

A General System of Regularized Non-convex Variational Inequalities

Lee BS¹ and Salahuddin S^{2*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Kyungsung University, Busan, 608-736, Korea

²Department of Mathematics, Jazan University, Jazan, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Abstract

In this communication, we introduced a general system of regularized non-convex variational inequalities (GSRNVI) and established an equivalence between this system and fixed point problems. By using this equivalence we define a projection iterative algorithm for solving GSRNVI, we also proved existence and uniqueness of GSRNVI. The convergence analysis of the suggested iterative algorithm is studied.

Keywords: General system of regularized non-convex variational inequalities; Uniformly t -prox regular sets; Iterative schemes; Convergence analysis

Introduction

The originally variational inequality problem introduced by Stampacchia [1] in the early sixties has a great impact and in influence in the development of almost all branches of pure and applied sciences and has witnessed an explosive growth in theoretical advances, algorithmic development. As a result of interaction between different branches of mathematical and engineering sciences, we now have a variety of techniques to suggest and analyze various algorithms for solving variational inequalities and related optimizations [2-6]. Verma [7-10] studied some systems of variational inequality with single valued mappings and suggest some iterative algorithms to compute approximate solutions of these systems in Hilbert spaces. Agarwal et al. [11] studied sensitivity analysis for a system of generalized nonlinear mixed quasi variational inclusions with single valued mappings. Several authors studied different kinds of systems of variational inequalities and suggested iterative algorithms to find the approximate solutions of the systems [12-15]. We remark that the results regarding the existence of solutions and iterative schemes for solving the system of variational inequalities and related problems are being considered in the setting of convex sets and the technique defined on the characteristics of the projection operator over convex a set which does not hold in general when the sets are non-convex. It is well known that the uniform prox regular sets are convex and include the convex set as special cases. Wen [16] considered a system of non-convex variational inequalities with different nonlinear operator and asserted that this system is equivalent to the fixed point problem and suggested an iterative algorithm for the system of non-convex variational inequalities. The convergence analysis of the proposed iterative algorithm under some certain assumption is also studied. In [17] point out the equivalence formulation used by Wen [16] is not correct. Inspired and motivated by the works of [18-26], we introduced and studied a general systems of regularized non-convex variational inequalities. By using the equivalence, we defined a projection iteration algorithm for solving GSRNVI. Further, we proved the existence and uniqueness of solutions of general system of regularized non-convex variational inequalities. The convergence analysis of the proposed iterative algorithm is also studied.

Basic Foundation

Let H be a real Hilbert space endowed with norm $\| \cdot \|$ and an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ respectively. Let Ω be nonempty closed subsets of H . We represent $d_{\Omega}(\cdot)$ or $d(\cdot; \Omega)$ the distance function from a point to a set

Ω that is

$$d_{\Omega}(u) = \inf_{v \in \Omega} \|u - v\|$$

Definition 2.1: Let $u \in H$ be a point not lying in Ω . A point $v \in \Omega$ is called a closed point or a projection of u onto Ω if $d_{\Omega}(u) = \|u - v\|$: The set of all such closed points is denoted by $P_{\Omega}(u)$, that is

$$P_{\Omega}(u) = \{v \in \Omega : d_{\Omega}(u) = \|u - v\|\}$$

Definition 2.2 The proximal normal cone of Ω at a point $u \in \Omega$ is given by

$$Q_{\Omega}^p(u) = \{\zeta \in H : u \in Q_{\Omega}(u + \alpha \zeta)\}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a constant.

Lemma 2.3 [26] Let Ω be a nonempty closed subset of H . Then $\zeta \in Q_{\Omega}^p(u)$ if and only if there exists a constant $\alpha = \alpha(\zeta, u) > 0$ such that

$$\langle \zeta, v - u \rangle \leq \alpha \|u - v\|^2 \quad \forall v \in \Omega$$

Lemma 2.4 [27] Let Ω be a nonempty closed and convex subset of H . Then $\zeta \in Q_{\Omega}^p(u)$ if and only if

$$\langle \zeta, v - u \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall v \in \Omega$$

Definition 2.5 [4] Let $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a locally Lipschitz near a point x . The Clark's directional derivative of f at x in the direction v , denoted by $f^{\circ}(x; v)$ is define by

$$f^{\circ}(x; v) = \limsup_{y \rightarrow x, \tau \downarrow 0} \frac{f(y + \tau v) - f(y)}{\tau}$$

where y is a vector in H and τ is a positive scalar.

The tangent cone to Ω at a point $x \in \Omega$, denoted by $T_{\Omega}(x)$ is defined by

$$T_{\Omega}(x) = \{v \in H : d_{\Omega}^{\circ}(x; v) = 0\}$$

The normal cone to Ω at $x \in \Omega$, denoted by $Q_{\Omega}(x)$ is defined by

***Corresponding author:** Salahuddin S, Department of Mathematics, Jazan University, Jazan, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Tel: 966 7 321 0061; E-mail: salahuddin12@mailcity.com

Received May 21, 2014; Accepted May 27, 2014; Published June 25, 2014

Citation: Lee BS, Salahuddin S (2014) A General System of Regularized Non-convex Variational Inequalities. J Appl Computat Math 3: 169 doi:10.4172/2168-9679.1000169

Copyright: © 2014 Lee BS, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

$$Q_{\Omega}(x) = \{\zeta \in H : \langle \zeta, v \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in T_{\Omega}(x)\}$$

The Clarke normal cone denoted by $Q_{\Omega}^C(x)$ is defined by

$$Q_{\Omega}^C(x) = \overline{\text{co}}[Q_{\Omega}^P(x)]$$

where $\overline{\text{co}}(S)$ denotes the closure of the convex hull of S and $Q_{\Omega}^P(x) \subseteq Q_{\Omega}^C(x)$; where $Q_{\Omega}^C(x)$ is a closed convex cone and $Q_{\Omega}^P(x)$ is convex but may not be closed.

