A Clinical Study to Know the Effect of Chlorhexidine Mouth Wash on Radiation Induced Oral Mucositis
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Abstract

This Research article mainly based on the introduction of oral mucositis. Mucositis is the painful inflammation and ulceration of the mucous membranes lining the digestive tract, usually as an adverse effect of chemotherapy and radiotherapy treatment for cancer. Mucositis can occur anywhere along the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, but oral mucositis refers to the particular inflammation and ulceration that occurs in the mouth. Oral mucositis is a common and often debilitating complication of cancer treatment. There are different stages involved in the oral mucositis, oral mucositis is mainly due to the post chemo-treatment in the cancer. There are several drugs used in the cancer treatment which causes a lot of side effects and of that oral mucositis is one and the drug used below to cure oral mucositis is chlorhexidine mouth wash and it plays a vital role in the treatment of oral mucositis. The safety and efficacy of the drug is clearly demonstrated by comparison with the rest of the drugs in the market.
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Introduction

Oral mucositis represents a major non hematologic complication of cytotoxic chemotherapy and radiotherapy associated with significant morbidity, pain, odynophagia, dysgeusia, and subsequent dehydration and malnutrition which reduce the quality of life of affected person. The term oral mucositis emerged in the late 1980s to describe the CT & RT induced inflammation of oral mucosa. Oral mucositis, also called stomatitis, is a common, debilitating complication of cancer chemotherapy and radiotherapy, occurring in about 40% of patients. It results from the systemic effects of cytotoxic chemotherapy agent sand from the local effects of radiation to the oral mucosa. Oral mucositis is inflammation of the mucosa of the mouth which ranges from redness to severe ulceration. Symptoms of mucositis vary from pain and discomfort to an inability to tolerate food or fluids. Mucositis may also limit the patient’s ability to tolerate either chemotherapy or radiotherapy. Mucositis may be so severe as to delay treatment and so limit the effectiveness of cancer therapy. Patients with damaged oral mucosa and reduced immunity resulting from chemotherapy and radiotherapy are also prone to opportunistic infections in the mouth. The mucositis may affect patients’ gum and dental condition, speech and self-esteem are reduced, further compromising patients’ response to treatment and/or palliative care. It is therefore extremely important that mucositis be prevented whenever possible, or at least treated to reduce its severity and possible complications. Currently there is a bewildering number of interventions to choose from, but no high quality synthesis of the best research evidence for these interventions. This Best Practice Information Sheet has been developed to present the best available evidence related specifically to the prevention and treatment of oral mucositis induced by chemotherapy or radiotherapy in cancer patients [1].

Compound

Chlorhexidine Gluconate is Biguanide and chlorhexidine is an important antiseptic, disinfectant, pharmaceutical and cosmetic preservative and ant plaque agent. It exist as the acetate (diacetate), gluconate and hydrochloride salts, Bacteriostatic in low concentration and bactericidal in high concentration. More effective in alkaline than acidic pH, Activity reduced in presence of organic matter. It is sporostatic but not sporicidal towards bacterial spores (Figure 1).

Materials and Methods

Method

Estimation of dental parameters: (plaque index and gingival index): Index was described by SILNESS P., LOE H. in 1964PI [2-4]. Plaque of cervical third of the tooth is evaluated (Four surfaces are examined Distal-facial) (Figures 2-4). The Microbiological assessment of 10 ml sterile saline containing mouth rinse in a sterile vial in 1 ml of sample was diluted in 9 ml of BHI suspension was plated out on to 5% sheep blood agar, Mconkey 3 agar, yeast morphology agar. The agar plates of BHI broth incubated for 72 hours aerobically at 37°C in
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The efficacy analysis was based on all patients treated population using ANOVA by using Graph pad Prism V.5.03 in M.S Office 2007 platform. Analysis (Table 1) shows that out of 20 subjects in the CHX treated group 90% subjects were getting complete response with significant decrease in plaque index as compared to the PVI treated group and placebo treated group which shows the marked efficacy of CHX in the reduction of plaque during mucositis (p<0.01). Analysis [3-8] of observation, Table 2 shows the superior result of CHX study group during the assessment of gingival index which significantly reduce the severity of gingivitis (p<0.01). Analysis of observation Table 3 shows the remarkable changes found in the salivary flow rate in the CHX treated group with the significant result (p<0.01). In mucositis condition the pH of saliva was decreased and became acidic due to the ulcerative surface of oral mucosal cavity and the acidic pH of saliva become neutral significance with the treatment of CHX mouth rinse over 28 days study period as compare to the other groups (p<0.01). Analysis of observation, Table 5 shows significant reduction in the colonisation index in the CHX treated group after the estimation of number of microorganism per milliliter by reading and counting the plates after incubation. Sum of logarithms of the concentration of a particular microorganism isolated from 1 ml of oral washing specimens divided by the number of oral washing.

Results

Results shown in Tables 1-5 and Figures 5-9.

Discussion

The efficacy analysis was based on all patients treated population using ANOVA by using Graph pad Prism V.5.03 in M.S Office 2007 platform. Analysis (Table 1) shows that out of 20 subjects in the CHX treated group 90% subjects were getting complete response with significant decrease in plaque index as compared to the PVI treated group and placebo treated group which shows the marked efficacy of CHX in the reduction of plaque during mucositis (p<0.01). Analysis [3-8] of observation, Table 2 shows the superior result of CHX study group during the assessment of gingival index which significantly reduce the severity of gingivitis (p<0.01). Analysis of observation Table 3 shows the remarkable changes found in the salivary flow rate in the CHX treated group with the significant result (p<0.01). In mucositis condition the pH of saliva was decreased and became acidic due to the ulcerative surface of oral mucosal cavity and the acidic pH of saliva become neutral significantly with the treatment of CHX mouth rinse over 28 days study period as compare to the other groups (p<0.01). Analysis of observation, Table 5 shows significant reduction in the colonisation index in the CHX treated group after the estimation of number of microorganism per milliliter by reading and counting the plates after incubation. Sum of logarithms of the concentration of gram negative bacilli and Candida species isolated from 1 ml of oral washing specimens was divided by the number of oral washing to get that index. In this case the ANC was measured by regular blood testing of the admitted patients in the hospital [9,10]. During our study period the neutrophil count was significantly increased in the CHX treated group within 10 days but in PVI treated group it was taking 13 to 15 days. So group 2 (CHX) subjects were having comparatively less no of days to increase in ANC count (ANC>1500) than the group 3 (PVI) treated group. The CHX treatment group shows less adverse events in comparison to PVI treated group (Irritation, swelling, erythema) but the adverse events such as loss of taste perception and staining was seen in more in group 2 patients than group 3 patients. At last the Table 5 shows the response rate of the various treatment (CHX) patients shows 90% complete response, 10% partial response where as the group 3 (PVI) patients shows 70% complete response, 25% partial response and 5% no response [11-13]. It was proved that the CHX mouth rinse was more effective than PVI solution in the treatment of mucositis.
Conclusion

From the encrypted data obtained during this trial, it can be clearly summarized that by comparative clinical study of CHX with PVI, it was found that superior antibacterial effect of CHX reduces the severity of mucositis during the CT and RT therapy of head and neck cancer patients with less chances adverse events. CHX- Use as antiseptic rinse helps in prophylaxis also prevents the side effects of RT and CT like oral mucositis; pain full oral ulcers and gingivitis by preventing the growth of micro organism and by increasing salivary flow.
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