

## The Role of Mental Health in Forensic Risk Assessments: Predicting Future Violence

Cattani Lucia\*

Department of Forensic Science, Curtin University Nursing & Midwifery, Australia

### Abstract

Forensic risk assessments play a critical role in predicting future violence, informing decisions in criminal justice systems such as sentencing, parole, and treatment planning. A key component of these assessments is the evaluation of an individual's mental health. Mental health conditions, particularly personality disorders, psychosis, and substance use disorders, are often associated with increased risk for violent behavior. This article explores the role of mental health in forensic risk assessments, reviewing the complex interplay between mental health diagnoses, historical risk factors, and situational triggers. Additionally, it examines the tools commonly used in forensic settings, such as structured risk assessment instruments, to assess the likelihood of future violence. Ethical considerations, including the potential for stigmatization and overreliance on mental health diagnoses in predicting violence, are also addressed. Understanding the nuanced role of mental health is crucial in improving the accuracy of forensic risk assessments and promoting fair, evidence-based decision-making in legal contexts.

**Keywords:** Mental health; Forensic risk assessments; Future violence; Prediction; Risk factors; Structured assessment tools; Ethical considerations; Rehabilitation; Criminal justice

### Introduction

Forensic risk assessments serve as a pivotal component in the intersection of mental health and the criminal justice system, particularly in evaluating the potential for future violence [1]. These assessments aim to inform judicial decisions regarding sentencing, parole eligibility, and the necessity for mental health interventions. As mental health issues continue to gain recognition for their impact on behavior, understanding their role in predicting violent outcomes has become increasingly important [2].

Research indicates that certain mental health disorders, including personality disorders, schizophrenia, and severe mood disorders, are associated with heightened risk for violence. However, the relationship between mental health and violent behavior is complex and multifaceted, influenced by a variety of factors such as environmental context, social circumstances, and individual history. Consequently, mental health cannot be viewed in isolation when assessing an individual's risk for future violence; rather, it must be integrated within a broader framework that considers both clinical and contextual elements [3].

This introduction examines the significance of mental health in forensic risk assessments, outlining the theoretical underpinnings and empirical evidence supporting its relevance. Furthermore, it highlights the necessity for structured and evidence-based assessment tools that incorporate mental health factors while mitigating potential biases. As forensic practitioners strive to enhance the accuracy of their evaluations, an informed understanding of mental health's role becomes essential in developing effective risk management strategies and ensuring just outcomes within the legal system [4].

### Discussion

The intersection of mental health and forensic risk assessments presents a critical area of exploration in predicting future violence. The complex relationship between various mental health conditions and violent behavior necessitates a nuanced understanding, as it has implications for both individual cases and broader systemic practices

within the criminal justice system [5].

One key consideration is the variability of mental health disorders in their manifestation and impact on behavior. While certain disorders, such as schizophrenia and borderline personality disorder, have been linked to increased risk of violence, this does not imply a deterministic relationship. Many individuals with these disorders do not engage in violent acts. Factors such as treatment adherence, social support, and environmental stressors play significant roles in shaping behavior. Therefore, risk assessments must move beyond merely identifying mental health conditions; they should also evaluate the broader context of the individual's life, including their coping mechanisms, support systems, and previous violent or non-violent behaviors [6].

Structured risk assessment tools have become instrumental in guiding forensic evaluations. Instruments like the Historical Clinical Risk Management-20 (HCR-20) and the Violence Risk Appraisal Guide (VRAG) integrate various risk factors, including mental health symptoms, to provide a more comprehensive assessment. However, the reliance on these tools raises concerns about potential biases. For instance, clinicians may overemphasize mental health diagnoses when predicting violence, potentially leading to stigmatization and unfair treatment of individuals with mental health issues [7]. Moreover, the effectiveness of these tools can be influenced by the evaluator's experience, biases, and the quality of information available, emphasizing the need for ongoing training and standardized practices. Ethical considerations also permeate the discussion around mental health in forensic risk assessments [8]. The potential for misuse of mental health information in legal settings poses significant ethical

**\*Corresponding author:** Cattani Lucia, Department of Forensic Science, Curtin University Nursing & Midwifery, Australia, E-mail: cattaniluc.kar@hotmail.au

**Received:** 04-Oct-2024, Manuscript No: gnfs-24-151101; **Editor assigned:** 07-Oct-2024, Pre QC No. gnfs-24-151101 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 21-Oct-2024, QC No. gnfs-24-151101; **Revised:** 25-Oct-2024, Manuscript No. gnfs-24-151101 (R); **Published:** 30-Oct-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2572-0899.1000297

**Citation:** Cattani L (2024) The Role of Mental Health in Forensic Risk Assessments: Predicting Future Violence. Glob J Nurs Forensic Stud, 8: 297.