Definition 2.6: [4] For a given $t \in (0, +\infty]$; a subset t of H is called the normalized uniformly prox-regular (or uniformly t -prox-regular) if every nonzero proximal normal to Ω_t can be realized by an t -ball.

That is for all $\bar{x} \in \Omega_t$ and $0 \neq \zeta \in Q_{\Omega_t}^P(\bar{x})$

$$\langle \frac{\zeta}{\|\zeta\|}, x - \bar{x} \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2t} \|x - \bar{x}\|^2 \quad x \in \Omega_t$$

Therefore, for all $\bar{x} \in \Omega_t$ and $0 \neq \zeta \in Q_{\Omega_t}^P(\bar{x})$ with $\|\zeta\| = 1$ we have

$$\langle \zeta, x - \bar{x} \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2t} \|x - \bar{x}\|^2, \quad x \in \Omega_t$$

Lemma 2.7 [23] A closed set $\Omega \subseteq H$ is convex if and only if it is uniformly t -prox-regular for every $t > 0$ Proposition 2.8 [25] Let $t > 0$ and Ω_t be a nonempty closed and uniformly t -prox-regular subset of H . Let $U(t) = \{u \in H : 0 \leq d_{\Omega_t}(u) < t\}$ Then the following statements are hold:

(a) for all $x \in U(t)$; $P_{\Omega_t}(x) \neq \emptyset$;

(b) for all $t_0 \in (0, t)$; P_t is Lipschitz continuous mapping with constant $\frac{t}{t-t_0}$ on $U(t') = \{u \in H : 0 \leq d_{\Omega_t}(u) < t'\}$.

If $Q_{\Omega_t}^P(\cdot)$ is a closed set valued mapping, hence

$$Q_{\Omega_t}^C(x) = Q_{\Omega_t}^P(x)$$

and

$$Q_{\Omega_t}(x) = Q_{\Omega_t}^C(x) = Q_{\Omega_t}^P(x)$$

The union of two disjoint intervals $[a, b]$ and $[c, d]$ is uniformly t -prox-regular with $t = \frac{c-b}{2}$. The infinite union of disjoint intervals is also uniformly t -prox-regular and t depends on the distance between the intervals.

Basic Remarks and Formulations

Let t be an uniformly Ω_t prox-regular (nonconvex) set and $g_i: \Omega_t \rightarrow \Omega_t$ be a given mapping for $i = 1, 2, 3$; For given mappings $T_1, T_2, T_3: \Omega_t \rightarrow \Omega_t$ we consider the following problems of finding $(x^*, y^*, z^*) \in \Omega_t \times \Omega_t \times \Omega_t$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*), g_1(x) - g_1(x^*) \rangle &\geq 0, \quad g_1(x) \in \Omega_t, r_1 > 0 \\ \langle r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*) + g_2(y^*) - g_2(z^*), g_2(x) - g_2(y^*) \rangle &\geq 0, \quad g_2(x) \in \Omega_t, r_2 > 0 \\ \langle r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*) + g_3(z^*) - g_3(x^*), g_3(x) - g_3(x^*) \rangle &\geq 0, \quad g_3(x) \in \Omega_t, r_3 > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The problem (3.1) is called a general system of regularized non convex variational inequalities. We note that if $T_1 = T_2 = T_3 = T: \Omega_t \rightarrow \Omega_t$ is an univariant nonlinear operator, $g_i = I$; $i = 1, 2, 3$ (the identity operator) and $x^* = y^* = z^* = u$, then the system (3.1) reduces to the following classical variational inequalities defined on the nonconvex set Ω_t find $u \in \Omega_t$ such that

$$\langle Tu, v - u \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in \Omega_t \quad (3.2)$$

and (3.2) is equivalent to find $u \in \Omega_t$ such that

$$0 \in Tu + Q_{\Omega_t}^P(u) \quad (3.3)$$

Where $Q_{\Omega_t}^P(u)$ denotes the normal cone of Ω_t at u over the non convex set.

Lemma 3.1 $(x^*, y^*, z^*) \in \Omega_t \times \Omega_t \times \Omega_t$ is a solution set of problem (3.1) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x^*) &= P_{\Omega_t}[g_1(y^*) - r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*)], \\ g_2(y^*) &= P_{\Omega_t}[g_2(z^*) - r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*)], \\ g_3(z^*) &= P_{\Omega_t}[g_3(x^*) - r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*)], \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where P_{Ω_t} is the projection of H on to the uniformly t -prox-regular set Ω_t . In the proof of Lemma 3.1, there occur three fatal errors. First in view of Proposition 2.8, for any $t \in (0, 1)$ the projection of points in the tube $U(t') = \{u \in H : 0 < d_{\Omega_t}(u) < t'\}$ onto the set Ω_t exists and unique, that is for any $x \in U(t')$, the set $P_{\Omega_t}(x)$ is nonempty and singleton. From the Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 2.6 the points $g_1(y^*) - r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*)$; $g_2(z^*) - r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*)$ and $g_3(x^*) - r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*)$ should be in $U(t')$ for some $t' \in (0, t)$ it is not necessary true, hence (3.4) are not necessarily well defined. If $r_1 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_1(y^*, x^*)\|}$, $r_2 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_2(z^*, y^*)\|}$, and $r_3 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_3(x^*, z^*)\|}$, and $t' \in (0, t)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\Omega_t}(g_1(y^*) - r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*)) &\leq d_{\Omega_t}(g_1(y^*)) + r_1 \|T_1(y^*, x^*)\| \\ &\leq \frac{t' \|T_1(y^*, x^*)\|}{1 + \|T_1(y^*, x^*)\|} < t', \quad \text{for } g_1(y^*) \in \Omega_t, \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(g_1(y^*) - r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*)) \in U(t')$

Similarly we have $(g_2(z^*) - r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*)) \in U(t')$ and $(g_3(x^*) - r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*)) \in U(t')$

$$\text{If } r_1 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_1(y^*, x^*)\|}, r_2 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_2(z^*, y^*)\|}, \text{ and } r_3 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_3(x^*, z^*)\|},$$

for $t' \in (0, t)$; then the equation (3.4) are well defined.

