Colonic Esophageal Reconstruction by Substernal Approach for Caustic Stricture: What is the Impact of the Enlargement of the Thoracic Inlet on Cervical Anastomotic Complications?
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Abstract

**Background:** The two most commonly employed options for esophageal reconstruction are the posterior mediastinal route and the substernal route. Therefore, the biggest disadvantage of the retrosternal approach is the potential risk for compression of the graft at the site of the thoracic inlet. The purpose of this study is to report our results by analysing the impact of the enlargement of the thoracic inlet by removing the left half of manubrium and internal third of clavicle on the cervical anastomotic leakage.

**Methods:** From 2005 to 2013, 82 left colonic interpositions for oesophageal caustic stricture were performed at our institution. There were 70 women and 12 men. Ten patients had a hypopharyngeal stricture that required also reconstructive surgery. Dilation was done in 56 patients.

**Results:** An esophagoesophageal anastomosis was performed in 72 patients. A gastroenteroanastomosis was performed before reconstruction in 10 patients. A pharyngoplasty was associated in 10 patients. The thoracic inlet was enlarged in 35 patients. The colonic graft was anastomosed to the posterior surface of the stomach in 69 patients. The mortality rate was 2.43%. Graft necrosis occurred in two patients. Cervical leakage was occurred in 25 patients. Eight patients developed a cervical structure. Statistical analysis revealed that the non-enlargement of the thoracic inlet was a predictive factor of cervical leak (OR: 3.63; CI: 1.06 -12.46, P= 0.039). Therefore the enlargement is associate with lower rate of cervical leak. The functional results were good.

**Conclusion:** The non-enlargement of the thoracic inlet is a predisposing factor of cervical leak in substernal colonic interposition. Therefore the enlargement seems reduce the cervical leakage.

**Keywords:** Esophageal reconstruction left colic graft; Substernal Route; Cervical Leak; Enlargement of thoracic inlet

Introduction

The construction of a long graft requires the sacrifice of the blood supply, leading to reduced circulation to the graft. The key point of Esophageal reconstruction is to ensure that the cervical anastomosis is without tension by using a graft with sufficient length and sufficient blood supply. Colon interposition is the method of choice to restore the digestive tract after esogastrectomy or for caustic stricture. Isoperistaltic left colic transplant supplied by the left colic pedicle and interposed by RS is an excellent long-term replacement organ for esophageal caustic stricture. When performed by an experienced surgeon, it is an effective procedure with acceptable operative mortality, early morbidity, and good long-term functional results [1].

There are multiple options for the placement of the digestive conduit therefore the two most commonly employed options are the posterior mediastinal route and the retrosternal route. The use of the mediastinal route needs the ablation of the native esophagus. This and other disadvantages of the posterior mediastinal (PM) route have prompted some surgeons to develop an alternate route of reconstruction, namely the retrosternal (RS) approach. In 1955, Dale and Sherman firstly introduced colon reconstruction by retrosternal approach [2]. Therefore, the biggest disadvantage of the retrosternal approach is the potential risk for compression of the graft at the site of the thoracic inlet, which can lead to mechanical ischemia. To prevent this event, some surgeons suggested to the enlarge of the thoracic inlet [3-5]. The aim of this study is to report the impact of the enlargement of the thoracic inlet on the cervical anastomotic leakage after substernal colonic interposition for esophageal caustic stricture. The purpose of this study is to report our results by analyzing the impact of the enlargement of the thoracic inlet by removing the left half of manubrium and internal third of clavicle on the cervical anastomotic complications.

**Patients and Methods**

**Patients**

In a continuous prospective study conducted from 2005 to 2013, 82 left colonic interpositions for oesophageal caustic stricture were performed at our institution. There were 70 women (85, 3%) and 12 men (14,6%). The mean age of patients was 25 years (ranging 15 to 70). Ten patients (12.1%) had a hypopharyngeal stricture that required also reconstructive surgery. Fifty five patients with esophageal caustic stricture had undergone previous dilation. Median delay from caustic injury to chirurgical reconstruction was 12 months (ranging 3 months to 10 years).

**Methods**

The preoperative evaluation of the colon was performed in...
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patients aged 45 or older by only colonoscopy. No patients underwent preoperative angiography. Nutritional disorders of surgical patients were corrected by enteral nutritional support before date of surgery. The surgical technique of choice used was the left isoperistaltic colon graft based on the left colonic vessels and pulled up to the neck by substernal approach. The adequacy of colonic graft blood supply was judged by preoperative inspection, palpation, transillumination and it was ascertained by the presence of a pulsatile flow in the marginal artery. The colic transplant was positioned behind the sternum snugly, avoiding tension, twisting and redundancy by resection of the proximal portion in excess of the graft. The thoracic aorta was not resected. We enlarge the thoracic inlet by resection of the left half of the manubrium and the sternal head of the left clavicle to ease the acute angulation created when the esophageal substitute deviates from its normal course into the posterior mediastinum and turns superficially to pass under the sternum (Figure 1 and 2). The scarred cervical esophageal tissue was resected completely and the cervical anastomosis was performed on healthy tissue using a hand-suturing technique in one layer and end-to-end. A pharyngoplasty was performed when necessary with the proximal portion of the transplant. The distal anastomosis was performed at the posterior side of the gastric antrum when the stomach is available. The pyloroplasty was not performed routinely, and a gastric tube was inserted into the fundus through the pylorus. The pyloroplasty was not performed in three patients because of emergency esophago-gastrectomy. Jejunostomy was performed either before or concomitant to the anterior surface in 12.1% (n=10). A colojejunal anastomosis was performed in 87.8% of patients (n=72). A pharyngoplasty was associated in 10 patients (12.1%) using the proximal portion of the transplant. The thoracic inlet was enlarged In 45.1% of patients (n=37), by the resection of the left half of manubrium and the head of the left clavicle (Table I). The distal end of the colonic graft was anastomosed to the posterior surface of the stomach in 84.1% of patients (n=69) and to the anterior surface in 12.1% (n=10). A colojejunal anastomosis was performed in three patients because of emergency esophageogastrectomy. Jejunostomy was performed either before or concomitant with reconstructive process. The median hospital stay was 14 days (ranging 12 to 27 days).