**Copyright:** © 2024 Cattani L. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

dilemmas. Professionals in the field must balance the need for accurate assessments with the responsibility to avoid perpetuating stigma and discrimination against individuals with mental health disorders [9]. This challenge is compounded by the often punitive nature of the criminal justice system, which may overlook the rehabilitative potential of individuals diagnosed with mental health conditions. The ongoing dialogue around mental health and violence prediction underscores the necessity for interdisciplinary collaboration among mental health professionals, legal experts, and policymakers. By fostering a shared understanding of the complexities of mental health, practitioners can develop more effective strategies for assessment and intervention, ultimately improving outcomes for individuals within the criminal justice system. Future research should focus on refining risk assessment tools to better capture the intricacies of mental health, ensuring that they remain grounded in evidence-based practices while also being sensitive to the potential for harm [10].

## Conclusion

The role of mental health in forensic risk assessments is a critical consideration in the effort to predict future violence and inform judicial processes. As our understanding of mental health evolves, it becomes increasingly clear that mental disorders do not exist in a vacuum; rather, they interact with a myriad of individual, contextual, and environmental factors that influence behavior. Effective risk assessments must take these complexities into account, integrating clinical evaluations with an understanding of the broader social and situational contexts surrounding individuals.

Structured assessment tools provide valuable frameworks for evaluating the risk of future violence, yet they must be utilized with caution to avoid the pitfalls of bias and stigmatization. It is imperative that mental health professionals are trained to apply these tools in a way that balances accuracy with ethical considerations, ensuring that assessments do not reinforce harmful stereotypes or contribute to systemic inequalities. The potential for misuse of mental health information in legal contexts underscores the importance of fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, bringing together mental health

experts, legal practitioners, and policymakers to promote fair and just practices. Ultimately, advancing our approach to forensic risk assessments requires a commitment to ongoing research, education, and refinement of assessment methodologies. By embracing a holistic view of mental health and violence prediction, we can work toward more effective interventions, rehabilitation, and support systems that not only enhance public safety but also uphold the dignity and rights of individuals facing mental health challenges. This balanced approach will not only improve the efficacy of forensic risk assessments but also contribute to a more just and compassionate criminal justice system.

## References

1. Valentine JL (2014) Why we do what we do: A theoretical evaluation of the integrated practice model for forensic nursing science. *J Forensic Nurs* 10: 113-119.
2. Valentine JL, Sekula LK, Lynch V (2020) Evolution of forensic nursing theory-Introduction of the constructed theory of forensic nursing care: A middle-range theory. *J Forensic Nurs* 16: 188-198.
3. Hammer R (2000) Caring in forensic nursing: Expanding the holistic model. *J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv* 38: 18-24.
4. Maeve KM, Vaughn MS (2001) Nursing with prisoners: The practice of caring, forensic nursing or penal harm nursing? *Adv Nurs Sci* 24: 47-64.
5. Drake SA, Adams NL (2015) Three forensic nursing science simulations. *Clin Simul Nurs* 11: 194-198.
6. Hobbs CJ, Bilo RA (2009) Non-accidental trauma: clinical aspects and epidemiology of child abuse. *Pediatr Radiol* 6: 34-37.
7. Geddes JF (2009) Nonaccidental trauma: clinical aspects and epidemiology of child abuse. *Pediatr Radiol* 39: 759.
8. Geddes JF, Tasker RC, Hackshaw AK (2003) Dural haemorrhage in non-traumatic infant deaths: does it explain the bleeding in 'shaken baby syndrome'? *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* 29: 14-22.
9. Geddes JF, Talbert DG (2006) Paroxysmal coughing, subdural and retinal bleeding: a computer modelling approach. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* 32: 625-634.
10. Cohen MC, Scheimberg I (2008) Evidence of occurrence of intradural and subdural hemorrhage in the perinatal and neonatal period in the context of hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy. An observational study from two referral institutions in the United Kingdom. *Pediatr Dev Pathol* 63: 92-96.