Secondly the following general system of regularized non convex variational inclusions is equivalence to the system (3.1):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\in r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*) + Q_{\Omega_t}^P(g_1(x^*)) \\ 0 &\in r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*) + g_2(y^*) - g_2(z^*) + Q_{\Omega_t}^P(g_2(y^*)) \\ 0 &\in r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*) + g_3(z^*) - g_3(x^*) + Q_{\Omega_t}^P(g_3(z^*)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Since $Q_{\Omega_t}^P(g_1(x^*))$, $Q_{\Omega_t}^P(g_2(y^*))$ and $Q_{\Omega_t}^P(g_3(z^*))$ are cone, the system (3.1) is equivalent to the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\in r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*) + Q_{\Omega_t}^P(g_1(x^*)) \\ 0 &\in r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*) + g_2(y^*) - g_2(z^*) + Q_{\Omega_t}^P(g_2(y^*)) \\ 0 &\in r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*) + g_3(z^*) - g_3(x^*) + Q_{\Omega_t}^P(g_3(z^*)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

The system (3.1) is equivalent to the system (3.5) which is not true in general.

Example 3.2 Let $H = \mathbb{R}$ and $t = [0, b] \cup [c, d]$ be the union of two disjoint intervals $[0, b]$ and $[c, d]$ where $0 < b < c < d$. Then t is an uniformly t -prox-regular set with $t = \frac{c-b}{2}$. Define $T_i: \Omega_t \times \Omega_t \rightarrow \Omega_t$ and $g_i: \Omega_t \rightarrow \Omega_t$ by

$$T_i(x, y, z) = \theta_i e^{s_i x},$$

$$g_i(x) = kx^m, x, y, z \in \Omega_i$$

where for $i=1,2,3$, $s_i, m \in \mathbb{R}, \theta_i < 0$ and $b^{1-m} \leq k < \frac{c}{b^m}$ are arbitrary but fixed.

Assume $x^* = y^* = z^* = b$ and $r_i > 0, i = 1, 2, 3$

$$\alpha > \max \left\{ \frac{-r_1 \theta_1 e^{s_1 b^2}}{c - kb^m}, \frac{-r_2 \theta_2 e^{s_2 b^2}}{c - kb^m}, \frac{-r_3 \theta_3 e^{s_3 b^2}}{c - kb^m} \right\}$$

be the fixed arbitrary. Hence for all $w \in \Omega_i$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*), w - g_1(x^*) \rangle + \alpha \|w - g_1(x^*)\|^2 \\ &= r_1 \theta_1 e^{s_1 b^2} (w - kb^m) + \alpha (w - kb^m)^2 \\ &= (w - kb^m) + (\alpha (w - kb^m) + r_1 \theta_1 e^{s_1 b^2}). \end{aligned}$$

If $w \in [0, b]$, then

$$kb^m \leq w - kb^m \leq b - kb^m = b(1 - kb^{m-1})$$

and

$$-kab^m + r_1 \theta_1 e^{s_1 b^2} \leq \alpha (w - kb^m) + r_1 \theta_1 e^{s_1 b^2} \leq r_1 \theta_1 e^{s_1 b^2} + \alpha b(1 - kb^{m-1})$$

For $w \in [c, d]$ we have

$$c - kb^m \leq w - kb^m \leq d - kb^m$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha (c - kb^m) + r_1 \theta_1 e^{s_1 b^2} \leq \alpha (w - kb^m) + r_1 \theta_1 e^{s_1 b^2} \leq \alpha (d - kb^m) + r_1 \theta_1 e^{s_1 b^2} \\ & (w - kb^m) (\alpha (w - kb^m) + r_1 \theta_1 e^{s_1 b^2}) \geq 0 \quad \forall w \in \Omega_i \quad (3.8) \end{aligned}$$

From (3.7)-(3.8), we have

$$\langle r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*), w - g_1(x^*) \rangle + \alpha \|w - g_1(x^*)\|^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall w \in \Omega_i$$

Since $r_1 \theta_1 e^{s_1 b^2} (w - kb^m) < 0$ for all $w \in [c, d]$ i.e.,

$$\langle r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*), w - g_1(x^*) \rangle < 0 \quad \forall w \in \Omega_i$$

