin cement group, and GIC group, the viability of cells in the control group was found to be significantly higher. This suggests that the gingival fibroblasts are sensitive to cement exposure [3]. Their study also suggested that osteoblasts are comparatively less affected by the cement than fibroblasts.

Micro leakage prevention

One of the critical roles of dental cements is to establish a hermetic seal between the implant components. This seal prevents the infiltration of harmful microorganisms and fluids. Micro leakage can lead to bacterial invasion into the peri-implant soft tissues, potentially causing inflammation, infection, and soft tissue complications. Proper cement selection and precise application are vital for safeguarding the soft tissues surrounding the implant [4].

Tissue adaptation and comfort

The type and consistency of dental cement can significantly

influence the adaptation of soft tissues around the implant site. Poorly fitted restorations or excess cement can lead to tissue irritation, which may result in soft tissue inflammation and recession [5]. To prevent these complications, precise application and proper selection of dental cement are essential for maintaining patient comfort.

Biocompatibility matters

Dental cements are available in a range of formulations, and their biocompatibility can vary. The use of biocompatible cements reduces the risk of allergic reactions and tissue rejection, promoting better soft tissue health and patient satisfaction. Choosing materials with low allergenic potential is crucial in enhancing peri-implant soft tissue wellbeing [6,7].

Stability and retention

The stability and retention of implant-supported prostheses are vital for soft tissue health. Dental cements play a pivotal role in maintaining these aspects. A secure attachment of prosthetic components to implant abutments minimizes the risk of micro-movements that can irritate soft tissues. This, in turn, reduces the chances of complications like implant mucositis or peri-implantitis [8,9].

Selecting the right cement

Selecting the appropriate dental cement for implant cases is a critical decision that influences patient outcomes. Dentists and prosthodontists must consider factors such as the type of implant system used, the

*Corresponding author: Roya Hazara, Rocky Mountain Regional VA Medical Center, Aurora, Colorado, Nigeria, E-mail: Hazararoya@ry.gmail.com

Received: 03-Oct-2023, Manuscript No: jdpm-23-118277, Editor assigned: 06-Oct-2023, Pre-QC No: jdpm-23-118277 (PQ), Reviewed: 20-Oct-2023, QC No: jdpm-23-118277, Revised: 26-Oct-2023, Manuscript No: jdpm-23-118277 (R) Published: 31-Oct-2023, DOI: 10.4172/jdpm.1000175

Citation: Hazara R (2023) The Role of Dental Cements in Peri-implant Soft Tissue Health. J Dent Pathol Med 7: 175.

Copyright: © 2023 Hazara R. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Conclusion

In the realm of implant dentistry, dental cements play a far more significant role in peri-implant soft tissue health than they are often credited for. By establishing a hermetic seal, promoting biocompatibility, and ensuring stability, these cements contribute significantly to the overall success of implant procedures. Dentists and prosthodontists must exercise care in selecting the right cement and employing precise techniques to protect the soft tissues surrounding dental implants. When dental cements are used correctly, they become a cornerstone in the foundation of implant-supported restorations, ensuring their longevity and the well-being of the tissues that support them. Understanding the crucial role of dental cements in maintaining peri-implant soft tissue health is a step closer to achieving optimal implant outcomes and patient satisfaction.

References

1. Gould AR (2007) The Future of Oral Pathology Practice. Alpha Omegan 100: 190-193.

- 2. Summerlin DJ (1997) Teaching Oral Pathology. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 83: 308-309.
- Cheng FC, Chang JYF, Lin TC, Chang WC, Chiang CP, et al. (2020) Current Practice Patterns and Training Project of Oral Pathology Specialists in Taiwan. J Dent Sci 15: 168-175.
- Chen YK, Hsue SS, Lin DC, Wang WC, Chen JY, et al. (2008) An Application of Virtual Microscopy in the Teaching of an Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology Laboratory Course. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 105: 342-347.
- Iyer P, Aziz K, Ojcius DM (2020) Impact of COVID-19 on Dental Education in the United States. J Dent Educ 84: 718-722.
- Chang TY, Hong G, Paganelli C, Phantumvanit P, Chang WJ, et al. (2021) Innovation of Dental Education During COVID-19 Pandemic. J Dent Sci 16: 15-20.
- Haroon Z, Azad AA, Sharif M, Aslam A, Arshad K (2020) COVID-19 Era: Challenges and Solutions in Dental Education. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 30: 129-131.
- Ajayi OF, Adeyemo WL, Ladeinde AL, Ogunlewe MO, Effiom OA (2007) Primary Malignant Neoplasms of Orofacial Origin: A Retrospective Review of 256 Cases in A Nigerian Tertiary Hospital. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 36: 403-408.
- Warnakulasuriya S, Johnson NW, Waal IVD (2007) Nomenclature and Classification of Potentially Malignant Disorders of the Oral Mucosa. J Oral Pathol Med 36: 575-580.
- Petti S (2003) Pooled Estimate of World Leukoplakia Prevalence: A Systematic Review. Oral Oncol 39: 770-780.