

Review Article

Upper Abdominal Surgery in Patients with Coeliac Artery Stenosis

Kyoji Ito, Nobuyuki Takemura^{*}, Ryo Oikawa, Fuyuki Inagaki, Fuminori Mihara and Norihiro Kokudo

Department of Surgery, National Centre for Global Health and Medicine, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, Japan

*Corresponding author: Nobuyuki Takemura, Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Surgery Division, Department of Surgery, National Centre for Global Health and Medicine, 1-21-1 Toyama, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8655, Japan, Tel +81-3-3202-7800; Fax +81-3-5273-0113; E-mail: nkokudo@hosp.ncgm.go.jp Received date: May 11, 2020; Accepted date: May 26, 2020; Published date: June 02, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Takemura N, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Background and aim: Coeliac artery stenosis (CAS) is known as stenosis or obstruction of the coeliac artery (CA) due to various factors including median arcuate ligament (MAL) syndrome and atherosclerosis. Although CAS is often asymptomatic because of the formation of collateral blood flow, it occasionally causes ischemic complications after upper abdominal surgery. The aim of the present study was to overview reports on upper abdominal surgery in patients with CAS.

Methods: The articles on the clinical relevance of CAS and upper abdominal surgery were searched for in the PubMed database.

Results: Surgical procedures with relevance to CAS-related complications are pancreaticoduodenectomy, liver transplantation, oesophagectomy, and gastrectomy. Vascular clamping tests and Doppler ultrasonography are useful to evaluate the haemodynamics of CAS during surgery, although there were some reports with postoperative organ ischemia despite no signs of ischemic findings during surgery. The main strategies to resolve CAS are divided into four approaches: MAL division, preservation of the collateral artery, vascular reconstruction, and angioplasty by interventional radiology; although there were few reports comparing these approaches in upper abdominal surgery with CAS.

Conclusion: There was no established consensus on when an intervention is required for CAS in upper abdominal surgery. Therefore, careful assessment of arterial flow during and after surgery should be required to avoid CAS-related complications in upper abdominal surgery.

Keywords: Coeliac Artery Stenosis; Upper Abdominal Surgery; Ischemic Complication; Pancreaticoduodenectomy; Liver Transplantation; Oesophagectomy; Gastrectomy

Abbreviations: CA: Coeliac Artery; CAS: Coeliac Artery Stenosis; CHA: Common Hepatic Artery; GDA: Gastroduodenal Artery; IPDA: Inferior Pancreaticoduodenal Artery; IVR: Interventional Radiology; MAL: Median Arcuate Ligament; MALS: Median Arcuate Ligament Syndrome; PD: Pancreaticoduodenectomy; SMA: Superior Mesenteric Artery; SPA: Splenic Artery; MDCT: Multidetector Computed Tomography.

Introduction

The coeliac artery (CA) is the first major branch of the abdominal aorta supplying blood to the liver, stomach, spleen, duodenum, and pancreas. Stenosis or obstruction of the CA, known as coeliac artery stenosis (CAS), can result from various factors, including median arcuate ligament syndrome (MALS), atherosclerosis, invasion of malignancies, pancreatitis, acute and chronic aortic dissection, congenital causes, and iatrogenicity [1]. The incidence of CAS varies from 2%-24% among subjects and across studies. CAS is often asymptomatic because of the formation of collateral blood flow from the superior mesenteric artery (SMA) system to the CA system [2]. However, CAS is reportedly associated with ischemic complications after upper abdominal surgery because of the division of the collateral arteries or lower arterial supply from CA to the upper abdominal

organs. However, there have been few articles reviewing upper abdominal surgeries in terms of CAS. In the present report, we review these upper abdominal surgeries with special reference to ischemic complications and treatment approaches in patients with CAS.

Upper Abdominal Surgeries and CAS

A search for reports on CAS in upper abdominal surgery was conducted using the PubMed database on May 2, 2020. The search words were "(celiac artery OR celiac axis) AND (stenosis OR occlusion OR compression OR obstruction) AND (ischemia OR complication)". Thus far, surgical procedures reportedly associated with ischemic complications due to CAS are pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD), liver transplantation, oesophagectomy, and gastrectomy.