Hence

$$\langle r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*), w - g_1(x^*) \rangle \geq 0, \quad w \in \Omega_i$$

cannot hold. Similarly we have

$$\langle r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*) + g_2(y^*) - g_2(z^*), w - g_2(y^*) \rangle + \alpha \|w - g_2(y^*)\|^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall w \in \Omega_i$$

while the inequality

$$\langle r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*) + g_2(y^*) - g_2(z^*), w - g_2(y^*) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in \Omega_i$$

cannot hold. Again in similar way we have

$$\langle r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*) + g_3(z^*) - g_3(x^*), w - g_3(z^*) \rangle + \alpha \|w - g_3(z^*)\|^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall w \in \Omega_i$$

the inequality

$$\langle r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*) + g_3(z^*) - g_3(x^*), w - g_3(z^*) \rangle \geq 0$$

cannot hold. Therefore we can see that every solution of (3.2) is a solution of (3.3) but converse need not be true in general. On the basis of example we define as the general system of regularized non-convex variational inequality. For given nonlinear mappings $T_i: H \times H \rightarrow H$ and $g_i: H \times H \rightarrow H$ $i=1,2,3$ we consider the general system of regularized

non-convex variational inequality for finding $(x^*, y^*, z^*) \in H \times H \times H$ such that $(g_1(x^*), g_1(y^*), g_1(z^*)) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_1 \times \Omega_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*), g_1(x) - g_1(x^*) \rangle \\ &+ \frac{\|r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*)\|}{2t} \|g_1(x) - g_1(x^*)\|^2 \geq 0, \text{ for } x \in \Omega_1, \\ & \langle r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*) + g_2(y^*) - g_2(z^*), g_2(x) - g_2(y^*) \rangle \\ &+ \frac{\|r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*) + g_2(y^*) - g_2(z^*)\|}{2t} \|g_2(x) - g_2(y^*)\|^2 \geq 0, \text{ for } x \in \Omega_1, \\ & \langle r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*) + g_3(z^*) - g_3(x^*), g_3(x) - g_3(x^*) \rangle \\ &+ \frac{\|r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*) + g_3(z^*) - g_3(x^*)\|}{2t} \|g_3(x) - g_3(x^*)\|^2 \geq 0, \text{ for } x \in \Omega_1, \quad (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.3: Let Ω_i be a uniformly t-prox regular set. The system (3.9) is equivalent to the system (3.6).

Proof: Let $(x^*, y^*), (z^*) \in H \times H \times H$ $(g_1(x^*), g_1(y^*), g_1(z^*)) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_1 \times \Omega_1, i = 1; 2; 3$ be a solution set of the system (3.9). If $r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*) = 0$ then $0 \in r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*) + Q_{\Omega_1}^p(g_1(x^*))$ **If** $r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*) \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle -r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*), g_1(x) - g_1(x^*) \rangle \\ & \leq \frac{\|r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*)\|}{2} \|g_1(x) - g_1(x^*)\|^2, \quad \forall x \in \Omega_1 \quad \text{From Lemma 2.3,} \\ & -(r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*)) \in Q_{\Omega_1}^p(g_1(x^*)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence} \quad 0 \in r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*) + Q_{\Omega_1}^p(g_1(x^*))$$

Similarly we have

$$0 \in r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*) + g_2(y^*) - g_2(z^*) + Q_{\Omega_1}^p(g_2(y^*))$$

and

$$0 \in r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*) + g_3(z^*) - g_3(x^*) + Q_{\Omega_1}^p(g_3(z^*))$$

Conversely, $(x^*, y^*, z^*) \in H \times H \times H$ with $(g_1(x^*), g_1(y^*), g_1(z^*)) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_1 \times \Omega_1, i=1; 2; 3$ be a solution set of the system (3.6) then from Definition 2.6, $(x^*, y^*, z^*) \in H \times H \times H$ with $(g_1(x^*), g_1(y^*), g_1(z^*)) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_1 \times \Omega_1, i=1, 2, 3$ be a solution set of the system (3.9).

Lemma 3.4: For $i=1, 2, 3$ let $T_i; g_i; r_i$ be the same as in the system (3.9), then $(x^*, y^*, z^*) \in H \times H \times H$ with $(g_1(x^*), g_1(y^*), g_1(z^*)) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_1 \times \Omega_1$ be a solution set of the system (3.9) if and only if (x^*, y^*, z^*) satisfies the system (3.4) with $r_1 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_1(y^*, x^*)\|}, r_2 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_2(z^*, y^*)\|}$ and $r_3 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_3(x^*, z^*)\|}$ for $t' \in (0, t)$

Proof: Let $(x^*, y^*, z^*) \in H \times H \times H$ with $(g_1(y^*), g_1(z^*)) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_1 \times \Omega_1, i = 1, 2, 3$ be a solution set of the system (3.9). Since $(g_1(y^*), g_1(z^*)) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_1 \times \Omega_1, r_1 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_1(y^*, x^*)\|}, r_2 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_2(z^*, y^*)\|}$ and $r_3 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_3(x^*, z^*)\|}$ it follow that the equations (3.4) are well defined. By using $P_{\Omega_i} = (I + Q_{\Omega_i}^p)^{-1}$ and Proposition 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 \in r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) + g_1(x^*) - g_1(y^*) + Q_{\Omega_1}^p(g_1(x^*)) \\ & \Leftrightarrow g_1(y^*) - r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) \in g_1(x^*) + Q_{\Omega_1}^p(g_1(x^*)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g_1(y^*) - r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*) \in (I + Q_{\Omega_1}^p)(g_1(x^*))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g_1(x^*) = P_{\Omega_1} [g_1(y^*) - r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*)],$$

where I is an identity mapping. Similarly we have

$$0 \in r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*) + g_2(y^*) - g_2(z^*) + Q_{\Omega_2}^p(g_2(y^*))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g_2(z^*) - r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*) \in g_2(y^*) + Q_{\Omega_2}^p(g_2(y^*))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g_2(z^*) - r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*) \in (I + Q_{\Omega_2}^p)(g_2(y^*))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g_2(y^*) = P_{\Omega_2} [g_2(z^*) - r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*)],$$

and

$$0 \in r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*) + g_3(z^*) - g_3(x^*) + Q_{\Omega_3}^p(g_3(z^*))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g_3(x^*) - r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*) \in g_3(z^*) + Q_{\Omega_3}^p(g_3(z^*))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g_3(x^*) - r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*) \in (I + Q_{\Omega_3}^p)(g_3(z^*))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g_3(z^*) = P_{\Omega_3} [g_3(x^*) - r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*)]$$

This completes the proof.

