

The Use of Cone Beam Computed Tomography in Image-Guided Radiotherapy

Dandan Zheng*

Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Nebraska Medical Center, 42nd and Emile, Omaha, NE 68198, USA

Editorial

In the past few decades, three-dimensional (3D) virtual simulation with computed tomography enabled more focused radiotherapy delivery such as intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT), which delivers high dose to the target while simultaneously sparing nearby critical tissues. More recently, image guidance at the treatment sessions further promoted these advances in ensuring accurate localization of the target and reducing required setup margins. Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT), especially, has played an increasingly important role in contemporary image-guide radiotherapy (IGRT). With either megavoltage (MV) or kilovoltage (kV) x-rays, CBCT is so named because it utilizes a two-dimensionally collimated rectangular or cone-shaped beam and a flat-panel detector (FPD). It provides soft-tissue detectability for more precise target localization, and generates 3D images capable of treatment adaptation. New advances in CBCT technology correlate the images with respiration, enable image acquisition during the treatment, further improve the image quality, and reduce the imaging dose. Therefore, this important imaging modality has gained enormous popularity and is quickly replacing 2D based IGRT.

The two main categories of CBCT used in IGRT are MV CBCT, which uses the treatment beam and an opposing FPD, and kV CBCT, which uses a kV source and an FPD mounted perpendicular to the treatment beam on the gantry [1,2]. Although with sub-optimal beam energy for imaging, MV CBCT requires fewer hardware components and has advantages in imaging patients with metal prosthesis. On the other hand, kV CBCT enjoys distinctly better image-quality and better dose tradeoff, therefore finds wider applications in IGRT.

Currently, the most prevalent clinical application is for patient setup. CBCT in-room imaging allows accurate inter- and intra-fraction target localization. Studies have demonstrated the feasibility of using CBCT-based patient setup to replace fiducial markers [3], immobilization frames [4], and reduce the setup margins for many anatomical sites including lung, prostate, head-and-neck, etc. [5-7]. A great example is body stereotactic radiotherapy of the lung: CBCT image guidance has substantially improved inter- and intra-fraction target positioning and reduced required treatment margins.

Another advanced utilization of CBCT is for treatment adaptation. During the treatment course, patient may have inter-fractional and intra-fractional anatomy changes, such as tumor shrinkage and patient weight-loss often seen in head-and-neck cancer, target deformation prominent in prostate and uterine cancers, and respiratory-correlated target motion present in lung, liver, and pancreas cancers. There has been a paradigm change from the single plan treatment that is based only on the static planning CT, to adaptive radiotherapy that incorporates the above variables and adapts the treatment accordingly. Treatment fraction CBCT provides the most convenient and "real-time" 3D images for plan adaptation, resulting in the delivered treatments that are truly optimized throughout the treatment course [8-11]. Furthermore, new CBCT acquisition techniques have been devised to incorporate the breathing motion, such as respiratory-correlated or 4D, gated, and breath-hold acquisitions [12,13]. These

advanced images are used for analyzing inter- and intra-fractional motion for thoracic tumors, and for delivering gated, or 4D-adaptive treatments [14].

Thus far, CBCT has mostly been acquired prior to the treatment for IGRT. With increasing utilization of the volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT), simultaneous CBCT acquisition during the VMAT delivery has also been investigated [15].

Despite its vast applications and important potentials in IGRT, CBCT is also criticized for the sub-optimal image quality and for the ionization radiation dose. Going hand-in-hand with the intense research efforts to further expand the CBCT applications in IGRT, research on perfecting the technology and reducing/optimizing the imaging dose [16], is therefore important in making the best of this prominent technology.

References

1. Jaffray DA, Siewerdsen JH, Wong JW, Martinez AA (2002) Flat-panel cone-beam computed tomography for image-guided radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 53: 1337-1349.
2. Groh BA, Siewerdsen JH, Drake DG, Wong JW, Jaffray DA (2002) A performance comparison of flat-panel imager-based MV and kV cone-beam CT. *Med Phys* 29: 967-975.
3. Moseley DJ, White EA, Wiltshire KL, Rosewall T, Sharpe MB, et al. (2007) Comparison of localization performance with implanted fiducial markers and cone-beam computed tomography for on-line image-guided radiotherapy of the prostate. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 67: 942-953.
4. Masi L, Casamassima F, Polli C, Menichelli C, Bonucci I, et al. (2008) Cone beam CT image guidance for intracranial stereotactic treatments: comparison with a frame guided set-up. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 71: 926-933.
5. Wang J, Bai S, Chen N, Xu F, Jiang X, et al. (2009) The clinical feasibility and effect of online cone beam computer tomography-guided intensity-modulated radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal cancer. *Radiother Oncol* 90: 221-227.
6. Grills IS, Hugo G, Kestin LL, Galerani AP, Chao KK, et al. (2008) Image-guided radiotherapy via daily online cone-beam CT substantially reduces margin requirements for stereotactic lung radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 70: 1045-1056.
7. Létourneau D, Martinez AA, Lockman D, Yan D, Vargas C, et al. (2005) Assessment of residual error for online cone-beam CT-guided treatment of prostate cancer patients. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 62: 1239-1246.
8. Maruyama M, Murakami R, Kakei K, Takenaga E, Tsujita N, et al. (2012) Cone-beam Computed Tomography-derived Adaptive Radiotherapy. *Nihon Hoshasen Gijutsu Gakkai Zasshi* 68: 162-168.

*Corresponding author: Dandan Zheng, Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Nebraska Medical Center, 42nd and Emile, Omaha, NE 68198, USA, Tel: 530-219-0423; E-mail: dandan.zheng@unmc.edu

Received March 19, 2012; Accepted March 22, 2012; Published March 25, 2012

Citation: Zheng D (2012) The Use of Cone Beam Computed Tomography in Image-Guided Radiotherapy. *OMICS J Radiology*. 1:e104. doi:10.4172/2167-7964.1000e104

Copyright: © 2012 Zheng D, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

9. Vestergaard A, Søndergaard J, Petersen JB, Høyer M, Muren LP (2010) A comparison of three different adaptive strategies in image-guided radiotherapy of bladder cancer. *Acta Oncol* 49: 1069-1076.
10. Hawkins MA, Brooks C, Hansen VN, Aitken A, Tait DM (2010) Cone beam computed tomography-derived adaptive radiotherapy for radical treatment of esophageal cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 77: 378-383.
11. Nijkamp J, Pos FJ, Nuver TT, de Jong R, Remeijer P, et al. (2008) Adaptive radiotherapy for prostate cancer using kilovoltage cone-beam computed tomography: first clinical results. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 70: 75-82.
12. Lu J, Guerrero TM, Munro P, Jeung A, Chi PC, et al. (2007) Four-dimensional cone beam CT with adaptive gantry rotation and adaptive data sampling. *Med Phys* 34: 3520-3529.
13. Sonke JJ, Zipp L, Remeijer P, van Herk M (2005) Respiratory correlated cone beam CT. *Med Phys* 32: 1176-1186.
14. Li G, Citrin D, Camphausen K, Mueller B, Burman C, et al. (2008) Advances in 4D medical imaging and 4D radiation therapy. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 7: 67-81.
15. van Herk M, Ploeger L, Sonke JJ (2011) A novel method for megavoltage scatter correction in cone-beam CT acquired concurrent with rotational irradiation. *Radiother Oncol* 100: 365-369.
16. Wang J, Li T, Liang Z, Xing L (2008) Dose reduction for kilovoltage cone-beam computed tomography in radiation therapy. *Phys Med Biol* 53: 2897-2909.