In patients with CAS, the reduction of arterial supply to organs in the CA region is compensated by the SMA through the collateral arteries around the pancreas. In many cases, the main collateral pathway is the gastroduodenal artery (GDA) [2-5] and therefore, surgical procedures including the division of the GDA must pay attention to CAS to avoid organ ischemia in the perioperative period. In this context, the most cautious surgical procedure in patients with CAS is PD in which the GDA is divided [6]. On the other hand, CASassociated postoperative ischemic complications in the upper abdominal organs were also reported in other surgical procedures division including liver without GDA transplantation, oesophagectomy, and gastrectomy, which is most likely due to the potential decreased arterial flow to the organs from the CA and consequent susceptibility to perioperative hypotension after surgery. The summary and cautionary points stratified by the surgical procedures were described as follows.

Pancreaticoduodenectomy

CAS-related complications after PD include ischemia of the upper gastrointestinal organs including the liver [7-10], pancreas [8-11], spleen [11], and stomach [11,12]. In addition, a recent report showed that substantial atherosclerotic CA stenosis may be a risk factor for biliary fistula [12]. Preoperative evaluation and diagnosis of CAS are crucial in PD to avoid these CAS-related complications, and Giovanardi et al. presented an intraoperative flowchart using a GDA clamping test for the assessment and management of CAS [13]. Briefly, under the GDA clamping test, arterial flow in the coeliac region is evaluated by digital pulsation or Doppler ultrasonography. If the GDA clamping test is negative, namely no reduction in the arterial flow in the CA system, typical PD with GDA division is usually possible [13]. However, if the GDA clamping test is positive, namely a significant decrease or loss of arterial flow in the CA system, additional procedures to avoid ischemia in the upper abdominal organs would be required. In cases of CAS due to median arcuate ligament (MAL) compression, MAL division is a safe and feasible procedure and should be attempted first [13,14]. If MAL division is not effective or CAS is caused by other reasons, the effective strategies reported previously include preservation of the collateral arteries, vascular reconstruction, and angioplasty by interventional radiology (IVR). The preservation of the collateral arteries may be an option when there exists a subsequent collateral artery bypass between the SMA and CA systems, and preservation of the artery should be technically permissible and oncologically acceptable. The candidate arteries for preservation are the GDA [7,15-17], dorsal pancreatic artery [18,19], replaced or accessory right hepatic artery [10-20], and the arc of Buhler [21,22]. As for vascular reconstruction, the reported procedures include direct artery-to-artery anastomosis and bypass using the great saphenous vein. Direct artery-to-artery anastomosis has been conducted in an end-to-end fashion between the inferior pancreatoduodenal artery (IPDA)-GDA [11,12], middle colic artery (MCA)-GDA [23,24], and MCA-right gastroepiploic artery [10], and in an end-to-side fashion between the splenic artery (SPA)-SMA [25], common hepatic artery (CHA)-aorta [7], CA-aorta [7,26], and left gastric artery-aorta [27]. The bypass routes reconstructed using the great saphenous vein are the IPDA-SPA [16], left iliac artery-SPA [28], and aorta-CHA [29-31]. Angioplasty by IVR is also reportedly effective to resolve CAS. Although balloon dilatation was initially reported [32], recent reports showed the efficacy of endovascular stenting to CA [33-35]. Endovascular stenting by IVR can be conducted anytime in the pre-, intra-, and postoperative period, and the arterial flow in the CA system can be secured less invasively compared with other surgical approaches, although an endovascular approach is sometimes difficult in cases of severe stenosis or complete CA obstruction.

Currently, there have been no reports comparing the treatment approaches mentioned above, and thus, further studies are required to establish a strategy for CAS in PD. In addition, there were some reported cases with postoperative organ ischemia despite no signs of ischemic findings after GDA division [8,36,37]; therefore, careful assessment of the arterial flow in the upper abdominal organs in the postoperative period should be required to avoid CAS-related ischemic complications in PD.