Existence and Convergence Analysis

Definition 4.1 A mapping $T: H \times H \times H \rightarrow H \times H \times H$ is said to be

(i) monotone in the first variable if for all $x, y \in H$

$$\langle T(x, u) - T(y, v), x - y \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall u, v \in H,$$

(ii) μ -strongly monotone in the first variable if there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\langle T(x, u) - T(y, v), x - y \rangle \geq \mu \|x - y\|^2 \quad \forall u, v \in H,$$

(iii) μ -cocoercive if there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\langle T(x, u) - T(y, v), x - y \rangle \geq \mu \|T(x, u) - T(y, v)\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in H,$$

(iv) relaxed μ -cocoercive if there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\langle T(x, u) - T(y, v), x - y \rangle \geq -\mu \|T(x, u) - T(y, v)\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in H,$$

(v) relaxed (μ, ν) -cocoercive if there exists a constant $\mu, \nu > 0$ such that

$$\langle T(x, u) - T(y, v), x - y \rangle \geq -\mu \|T(x, u) - T(y, v)\|^2 + \nu \|x - y\|^2 \quad \forall x, y, u, v \in H,$$

(vi) μ -Lipschitz continuous in the first variable if there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in H$

$$\|T(x, u) - T(y, v)\| \leq \mu \|x - y\| \quad \forall x, y \in H$$

(i) μ -strongly monotone if there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\langle g(x) - g(y), x - y \rangle \geq \mu \|x - y\|^2 \quad \forall u, v \in H$$

(ii) ξ -Lipschitz continuous if there exists a constant $\xi > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in H$

$$\langle g(x) - g(y), x - y \rangle \geq \xi \|x - y\| \quad \forall x, y \in H$$

Now we prove that existence and unique solution set of general system of regularized non-convex variational inequalities.

Theorem 4.3: Let the mappings T_i, g_i and $r_i, i=1, 2, 3$ be the same as in the system (3.1) such that $g_i(H) \subset \Omega_i$; Let g_i be the μ_i -cocoercive with constant $\xi_i > 0$ and Lipschitz continuous mapping with constant $\mu_i > 0$; Let T_i be the relaxed (η_i, ν_i) -cocoercive with respect to the first

variable with constants $\eta_i, \nu_i > 0$ and λ_i -Lipschitz continuous mapping with constant $\lambda_i > 0$; If the constant r_i for $i=1, 2, 3$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$r_1 \leq \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_1(y, x)\|}, r_2 \leq \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_2(z, y)\|} \text{ and } r_3 \leq \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_3(x, z)\|} \quad \forall x, y \in H, \text{ for } t' \in (0, t) \quad (4.1)$$

And

$$\left| r_1 - \frac{\nu_1 - \lambda_1^2 \eta_1}{\lambda_1^2} \right| < \frac{\sqrt{(\nu_1 - \lambda_1^2 \eta_1)^2 \delta^2 - \lambda_1^2 (\delta^2 - (1 - (1 + \delta) p_1)^2)}}{\lambda_1^2 \delta}$$

$$\left| r_2 - \frac{\nu_2 - \lambda_2^2 \eta_2}{\lambda_2^2} \right| < \frac{\sqrt{(\nu_2 - \lambda_2^2 \eta_2)^2 \delta^2 - \lambda_2^2 (\delta^2 - (1 - (1 + \delta) p_2)^2)}}{\lambda_2^2 \delta}$$

$$\left| r_3 - \frac{\nu_3 - \lambda_3^2 \eta_3}{\lambda_3^2} \right| < \frac{\sqrt{(\nu_3 - \lambda_3^2 \eta_3)^2 \delta^2 - \lambda_3^2 (\delta^2 - (1 - (1 + \delta) p_3)^2)}}{\lambda_3^2 \delta}$$

$$\nu_i > \lambda_i^2 \eta_i \frac{\sqrt{\delta^2 - (1 - (1 + \delta) p_i)^2}}{\delta} \lambda_i,$$

$$\nu_i > \lambda_i^2 \eta_i,$$

$$p_i = \sqrt{1 - 2\mu_i \xi_i^2 + \xi_i^2},$$

$$2\mu_i \xi_i^2 \leq 1 + \xi_i^2 \quad (4.2) \text{ for } i=1, 2, 3$$

$\delta = \frac{t}{t - t'}$ then the system (3.9) admits a unique solutions.

Proof. Define $\phi, \varphi, \psi: H \times H \rightarrow H$ by

$$\phi(x, y) = x - g_1(x) + P_{\Omega_1} [g_1(y) - r_1 T_1(y, x)]$$

$$\varphi(y, z) = y - g_2(y) + P_{\Omega_2} [g_2(z) - r_2 T_2(z, y)]$$

$$\psi(z, x) = z - g_3(z) + P_{\Omega_3} [g_3(x) - r_3 T_3(x, z)], \quad \forall x, y, z \in H \quad (4.3)$$

Define $\|\cdot\|$ on $H \times H \times H$ by

$$\|(x, y, z)\|_* = \|x\| + \|y\| + \|z\| \quad \forall x, y, z \in H \quad (4.4)$$

Since $(H \times H \times H, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is a Hilbert space, we define $\mathfrak{Z}: H \times H \times H \rightarrow H \times H \times H$ by

$$\mathfrak{Z}(x, y, z) = (\phi(x, y), \varphi(y, z), \psi(x, z)), \quad \forall x, y, z \in H \times H \times H \quad (4.5)$$

We claim that \mathfrak{Z} is a contraction mapping. Indeed let $(x, y), (x^*, y^*) \in H \times H \times H, g_1(y) \in \Omega_1$ and $r_1 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_1(y, x)\|}$ for $t' \in (0, t)$, hence

$$g_1(y) - r_1 T_1(y, x) \in U(t')$$

and the t -prox-regularity of Ω_1 implies that $P_{\Omega_1} [g_1(y) - r_1 T_1(y, x)]$ exists and unique.