There were two operative deaths at 2nd and 3rd day for a mortality rate of 2.4%. The causes of death were pulmonary emboli and visceral failure.

The main postoperative complications was listed in Table II. Graft necrosis occurred in two patients (2.4%). The necrosis was partial and involved the proximal portion of the transplant. It was diagnosed on first and second postoperative day. Cervical anastomotic leakage was occurred in 25 patients (30.4%), at median postoperative day 9 (ranging 7 to 12 days). The leakage was treated conservatively and the spontaneous closure was obtained in all patients, after a median delay of 7 days (ranging 5 to 10 days). Eight patients (9.7%) developed a cervical anastomotic sticture after a median postoperative delay of 12 months (ranging 2 to 18 months). Two of these patients had a previous postoperative cervical leakage. The cervical anastomotic sticture was treated successfully by endoscopic balloon dilation in six patients and in the two other patients reoperation was needed. The result of the univariate and multivariate analysis revealed that the non-enlargement of the thoracic inlet was a predictive factor of cervical leak (OR: 3.63, CI: 1.06 -12.40, P= 0.039). Therefor the rate of cervical leak was significantly lower (P=0.023) in the group of patients in whom the thoracic inlet was enlarged (Tables 2 and 3).

The graft redundancy was observed in two patients and it was treated by resection of the redundant portion and end -to -end colon anastomosis.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Student’s t-test or the chi-square test. The multivariate analysis was performed by SPSS 11.0 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago,IL, USA). A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The Median operative time was 3 hours (ranging 2h30 to 6 hours). Emergency total esophagogastrectomy was required in three patients. A gastroenteroanastomosis was performed before reconstruction in 10 patients because of early gastric stenosis. An esophagocolic anastomosis was performed in 87.8% of patients (n=72). A pharyngoplasty was associated in 10 patients (12.1%) using the proximal portion of the transplant. The thoracic inlet was enlarged In 45.1% of patients (n=37), by the resection of the left half of manubrium and the head of the left clavicle (Table I). The distal end of the colonic graft was anastomosed to the posterior surface of the stomach in 84.1% of patients (n=69) and to the anterior surface in 12.1% (n=10). A colojejunal anastomosis was performed in three patients because of emergency esophageogastrectomy. Jejunostomy was performed either before or concomitant with reconstructive process. The median hospital stay was 14 days (ranging 12 to 27 days).

The graft redundancy was observed in two patients and it was treated by resection of the redundant portion and end -to -end colon anastomosis.
All the patients could be evaluated for functional results with the follow-up ranging between 6 months and 9 years. The entire patients had an oral feeding with good deglutition. Body weight, as compared with the preoperative body weight increased in all patients.

Discussion

Following esophagectomy or esophageal caustic stricture, there are a number of options to restore continuity of the upper gastrointestinal tract. Some considerations for reconstruction include: choice of conduit; technique of conduit construction; location of anastomosis; and the route of reconstruction are important [4]. Each of these factors may have a significant impact on postoperative morbidity particularly the route of reconstruction. The substernal and the posterior mediastinal routes are most commonly applied. As reported in literature, the posterior mediastinum (PM) is preferred for immediate reconstruction after esophagectomy and the retrosternal route (RS) for delayed reconstruction of the upper gastrointestinal tract when access to the posterior mediastinum is difficult or technically not possible [6]. In case of esophageal caustic stricture, the scarred esophagus adheres to adjacent organs which make its dissection risky and hemorrhagic, in addition patients with esophageal stenosis often are fed by jejunostomy and so they have a degree of malnutrition which represents an additional factor of operative complications [1]. Indeed we use exclusively the RS approach during esophageal reconstruction for caustic stenosis. The retrosternal route has been an alternative for oesophageal reconstruction after esophagomyotomy. But the longer route and the higher incidence for cervical anastomotic leakage compared with the posterior mediastinal approach have always hampered its wider use [7,8]. The biggest disadvantage of the substernal route is the potential risk for compression of the graft at the cervical level. Many surgeons recommend to associate the substernal colonic interposition. Therefore the enlargement by excision of the left half of manubrium and the head of the clavicle in order to ensure there is no compression on the transposed conduit at the cervical level. Many surgeons recommend to associate this procedure to the substernal esophageal reconstruction [3-5,18-22]. Statistically our study has some bias. It is not randomized and has an unrepresentative sample number. So the results are not highly reliable however the study is ongoing and further results will be published later when the number of patients will be sufficient.

Conclusion

The non-enlargement of the thoracic inlet is a predisposing factor of cervical leak in substernal colonic interposition. Therefore the enlargement seems reduce the cervical leakage. So we feel it is beneficial to expand the thoracic inlet during esophageal reconstructive surgery by substernal digestive graft interposition.
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