A Case Report of WEBINO Syndrome with Convergence Impairment
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Abstract

A 73-year-old man suddenly presented with alternating exotropia, bilateral medial longitudinal fasciculus (MLF) syndrome, and impaired convergence. This symptom has been known as wall-eyed bilateral internuclear ophthalmoplegia (WEBINO syndrome). Diffusion-weighted images on magnetic resonance imaging showed a small-localized lesion in his pontine tegmentum. Based on he had some ischemic risk factors; he was diagnosed as having an ischemic stroke and started an antplatelet therapy. His convergence impairment was improved, but abduction impairment of the right eye remained even 3 months later.

The causing lesion of WEBINO syndrome has been reported to be either midbrain or pons mainly due to ischemic strokes or demyelinating diseases. In some cases, this syndrome was accompanied by convergence impairment; however, the pathophysiologic mechanism has not yet been elucidated. The neural circuit of vergence is discharges to the medial rectus subnucleus located in the abducens nerve nucleus. The mesencephalic reticular formation and nucleus reticularis tegmenti pontis (NRTP) are important regions in making signals of the supranuclear pathway, and NRTP impairment can induce the slow and fast vergence impairment. Thus, an involvement of near region of NRTP might be associated with WEBINO syndrome with convergence impairment.
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Introduction

Wall-eyed bilateral internuclear ophthalmoplegia (WEBINO syndrome) represents a bilateral adduction deficit of the eyes with exotropia, and was firstly reported in 1974 [1]. Most cases are caused by multiple sclerosis or ischemic stroke. Lesions of the mesencephalic or pontine tegmentum have been considered as the responsible for WEBINO syndrome. Another report described a patient with progressive subnuclear palsy with WEBINO syndrome, suggesting that neurodegenerative diseases may cause this syndrome [2].

We report herein the case of a patient with WEBINO syndrome and convergence impairment due to a small lesion of the pontine tegmentum caused by an ischemic stroke.

Case

The patient was a 73-year-old man who experienced sudden onset of diplopia. Two days later, diplopia remained unimproved and he visited a nearby hospital. However, the cause of symptoms was unclear, and he was transferred to our hospital for further examination. The patient’s consciousness was alert, blood pressure was 151/83 mmHg, heart rate was 68 beats/min with a regular sinus rhythm, and his temperature was 35.8°C. Vascular risks were old age and hypertension. His both pupils were isocoric and round, and pupil diameter was 3 mm in the room light. Direct and indirect pupillary light reflexes in his both eyes were brisk. Visual field defects were not observed. In his primary gaze position, he showed bilateral extrophy that was stronger on the left than the right (Figure 1A). Neither ptosis nor nystagmus was detected. His convergence was impaired, and the eye on each side was abducted fully on lateral gaze of the same side, while the contralateral eye failed to adduct. Testing of other cranial nerves yielded normal results. His motor function, coordination, and sensory and autonomic nervous systems were not involved.

The peripheral blood examination showed that blood cell count was within normal level; hemoglobin Alc, 5.4%; blood glucose, 172 mg/dl; and normal results for C-reactive protein level, thyroid hormone level and coagulation testing. Negative results were obtained for anti-CL/
in the tegmentum of pons, pons-midbrain, or midbrain, and this of the left eye on primary gaze compared to the right eye in our case, INO) is on condition that paralysis of adduction in the ipsilateral eye bilateral intraocular muscles due to dysfunction of the medial.

Eye symptoms did not improve, but activities of his daily onset, pontine infarction was likely to his diagnosis. He was administered ozagrel sodium at 160 mg/day and edaravone at 60 mg/day as anti-platelet therapies. After administering the antiplatelet drugs, we started oral cilostazol at 200 mg/day to prevent the recurrence. Ten days after the onset, FLAIR imaging of the brain revealed the lesion limited to the pontine tegmentum (data not shown). Eye symptoms did not improve, but activities of his daily living were sufficient for independence, and he was discharged on hospital day 11. At day 84 after first visiting the hospital, the convergence impairment was improved although residual abduction impairment of the right eye remained (data not shown).

Discussion

Fourteen cases of WEBINO syndrome with a brainstem lesion were reported from 1984 to 2013. In those cases, the causative lesions were in the tegmentum of pons, pons-midbrain, or midbrain, and this syndrome is known to be caused by various underlying diseases (Table 1) [2-12].

In WEBINO syndrome, exotropia is a distinct sign. Stimulation of bilateral paramedian pontine reticular formation (PPRFs) is usually transmitted to bilateral extracocular muscles, but inadequately to bilateral intraocular muscles due to dysfunction of the medial longitudinal fasciculus (MLF), resulting in bilateral exotropia. Fixing one eye stimulates the contralateral PPRF, inducing adduction of one eye, resulting in enhancement of exotropia. Considering the abduction of the left eye on primary gaze compared to the right eye in our case, ocular involvement might have been associated with the right-side lesion of the pontine tegmentum.