Liver transplantation

CAS is reported to predispose transplantation recipients to hepatic artery thrombosis (HAT) due to a decrease in the CHA flow [38,39]. Vilatobá et al. reported a case of postoperative early HAT due to MALS, in which immediate MAL division and re-anastomosis was effective to restore adequate flow to the hepatic artery [39]. Mochizuki et al. reported the efficacy of test occlusion of GDA or CHA to determine the indications of additional procedures to resolve CAS [40]. To minimize the risk for HAT in recipients with MALS, various vascular reconstructive techniques were proposed: release of the MAL, aorto-hepatic graft interposition, or standard reconstruction of the hepatic artery without division of the GDA (standard anastomosis) [41]. Of these, Czigany et al. recommend the MAL division in all recipients with MALS and revealed no difference in early- and longterm outcomes [42]. Aorto-hepatic graft interposition was reportedly performed using an iliac artery graft, and the incidence of thrombosis was 5.3%-21.8% [43,44]. Lubrano et al. reported no significant difference in HAT incidence between aorto-hepatic bypass and standard anastomosis in orthotopic liver transplantation [41], suggesting the GDA could be functioned as a sufficient collateral artery from the SMA system.

As for donor hepatectomy, there have been a few reports showing the relevance of CAS. Akamatsu et al. reported the safety of donor hepatectomy in patients with CAS by careful dissection of the hepatic artery so as not to damage the contralateral arteries from the SMA system, confirming favourable hepatic arterial flow after hepatectomy under test clamping of the CHA [45]. Douard et al. reported a case of donor hepatectomy with MALS in which the thin middle hepatic artery due to MALS was enlarged by a two-step strategy including MAL and GDA divisions in the first operation and subsequent left liver procurement five weeks later [46].

In almost all reports on CAS in liver transplantation, the aetiology of CAS was MAL compression, and there were few reports on atherosclerotic CAS in liver transplantation. Further studies are required to demonstrate the influence of atherosclerotic CAS on the outcomes of liver transplantation.

Oesophagectomy

There were a few reports showing the association between CASrelated ischemic complications and oesophagectomy. A recent large cohort of 481 oesophagectomies reported by Lainas et al. revealed that CAS was associated with gastric conduit necrosis [47]. They classified CAS into extrinsic (caused by MAL compression) and intrinsic (mainly due to atherosclerosis) stenosis. In the gastric conduit necrosis group, the incidences of extrinsic and intrinsic stenosis of CA were 50% and 80%, respectively, which was significantly higher in the no oesophageal conduit necrosis group. On the other hand, Jefferies et al. reported no significant association between the incidence of gastric conduit necrosis and atherosclerotic CAS [48]. They scored the calcification of the proximal aorta, distal aorta, coeliac trunk, and branches of the coeliac trunk, and there was no significant correlation between the calcification of any of the vessels and gastric conduit necrosis. Further studies are required to reveal the relationship between CAS and gastric conduit necrosis in oesophagectomies.

Gastrectomy

Thus far, there have been a few case reports on the relationship between gastrectomy and CAS. Rego et al. reported a case of CAS- related liver ischemia associated with anastomotic failure and sepsis after distal gastrectomy, in which the stenotic CA was ballooned and stented by IVR and almost all of the liver was re-perfused [49]. Nakano et al. showed the diagnostic difficulty of CA occlusion in patients with former distal gastrectomy with Kocher's manoeuvre due to the displacement of the coeliac arterial branches [50]. Whereas the clinical significance between CAS and gastrectomy has been hardly reported, Yoshida et al. reported the efficacy of simultaneous MAL division in laparoscopic gastrectomy [51].Considering the easiness to approach MAL during gastrectomy, simultaneous MAL resection with gastrectomy may be an option in patients with MALS.



Figure 1: Representative images of CAS in the sagittal plane on MDCT are presented. (a) CAS due to MAL compression. The characteristic hooked appearance is observed (arrowhead). (b) Atherosclerotic CAS. (c) Concomitant CAS by MAL compression and atherosclerosis.

Diagnosis and treatment indication of CAS in upper abdominal surgery

CAS is traditionally diagnosed using catheter angiography [52], and recent reports revealed the usefulness of MDCT (Figure 1) and MRI for the diagnosis of CAS [4,53], and is deemed significant if there is \geq 50% luminal narrowing of the CA orifice [52]. Although the treatment indication of CAS itself is reported to be the presence of symptoms [54], there is no established consensus on when an intervention is required for CAS according to the stenotic rate in upper

abdominal surgery. Therefore, intraoperative evaluation is essential to determine the requirement of additional procedures for CAS. The GDA clamp test is the most feasible and effective procedure to assess haemodynamics in PD [13] and the CHA clamp test is also helpful in liver transplantation [40-45]. Doppler ultrasonography is used to confirm the arterial flow in the liver after GDA clamping [14] and dynamic nature of MALS with respiration [55].Indocyanine green fluorescence is useful to evaluate the arterial flow in the remnant organs during a vascular clamp test or after resection [56]. However, these intraoperative methods are not definitive considering some reports in which postoperative organ ischemia developed despite no signs of ischemic findings during surgery [8,36,37], and therefore, careful assessment of the arterial flow in the upper abdominal organs during and after operation should be required to avoid CAS-related complications in PD.