Similarly $P_{\Omega_2} [g_2(z) - r_2 T_2(z, y)]$ and $P_{\Omega_3} [g_3(x) - r_3 T_3(x, z)]$ also exists and unique. Using

Proposition 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(x, y) - \phi(x^*, y^*)\| &= \|x - g_1(x) + P_{\Omega_1} [g_1(y) - r_1 T_1(y, x)] - (x^* - g_1(x^*) + P_{\Omega_1} [g_1(y^*) - r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*)])\| \\ &\leq \|x - x^* - (g_1(x) - g_1(x^*))\| + \delta \|g_1(y) - g_1(y^*) - r_1 (T_1(y, x) - T_1(y^*, x^*))\| \\ &\leq \|x - x^* - (g_1(x) - g_1(x^*))\| + \delta (\|y - y^* - (g_1(y) - g_1(y^*))\| + \|y - y^* - r_1 (T_1(y, x) - T_1(y^*, x^*))\|) \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Where $\delta = \frac{t}{t - t'}$. By μ_1 -cocoercive of g_1 and ξ_1 -Lipschitz continuity of g_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|x - x^* - (g_1(x) - g_1(x^*))\|^2 = \|x - x^*\|^2 - 2 \langle g_1(x) - g_1(x^*), x - x^* \rangle + \|g_1(x) - g_1(x^*)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - x^*\|^2 - 2\mu_1 \|g_1(x) - g_1(x^*)\|^2 + \|g_1(x) - g_1(x^*)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|x - x^*\|^2 - 2\mu_1\xi_1^2 \|x - x^*\|^2 + \xi_1^2 \|x - x^*\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - 2\mu_1\xi_1^2 + \xi_1^2) \|x - x^*\|^2 \\ &\Rightarrow \|x - x^* - g_1(x) - g_1(x^*)\| \leq p_1 \|x - x^*\| \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Where, $p_1 = \sqrt{1 - 2\mu_1\xi_1^2 + \xi_1^2}$ Similarly we obtain

$$\|y - y^* - g_1(y) - g_1(y^*)\| \leq p_1 \|y - y^*\| \quad (4.8)$$

Since T_1 is relaxed (η_1, v_1) cocoercive and λ_1 Lipschitz continuous mapping with first variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|y - y^* - r_1(T_1(y, x) - T_1(y^*, x^*))\| = \|y - y^*\| - 2r_1\langle T_1(y, x) - T_1(y^*, x^*), y - y^* \rangle + r_1^2 \|T_1(y, x) - T_1(y^*, x^*)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|y - y^*\|^2 - 2r_1(-\eta_1) \|T_1(y, x) - T_1(y^*, x^*)\|^2 - r_1(T_1(y, x) - T_1(y^*, x^*))\| = \|y - y^*\| \\ &\quad + v_1 \|y - y^*\|^2 - r_1^2 \|T_1(y, x) - T_1(y^*, x^*)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 + 2r_1\eta_1\lambda_1^2 - 2r_1v_1 + r_1^2\lambda_1^2) \|y - y^*\|^2 \\ &\Rightarrow \|y - y^* - r_1(T_1(y, x) - T_1(y^*, x^*))\| \leq \sqrt{1 + 2r_1\eta_1\lambda_1^2 - 2r_1v_1 + r_1^2\lambda_1^2} \|y - y^*\| \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

From (4.3)-(4.9) we have

$$\|\phi(x, y) - \phi(x^*, y^*)\| \leq P_1 \|x - x^*\| + \theta_1 \|y - y^*\| \quad (4.10)$$

Where $P_1 = \sqrt{1 - 2\mu_1\xi_1^2 + \xi_1^2}$ and $\theta_1 = \delta(p_1 + \sqrt{1 + 2r_1\eta_1\lambda_1^2 - 2r_1v_1 + r_1^2\lambda_1^2})$

Since g_2 is μ_2 -cocoercive and ξ_2 -Lipschitz continuous mapping and T_2 is relaxed (η_2, μ_2) cocoercive mapping and λ_2 Lipschitz continuous mapping with first variable, we obtain

$$\|\phi(y, z) - \phi(y^*, z^*)\| \leq P_2 \|y - y^*\| + \theta_2 \|z - z^*\| \quad (4.11)$$

Where $p_2 = \sqrt{1 - 2\mu_2\xi_2^2 + \xi_2^2}$ and $\theta_2 = \delta(p_2 + \sqrt{1 + 2r_2\eta_2\lambda_2^2 - 2r_2v_2 + r_2^2\lambda_2^2})$

Again, since g_3 is μ_3 cocoercive and ξ_3 -Lipschitz continuous and T_3 is relaxed (η_3, v_3) -cocoercive and λ_3 -Lipschitz continuous with first variable, we obtain

$$\|\psi(z, x) - \psi(z^*, x^*)\| \leq P_3 \|z - z^*\| + \theta_3 \|x - x^*\| \quad (4.12)$$