On the other hand, bilateral internuclear ophthalmoplegia (bilateral INO) is on condition that paralysis of adduction in the ipsilateral eye for all conjugate eye movements usually (but not always) with preservation of convergence, and horizontal nystagmus in the contralateral eye when this eye is in abduction. The difference between WEBINO and bilateral INO is a bilateral primary gaze position, exotropia, and a convergence impairment seen in most cases. WEBINO syndrome is caused by bilateral MLF and medial rectus subnuclei lesions, the lesion at midbrain, especially bilateral lesion to the medial rectus subnuclei is the most defended hypothesis; however, other candidate lesions have been reported, and the brainstem lesion involved in the pathophysiology of this syndrome are still in controversy. On the other hand, bilateral ILO is caused by bilateral lesion of MLF.

Our patient also showed a convergence impairment, which often accompanies WEBINO syndrome. Convergence represents the voluntary movement of the eyes in different directions, and is brought into an action when looking at a near object. The eyes turn inward and at the same time the lens allows near vision. Divergence is required for distant vision. Vergence is also separated by speed into slow and fast. Slow vergence is brought about when the object approaches the eyes slowly, while fast vergence occurs when looking alternately between near and distant objects. The neural circuit of vergence definitively discharges to the medial rectus subnucleus (MRSN) located in the abducent nerves nucleus. The mesencephalic reticular formation (MRF) and nucleus reticularis tegmenti pontis (NRTP) are important in making signals of the supranuclear pathway. Some neurons have been identified as providing signals of the horizontal saccadic vergence as well as PPRF for vertical saccade. Vergence-related neurons are close to other neurons in the NRTP, which is related to both signals of saccade-vergence and saccade-pursuit. Actually, an impairment of the NRTP caused slow and fast vergence [13].

Four cases of WEBINO syndrome with only pontine lesion have been reported (Table 1). Among them, the convergence impairment was observed in one case [8]. In case No. 7 having pontine tegmentum lesion with convergence impairment in Table 1, the MRI showed a small and slightly left deviated lesion of the pons. In contrast, the pontine lesion of our case was right deviated. In other two patients having pontine tegmentum lesion (case No. 2 and No. 3 in Table 1) did not show the convergence impairment, and their MRI revealed a
broader and symmetric lesion. We are not able to refer the relationship between the size of lesion and the presence or absence of convergence impairment. However, small and asymmetric pontine tegmentum lesion may be associated with the convergence impairment, although the size of the observation sample was one of the limitations.

A new neuroradiological approach such as functional MRI or positron-emission tomography might be non-invasively available to reveal the mechanism in future. Moreover, accumulation of further cases is also needed to clarify the relationship between the mechanism of convergence and NRTP.

We considered that the mechanism of convergence impairment with a pontine lesion involves a supranuclear lesion of NRTP (Figure 1C) [14,15]. Garcia and Eqido described the pontine lesion as causing impairment of vergence and disagreed with the involvement of NRTP as the pathology [16]. However, our patient showed a pontine lesion near NRTP, suggesting that the mechanism of convergence impairment in WEBINO syndrome might be related to NRTP region.

Also, WEBINO syndrome is occurred by various causing diseases; however, multiple sclerosis or cerebral ischemic attack is much common as underlying diseases. It is important in mind to clarify the causing disease, and the appropriate and prompt therapy such as steroids or anti-platelet therapy makes a good recovery of the symptom.

In conclusion, we have reported here a case of WEBINO syndrome with convergence impairment due to a pontine infarction. The mechanism might be associated with involvement of the closed region of NRTP.
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Table 1: The literature for WEBINO syndrome; M, male; F, female; CI, cerebral infarction; CIDP, chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy; NMO, neuromyelitis optica; n.d., not determined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Convergence impairment</th>
<th>Other neurological symptoms</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Progressive supranuclear palsy</td>
<td>Midbrain</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Upward gaze palsy, upward nystagmus</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Pons</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Multiple sclerosis</td>
<td>Pons</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Upward nystagmus</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Central nervous system cryptococcosis</td>
<td>Midbrain</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Skew deviation, upward gaze palsy</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Tuberculosis granuloma</td>
<td>Midbrain</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Postsurgical hematoma</td>
<td>Pons-midbrain</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>RL. hemiplegia, LT. tongue deviation, facial palsy</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Pons</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Midbrain</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Upward gaze palsy, upward nystagmus</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>CI (top of basilar)</td>
<td>Midbrain-thalamus</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mydriasis, ophthalmoplegia a palsy</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NMO spectrum disorders</td>
<td>Pons-midbrain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RL. tongue deviation, Lhermitte sign (+)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Midbrain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Pons-midbrain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Upward gaze palsy</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Pons-midbrain</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Pons</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Our case</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