Summary of Treatment Strategies in CAS

The treatment strategies for CAS in upper abdominal surgery are summarized in Table 1 below. The strategies are practically divided into four approaches: MAL division, preservation of the collateral artery, vascular reconstruction, and angioplasty by IVR. MAL division is an easy, feasible, and a less invasive procedure, although it can be applied only for CAS due to MAL compression. In addition, special care should be taken when dividing the MAL not to injure the enlarged collateral veins due to portal hypertension in liver transplantation [40] or CA itself [38]. Preservation of the collateral artery is physiological and less invasive but requires substantial collateral artery, and preservation of the artery should be technically and oncologically permissible. Vascular reconstruction is an eventual method to solve CAS during surgery, which is applicable to almost all patients. However, the procedure is technically complicated and demanding and careful attention must be paid to postoperative anastomotic failure. Finally, angioplasty by IVR is the least invasive and can be conducted anytime in the perioperative period; however, the approach would be difficult in cases with severe stenosis or complete obstruction of CA. Considering the characteristics of these treatment strategies, an adequate approach should be selected according to the extent of CAS, vascular anatomy of patients, patient's condition, surgeon's experience, and institutional resources.

Procedure	Comment	Advantages	Disadvantages
MAL division	-	Easy, feasible, less invasive	Only in CAS due to MAL compression
Preservation of collateral artery	Candidate of collateral artery GDA Dorsal pancreatic artery Replaced or accessory RHA Arc of Buhler	Physiological, less invasive	Only in case with substantial collateral artery Preservation of the arcade should be technically and oncologically permissible
Vascular reconstruction	Direct artery-to-artery anastomosis End-to-end anastomosis IPDA-GDA MCA-GDA MCA-RGEA End-to side anastomosis SPA-SMA CHA-aorta	Applicable to any patients	Complicated procedure Possibility of anastomotic failure

Page 3 of 6

	CA-aorta		
	LGA-aorta		
	Reconstruction using vascular graft		
	CHA-aorta		
	CA-aorta		
	IPDA-SPA bypass		
	Left iliac artery-SPA bypass		
Angioplasty by IVR	Balloon dilation or stent insertion	Less invasive, anytime available in perioperative periods	Difficulty in severe stenosis or complete obstruction of CA

Abbreviations: CA: Coeliac Artery; CAS: Coeliac Artery Stenosis; CHA: Common Hepatic Artery; GDA: Gastroduodenal Artery; IPDA: Inferior Pancreaticoduodenal Artery; LGA: Left Gastric Artery; MAL: Median Arcuate Ligament; MCA: Middle Colic Artery; RGEA: Right Gastroepiploic Artery; RHA: Right Hepatic Artery; SMA: Superior Mesenteric Artery; SPA: Splenic Artery.

Table 1: Treatment options of CAS in upper abdominal surgery.

Conclusion

We overviewed the reports on upper abdominal surgery in patients with CAS. As there is no established consensus on when an intervention is required for CAS in upper abdominal surgery, careful assessment of the arterial flow during and after surgery should be required to avoid CAS-related complications in upper abdominal surgery.

Conflict of Interest

All the authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Financial Support

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Author Contributions

NT and KI contributed to the conception and design of the study. All authors contributed to the acquisition and analysis of data. KI, and NT were a major contributor in writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgment

None

References

- 1. Sakorafas GH, Sarr MG, Peros G (2008) Celiac artery stenosis: An underappreciated and unpleasant surprise in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy. J Am Coll Surg 206: 349-356.
- Song S-Y, Chung JW, Kwon JW, Joh JH, Shin SJ, et al. (2002) Collateral pathways in patients with celiac axis stenosis: angiographic-spiral CT correlation. Radiographics 22: 881-893.
- Van Petersen AS, Kolkman JJ, Gerrits DG, Der Palen JV, Zeebregts CJ, et al. (2017) Clinical significance of mesenteric arterial collateral circulation in patients with celiac artery compression syndrome. J Vasc Surg 65: 1366-1374.
- Heo S, Kim HJ, Kim B, Lee JH, Kim J, et al. (2018) Clinical impact of collateral circulation in patients with median arcuate ligament syndrome. Diagn Interv Radiol 24: 181-186.