Where $p_3 = \sqrt{1 - 2\mu_3\xi_3^2 + \xi_3^2}$ and $\theta_3 = \delta(p_3 + \sqrt{1 + 2r_3\eta_3\lambda_3^2 - 2r_3v_3 + r_3^2\lambda_3^2})$ It follows that from (4.4)-(4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathfrak{Z}(x, y, z) - \mathfrak{Z}(x^*, y^*, z^*)\|_* = \|\phi(x, y) - \phi(x^*, y^*)\| + \|\phi(y, z) - \phi(y^*, z^*)\| + \|\psi(z, x) - \psi(z^*, x^*)\| \\ &\leq (p_1 + \theta_3) \|x - x^*\| + (p_2 + \theta_1) \|y - y^*\| + (p_3 + \theta_2) \|z - z^*\| \\ &\leq \ell \|(x, y, z) - (x^*, y^*, z^*)\|_* \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\ell = \max\{p + \theta_i : p = p_i, i = 1, 2, 3\}.$$

The condition (4.2) implies that $0 \leq \ell < 1$ and (4.13) guarantees that \mathfrak{Z} is a contraction mapping. By Banach fixed point Theorem, there exists a unique point $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) \in H \times H \times H$ such that $\mathfrak{Z}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) = (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z})$

From (4.3) and (4.4) we have

$$g_1(\hat{x}) = P_{\Omega_1}[g_1(\hat{y}) - r_1T_1(\hat{y}, \hat{x})]$$

$$g_2(\hat{y}) = P_{\Omega_2}[g_2(\hat{z}) - r_2T_2(\hat{z}, \hat{y})]$$

$$g_3(\hat{z}) = P_{\Omega_3}[g_3(\hat{x}) - r_3T_3(\hat{x}, \hat{z})]$$

for the constant $r_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, In same way deduce that the above equations are well defined.

Lemma 3.4 guarantees that $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) \in H \times H \times H$ with $(g_i(\hat{x}), g_i(\hat{y}), g_i(\hat{z})) \in \Omega_i \times \Omega_i \times \Omega_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ is a solution set of general system of regularized non-convex variational inequalities. This completes the proof. By using Lemma 3.4, we suggest the following explicit projection iterative method for solving the general system of regularize non-convex variational inequalities. Algorithm 4.4 Assume that mappings T_i , g_i and constant $r_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ be the same as in the system (3.9) such that $g_i(H) \subseteq \Omega_i$. For arbitrary initial points $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \Omega_i$ compute the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ in H in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n[x_n - g_1(x_n) + P_{\Omega_1}(g_1(y_n) - r_1T_1(y_n, x_n))] \\ y_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)y_n + \alpha_n[y_n - g_2(y_n) + P_{\Omega_2}(g_2(z_n) - r_2T_2(z_n, y_n))] \\ z_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)z_n + \alpha_n[z_n - g_3(z_n) + P_{\Omega_3}(g_3(x_n) - r_3T_3(x_n, z_n))] \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1]$

Assume that $g_i = I$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ is an identity mappings, then we have the following algorithm.

Algorithm 4.5: Let mapping T_i and constant $r_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ be the same as in the system (3.9). For arbitrary initial points $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \Omega_i$ compute the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ in Ω_i in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= P_{\Omega_1}(y_{n+1} - r_1T_1(y_{n+1}, x_n)) \\ y_{n+1} &= P_{\Omega_2}(z_{n+1} - r_2T_2(z_{n+1}, y_n)) \\ z_{n+1} &= P_{\Omega_3}(x_{n+1} - r_3T_3(x_{n+1}, z_n)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\alpha^n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1]$.

Again assume that $g_i = I$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ is an identity mappings, then we have the following algorithm.

Algorithm 4.6 Let mapping T_i and constant $r_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ be the same as in the system (3.9). For arbitrary initial points $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \Omega_i$ compute the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ in Ω_i in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n P_{\Omega_1}(y_n - r_1T_1(y_n, x_n)) \\ y_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)y_n + \alpha_n P_{\Omega_2}(z_n - r_2T_2(z_n, y_n)) \\ z_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)z_n + \alpha_n P_{\Omega_3}(x_n - r_3T_3(x_n, z_n)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1]$:

Now we prove the strong convergence of the sequences generated by Algorithm 4.4 to a unique solution set of the system.

Theorem 4.7 Let the mappings T_i , g_i and $r_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ be the same as in the Theorem 4.3. If the constant r_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ satisfy the conditions (4.1), (4.2) and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = 0$ then the iterative sequences $\{x_n, y_n, z_n\}$ generated by Algorithm 4.4 converges strongly to a unique solutions (x^*, y^*, z^*) of the system (3.9).

Proof: Theorem 4.3 guarantees that the existence of a unique solution set $(x^*, y^*, z^*) \in H \times H \times H$

With $(g(x^*), g(y^*), g(z^*)) \in \Omega_t \times \Omega_t \times \Omega_t$ for the system (3.9). Since

$$r_1 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_1(y^*, x^*)\|}, r_2 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_2(z^*, y^*)\|} \text{ and } r_3 < \frac{t'}{1 + \|T_3(x^*, z^*)\|}, t' \in (0, t)$$

Therefore, from the Lemma 3.4, (x^*, y^*, z^*) satisfies the system (3.4). For each $n \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}x^* &= (1-\alpha_n)x^* + \alpha_n [x^* - g_1(x^*) + P_{\Omega_1}(g_1(y^*) - r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*))] \\y^* &= (1-\alpha_n)y^* + \alpha_n [y^* - g_2(y^*) + P_{\Omega_2}(g_2(z^*) - r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*))] \\z^* &= (1-\alpha_n)z^* + \alpha_n [z^* - g_3(z^*) + P_{\Omega_3}(g_3(x^*) - r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*))], \quad (4.15)\end{aligned}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1]$: Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(g(y^*), g(y_n)) \in \Omega_t$;

$$r_1 < \frac{t'}{1+\|T_1(y^*, x^*)\|}, \quad r_2 < \frac{t'}{1+\|T_2(z^*, y^*)\|} \text{ and } r_3 < \frac{t'}{1+\|T_3(x^*, z^*)\|}, \quad t' \in (0, t)$$

