Short Communication Open Access

Treating Images Reasonable for Prostate Cancer Diagnosis without Histological Evidence

Robert Jacob

Departments of Oncology, University of Florida, Florida, United States

Introduction

Before practically any prostate biopsy, Magnetic Resonance imaging diagnosis is almost certain [4]. Imaging (MRI) is advised as it has emerged as a crucial test in the diagnosis of Prostate Cancer (PCa). In an effort to improve the diagnosis of clinically significant PCa (csPCa), research teams have recently merged MRI with Prostate Specific Membrane Antigen Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography (PSMA PET/ CT). Given the cost of PSMA PET/CT and its unavailability in some nations, its cost effectiveness and viability as an addition to the MRI two sensitive tests offers, at least theoretically, one significant benefit: Patients with negative results on both exams might be able to skip biopsy without risk [1].

Description

Heetman is published in the October 2022 issue of European urology open science. The possibility of treating patients with highly positive MRI results (e.g. a Prostate Imaging-data and Reporting System (PI-RADS) score of 4-5) and PSMA PET/CT results (e.g. a maximal Standardized Uptake Value (SUVmax) of 8 mSv) without patients would now be undergoing unnecessary medication. tissue confirmation.

both an MRI and a PSMA PET/CT at their institution, either for staging purposes or as part of an active surveillance trial, scientists demonstrated both positive PSMA PET/CT (SUVmax 8 mSv) and MRI and PSMA PET/CT reported by expert centres in recent research. positive MRI (PI-RADS 4-5) results. 181 (97.8%) of the 185 patients had cancer that was Grade Group (GG) 2 by the international society of urological pathology at the time of the biopsy [2].

In addition, 62 (88.5%) of the 70 patients with a PI-RADS grade of 4-5 on MRI and SUVmax 16 mSv on PSMA PET/CT had GG 3 malignancy. The concept of treating patients without histological evidence of cancer is not novel in medicine, although being controversial. The majority of renal masses are surgically removed without first undergoing a biopsy, as stated by the authors [3]. Hepatocarcinoma can be detected in the existence of cirrhotic liver using computed tomography criteria without a biopsy.

Before treating patients merely on the basis of concordant prostate MRI and PSMA PET/CT findings, however, a number of variables urge caution. First, the analogies between the examples of renal masses and hepatocarcinoma are invalid. In cirrhotic persons, liver biopsy is associated to significantly higher morbidity than prostate biopsy, and hepatocarcinoma is highly common in cirrhotic livers. biopsy, and hepatocarcinoma is highly common in cirrhotic livers. 15-December-2022, PreQC No. AOT-22-83260; Reviewed: 29-Because many benign renal masses grow over time and because robot December-2022, QC No. AOT-22-83260; Revised: 27-March-2023, Manuscript ssisted nephron sparing surgery does have a low morbidity, it is considered appropriate to extract a suspicious small renal mass that Citation: Jacob R (2023) Treating Images Reasonable for Prostate Cancer proves turned out to be benign. Radiation therapies for the prostate and Diagnosis without Histological Evidence. J Oncol Res Treat 8: 220. radical prostatectomy on the other hand are associated with significant Copyright: © 2023 Jacob R. This is an open-access article distributed under the morbidity; subjecting a patient without PCa to these treatments does not seem appropriate. In light of this, PCa treatment without use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and histological confirmation should only be considered in cases when the

Is this a true statement? Indicate a Positive Predictive Value (PPV) of 97.8% (181/185) for GG 2 cancer with specificity of 96.6% (112/116) and sensitivity of 52.8% (181/343).

These outcomes are positive. But are they adequate? Would the urological community agree to have two out of every 100 patients undergo inappropriate chemotherapy or surgery for the prostate only based diagnostic method remain in doubt. Nevertheless, combining to avoid a biopsy? Moreover, the prevalence of GG 2 cancer was high (74.7%, 343/459) due to the fact that every patient in the series studied by Heetman had been diagnosed with PCa. This is not typical of cohorts of patients who have been diagnosed with PCa, where the incidence of csPCa typically ranges from 35% to 55%. Sadly, the mathematical value of PPV declines with prevalence. The PPV for combining MRI and PSMA PET/CT in a population with a csPCa prevalence of 45% would be 92.7% when 52.8% sensitivity and 96.6% specificity are taken into account [5].

Even though this remains relatively good, seven out of every 100

Nevertheless, this is perhaps an optimistic forecast. We should also Based on a retrospective analysis of 459 patients who underwent evaluate the PSMA PET/CT, which is good but not perfect and prostate MRI, whose inter reader repeatability is at best moderate. Therefore, there is no assurance that less experienced institutions will come to their conclusion. A total of 185 patients (40.3%) be able to match the outstanding diagnostic specificity of combined

> csPCa is not a homogeneous entity, to sum up. The prognosis and required care vary among GG 2 cancers with cribriform/intraductal architecture, GG 2 cancers without cribriform/intraductal architecture and GG 5 cancers.

> Prostate biopsy can disclose guidelines on tumour activity that imaging is unlikely to disclose, at least in the near future, even though it is not a perfect method due to sample errors. As the authors point out, immunotherapy will lead to an increase in the use of DNA testing and surgery is not the only option for treating PCa. Without a biopsy, no pathology information would be accessible following radiation or ablative therapy, which could be harmful if metastatic development occurred.

> *Corresponding author: Robert Jacob, Departments of Oncology, University of Florida, Florida, United States; E-mail: Jacobrobert@hotmail.com

> Received: 13-December-2022, Manuscript No. AOT-22-83260; Editor assigned: 15-December-2022, AOT-22-83260; Published: 04-April-2023, DOI: 10.4172/aot.1000220

terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted source are credited.

The urological community is definitely faced with a significant issue in reducing the amount of needless prostate biopsies. Selecting the right patients for a biopsy can and will be aided by modern technology.

Conclusion

However, this will primarily be accomplished by better identifying patients who are at an extremely low risk of csPCa and who can safely forgo biopsy. Should patients who have extremely suspect imaging findings forgo biopsy as well? Given the generally safe profile of prostate biopsy, we think there is more to lose than gain in this situation. It is perhaps also important to keep in mind that medicine is about treating individuals, not their scans, in a time when images permeate every aspect of our lives.

References

 Eggener SE, Scardino PT, Carroll PR, Zelefsky MJ, Sartor O, et al. (2007) Focal therapy for localized prostate cancer: A critical appraisal of rationale and modalities. J Urology 178: 2260-2267.

- Pucar D, Hricak H, Shukla-Dave A, Kuroiwa K, Drobnjak M, et al. (2007)
 Clinically significant prostate cancer local recurrence after radiation
 therapy occurs at the site of primary tumor: Magnetic resonance imaging
 and step section pathology evidence. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 69:
- Ahmed HU, Hindley RG, Dickinson L, Freeman A, Kirkham AP, et al. (2012) Focal therapy for localised unifocal and multifocal prostate cancer: A prospective development study. Lancet Oncol 13: 622-632.
- de Visschere PJ, Standaert C, Futterer JJ, Villeirs GM, Panebianco V, et al. (2019) A systematic review on the role of imaging in early recurrent prostate cancer. Eur Urol Oncol 2: 47-76.
- Lindner U, Weersink RA, Haider MA, Gertner MR, Davidson SR, et al. (2009) Image guided photothermal focal therapy for localized prostate cancer: Phase I trial. J Urol 182: 1371-1377.