- Horton KM, Talamini MA, Fishman EK (2005) Median arcuate ligament syndrome: evaluation with CT angiography. Radiographics 25: 1177-1182.
- 6. Whipple AO, Parsons WB, Mullins CR (1935) Treatment of carcinoma of the ampulla of Vater. Ann Surg 102: 763-779.
- Berney T, Pretre R, Chassot G, P Morel (1998) The role of revascularization in celiac occlusion and Pancreatoduodenectomy. Am J Surg 176: 352-356.
- Imai D, Maeda T, Wang H, Ohmine T, Edahiro K, et al. (2018) Acute median arcuate ligament syndrome after pancreaticoduodenectomy. Surg Case Rep 4: 137.
- Sánchez AM, Tortorelli AP, Caprino P, Rosa F, Menghi R, et al. (2018) Incidence and Impact of Variant Celiacomesenteric Vascularization and Vascular Stenosis on Pancreatic Surgery Outcomes: Personal Experience. Am Surg 84: 181-187.
- Nara S, Sakamoto Y, Shimada K, Sano T, Kosuge T, et al. (2005) Arterial reconstruction during pancreatoduodenectomy in patients with celiac axis stenosis—utility of Doppler ultrasonography. World J Surg 29: 885-889.
- 11. Sugae T, Fujii T, Kodera Y, Kanzaki A, Yamamura K, et al. (2012) Classification of the celiac axis stenosis owing to median arcuate ligament compression, based on severity of the stenosis with subsequent proposals for management during pancreatoduodenectomy. Surgery 151: 543-549.
- 12. Bong JJ, Karanjia ND, Menezes N, Worthington TR, Lightwood RG (2007) Total gastric necrosis due to aberrant arterial anatomy and retrograde blood flow in the gastroduodenal artery: a complication following pancreaticoduodenectomy. HPB 9: 466-469.
- Giovanardi F, Lai Q, Garofalo M, Murillo GAA, Janvry ECD, et al. (2018) Collaterals management during pancreatoduodenectomy in patients with celiac axis stenosis: A systematic review of the literature. Pancreatology 18: 592-600.
- Yamamoto M, Itamoto T, Oshita A, Matsugu Y (2018) Celiac axis stenosis due to median arcuate ligament compression in a patient who underwent pancreatoduodenectomy; intraoperative assessment of hepatic arterial flow using Doppler ultrasonography: a case report. J Med Case Rep 12: 92.
- Gonen C, Sürmelioğlu A, Tilki M, Kiliçoğlu G (2016) Prominent gastroduodenal artery: Endosonographic sign of celiac artery stenosis. Endosc Ultrasound 5: 339.
- 16. Shibuya K, Kamachi H, Orimo T, Nagatsu A, Shimada S, et al. (2018) Pancreaticoduodenectomy with Preservation of Collateral Circulation or Revascularization for Biliary Pancreatic Cancer with Celiac Axis Occlusion: A Report of 2 Cases. Am J Case Rep 19: 413-420.
- Neofytou K, Giakoustidis A, Gore M, Mudan S (2014) Emergency Pancreatoduodenectomy with Preservation of Gastroduodenal Artery for Massive Gastrointestinal Bleeding due to Duodenal Metastasis by Clear

Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma in a Patient with Celiac Artery Stenosis. Case Rep Surg 2014.

- Kurosaki I, Hatakeyama K, Nihei K-e, Oyamatsu M (2004) Celiac axis stenosis in pancreaticoduodenectomy. J HepatobiliaryPancreatSurg 11: 119-124.
- 19. Oikawa R, Ito K, Takemura N, Mihara F, Kokudo N (2020) Arterial communication around the pancreatic tail enabled division of the gastroduodenal artery during pancreaticoduodenectomy in patient with complete celiac artery occlusion: a case report. Surg Case Rep 6: 29.
- 20. Kabir S, Samra J (2013) Median arcuate ligament: significance in pancreaticoduodenectomy. ANZ J Surg 83: 686-687.
- 21. Kageyama Y, Kokudo T, Amikura K, Miyazaki Y, Takahashi A, et al. (2016) The arc of Buhler: special considerations when performing pancreaticoduodenectomy. Surg Case Rep 2: 21.
- 22. McCracken E, Turley R, Cox M, Suhocki P, Blazer DG (2018) Leveraging aberrant vasculature in celiac artery stenosis: the arc of Buhler in pancreaticoduodenectomy. J Pancreat Cancer 4: 4-6.
- 23. Machado MC, Penteado S, Montagnini AL, Machado MA (1998) An alternative technique in the treatment of celiac axis stenosis diagnosed during pancreaticoduodenectomy. HPB Surg 10: 371-373.
- 24. Miyata T, Yamamoto Y, Sugiura T, Okamura Y, Ito T, et al. (2018) Pancreaticoduodenectomy with hepatic arterial revascularization for pancreatic head cancer with stenosis of the celiac axis due to compression by the median arcuate ligament: a case report. J Surg Case Rep 2018.
- Thompson NW, Eckhauser FE, Talpos G, Cho KJ (1981) Pancreaticoduodenectomy and celiac occlusive disease. Ann Surg 193: 399-406.
- Soonawalla Z, Ganeshan A and Friend P (2007) Celiac artery occlusion encountered during pancreatic resection: a case report. Ann R CollSurgEngl 89: W15- W17.
- Balakrishnan S, Kapoor S, Vijayanath P, Singh H, Nandhakumar A, et al. (2018) An innovative way of managing coeliac artery stenosis during pancreaticoduodenectomy. Ann R CollSurgEngl 100: e168-e170.
- Okamoto H, Suminaga Y, Toyama N, Konishi F, Kawahito H (2003) Autogenous vein graft from iliac artery to splenic artery for celiac occlusion in pancreaticoduodenectomy. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg 10: 109-112.
- 29. Miyata M, Takao T, Okuda A, Sasako Y, Sunada S (1988) Pancreatoduodenectomy for periampullary cancer associated with celiac occlusion: a case report. Surgery 103: 261-263.
- Manabe T, Baba N, Setoyama H, Ohshio G, Tobe T (1991) Venous bypass grafting for celiac occlusion in radical pancreaticoduodenectomy. Pancreas 6: 368-371.
- Hayashibe A, Sakamoto K, Shinbo M, Makimoto S, Nakamoto T, et al. (2005) A resected case of advanced duodenal carcinoma with occlusion of the celiac artery. J Surg Oncol 91: 270-272.
- Noguchi Y, Imada T, Adachi R, Amano T, Kondo J et al. (1988) [Carcinoma of Papilla Vater with celiac axis stenosis--application of percutaneous transluminal angioplasty]. Nihon GekaGakkaiZasshi 89: 776-780.
- 33. Hasegawa K, Imamura H, Akahane M, Takayama T, Ohtomo K, et al. (2003) Endovascular stenting for celiac axis stenosis before pancreaticoduodenectomy. Surgery 133: 440-442.
- Halazun KJ, Kotru A, Menon KV, Patel J, Prasad KR (2006) Stenting of coeliac axis stenosis facilitates pancreatectomy. Eur J SurgOncol 32: 811-812.
- 35. Whistance RN, Shah V, Grist ER, Shearman CP, Pearce NW, et al. (2011) Management of median arcuate ligament syndrome in patients who require pancreaticoduodenectomy. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 93: e11-e14.
- Sanchez AM, Alfieri S, Caprino P, Tortorelli AP, Doglietto GB (2013) Temporary medium arcuate ligament syndrome after pancreatoduodenectomy. Am Surg 79: E58-E60.
- Karabicak I, Satoi S, Yanagimoto H, Yamamoto T, Hirooka S, et al. (2016) Acute median arcuate ligament syndrome after pancreaticoduodenectomy. Surg Case Rep 2: 113.

- Jurim O, Shaked A, Kiai K, Millis JM, Colquhoun SD, et al. (1993) Celiac compression syndrome and liver transplantation. Ann Surg 218: 10-12.
- 39. Vilatobá M, Zamora-Valdés D, Guerrero-Hernández M, Romero-Talamás H, Leal-Villalpando RP, et al. (2016) Arcuate ligament compression as a cause of early-onset thrombosis of the hepatic artery after liver transplantation. Ann Hepatol 10:88-92.
- 40. Mochizuki T, Ohira M, Hashimoto S, Tahara H, Ide K, et al. (2019) Utility of Doppler Ultrasonography in Liver Transplantation With Celiac Axis Compression Syndrome: A Case Report. Transplant Proc 51: 3103-3106.
- Lubrano J, Scatton O, Randone B, Molinier N, Massault PP, et al. (2008) Median arcuate ligament in orthotopic liver transplantation: relevance to arterial reconstruction. Transplant Proc 40: 3532-3535.
- 42. Czigany Z, Boecker J, Morales Santana DA, Bednarsch J, Meister FA, et al. (2019) Median Arcuate Ligament Compression in Orthotopic Liver Transplantation: Results from a Single-Center Analysis and a European Survey Study. J Clin Med 8:550.
- 43. Zamboni F, Franchello A, Ricchiuti A, Fop F, Rizzetto M, et al. (2002) Use of arterial conduit as an alternative technique in arterial revascularization during orthotopic liver transplantation. Dig Liver Dis 34: 122-126.
- 44. Gaudio MD, Grazi GL, Ercolani G, Ravaioli M, Varotti G, et al. (2005) Outcome of hepatic artery reconstruction in liver transplantation with an iliac arterial interposition graft. Clin Transplant 19: 399-405.
- 45. Akamatsu N, Sugawara Y, Tamura S, Kaneko J, Togashi J, et al. (2006) Impact of celiac axis stenosis on living donor hepatectomy. Transplant Proc 38: 2948-2950.
- 46. Douard R, Ettorre G, Chevallier J-M, Delmas V, Cugnenc P-H, et al. (2002) Celiac trunk compression by arcuate ligament and living-related liver transplantation: a two-step strategy for flow-induced enlargement of donor hepatic artery. Surg Radiol Anat 24: 327-331.
- 47. Lainas P, Fuks D, Gaujoux S, Machroub Z, Fregeville A, et al. (2017) Preoperative imaging and prediction of oesophageal conduit necrosis after oesophagectomy for cancer. Br J Surg 104: 1346-1354.
- 48. Jefferies BJ, Evans E, Bundred J, Hodson J, Whiting JL, et al. (2019) Vascular calcification does not predict anastomotic leak or conduit necrosis following oesophagectomy. World J GastrointestSurg 11: 308-321.
- Rego D, Almeida P, Soares P, Almeida R (2018) Hybrid Retrograde Celiac Artery Stenting for Acute Mesenteric Ischemia after Gastric Surgery. Ann Vasc Surg 49: e315-e317.
- Nakano H, Yamamura T, Yamaguchi S, Otsubo T (2007) Celiac axis occlusion of a patient undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy after distal gastrectomy. Hepatogastroenterology 54: 595-598.
- 51. Asaumi Y, Sakatoku M, Okamoto J, Hayashi S, Ota N, et al. (2019) Laparoscopic decompression of a stricture of the celiac artery caused by the median arcuate ligament in a gastric cancer patient: A case of report. Asian J EndoscSurg 12: 315-317.
- Park CM, Chung JW, Kim HB, Shin SJ, Park JH (2001) Celiac axis stenosis: incidence and etiologies in asymptomatic individuals. Korean J Radiol 2: 8-13.
- 53. Saglam M, Sildiroglu HO, Incedayi M, Kara K, Saygin H (2013) A variant of the median arcuate ligament syndrome: are sagittal images enough for diagnosis?. Wien KlinWochenschr 125: 220-222.
- 54. Goodall R, Langridge B, Onida S, Ellis M, Lane T et al. (2019) Median arcuate ligament syndrome. Journal of Vascular Surgery 71: 2170–2176.
- 55. Wang L, Lu M, Wu X, Cheng X, Li T, et al. (2020) Contrast-enhanced ultrasound guided celiac plexus neurolysis in patients with upper abdominal cancer pain: initial experience. EurRadiol.
- Gioux S, Choi HS, Frangioni JV (2010) Image-guided surgery using invisible near-infrared light: fundamentals of clinical translation. Mol Imaging 9: 237-255.

Citation: Ito K, Takemura N, Oikawa R, Inagaki F, Mihara F, et al. (2020) Upper Abdominal Surgery in Patients with Coeliac Artery Stenosis. Atheroscler Open Access 5: 129.