It is easy to see that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(g_1(y^*) - r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*)), (g_1(y_n) - r_1 T_1(y_n, x_n)) \in U(t')$$

From (4.14), (4.15) and Proposition 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}20. \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| &\leq (1-\alpha_n)\|x_n - x^*\| + \alpha_n (\|x_n - x^* - (g_1(x_n) - g_1(x^*))\| \\&+ \|P_{\Omega_1}(g_1(y_n) - r_1 T_1(y_n, x_n)) - P_{\Omega_1}(g_1(y^*) - r_1 T_1(y^*, x^*))\| \\&\leq (1-\alpha_n)\|x_n - x^*\| + \alpha_n \|x_n - x^* - (g_1(x_n) - g_1(x^*))\| \\&+ \delta(\|g_1(y_n) - g_1(y^*) - r_1(T_1(y_n, x_n) - T_1(y^*, x^*))\|) \\&\leq (1-\alpha_n)\|x_n - x^*\| + \alpha_n \|x_n - x^* - (g_1(x_n) - g_1(x^*))\| \\&+ \delta(\|y_n - y^* - (g_1(y_n) - g_1(y^*))\| + \|y_n - y^* - r_1(T_1(y_n, x_n) - T_1(y^*, x^*))\|) \quad (4.16)\end{aligned}$$

Since T_1 is relaxed (η_1, v_1) -cocoercive mapping and λ_1 -Lipschitz continuous mapping in the first variable and g_1 is μ_1 -strong cocoercive mapping and Σ_1 -Lipschitz continuous mapping, we have

$$\|x_n - x^* - (g_1(x_n) - g_1(x^*))\| \leq P_1 \|x_n - x^*\|, \quad (4.17)$$

$$\|y_n - y^* - (g_1(y_n) - g_1(y^*))\| \leq P_1 \|y_n - y^*\| \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$\|y_n - y^* - r_1(T_1(y_n, x_n) - T_1(y^*, x^*))\| \leq \sqrt{1 - 2r_1(\nu_1 - \eta_1\lambda_1^2 + r_1^2\lambda_1^2)} \|y_n - y^*\| \quad (4.19)$$

Combining (4.16)-(4.19) we get

$$\|x_{n+1} - x^*\| \leq (1-\alpha_n)\|x_n - x^*\| + \alpha(p_1\|x_n - x^*\| + \theta\|y_n - y^*\|) \quad (4.20)$$

where p_1 and θ_1 are same as in (4.10). By $(g_2(z^*), g_2(z_n)) \in \Omega_t$, $(n \in \mathbb{N})$

$$r_2 < \frac{t'}{1+\|T_1(y^*, x^*)\|}, \quad r_2 < \frac{t'}{1+\|T_2(z_n, y_n)\|}$$

Therefore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$g_2(z^*) - r_2 T_2(z^*, y^*), \quad g_2(z_n) - r_2 T_2(z_n, y_n) \in \mu(t').$$

Since T_2 is relaxed (η_2, v_2) -cocoercive mapping and λ_2 -Lipschitz continuous mapping in the first variable and g_2 is μ_2 -strong cocoercive mapping and Σ_2 -Lipschitz continuous mapping in a same way of (4.16) - (4.20), we get

$$\|y_{n+1} - y^*\| \leq (1-\alpha_n)\|y_n - y^*\| + \alpha(p_2\|y_n - y^*\| + \theta_2\|z_n - z^*\|) \quad (4.21)$$

where p_2 and θ_2 are same as in (4.11). By $(g_3(x^*), g_3(x_n)) \in \Omega_t$, $(n \in \mathbb{N})$

$$r_3 < \frac{t'}{1+\|T_3(x^*, z^*)\|}, \quad r_2 < \frac{t'}{1+\|T_3(x_n, z_n)\|}$$

Hence for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$g_3(x^*) - r_3 T_3(x^*, z^*), \quad g_3(x_n) - r_3 T_3(x_n, z_n) \in \mu(t').$$

Since T_3 is relaxed (η_3, v_3) -cocoercive mapping and λ_3 -Lipschitz

continuous mapping in the first variable and g_3 is μ_3 -strong cocoercive mapping and Σ_3 -Lipschitz continuous mapping, as same way of (4.16) - (4.20), we get

$$\|z_{n+1} - z^*\| \leq (1-\alpha_n)\|z_n - z^*\| + \alpha(p_3\|z_n - z^*\| + \theta_3\|x_n - x^*\|) \quad (4.22)$$

where p_3 and θ_3 are same as in (4.12). Now

$$\begin{aligned}\|(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}) - (x^*, y^*, z^*)\|_* &\leq (1-\alpha_n)\|(x_n, y_n, z_n) - (x^*, y^*, z^*)\|_* \\&+ \alpha_n((p_1 + \theta_1)\|x - x^*\| + (p_3 + \theta_1)\|y - y^*\| + (p_3 + \theta_2)\|z - z^*\| \\&\leq (1-\alpha_n)\|(x_n, y_n, z_n) - (x^*, y^*, z^*)\|_* + \alpha_n((p_1 + \theta_1)\|x - x^*\| + (p_3 + \theta_1)\|y - y^*\| + (p_3 + \theta_2)\|z - z^*\| \\&\leq (1-\alpha_n)\|(x_n, y_n, z_n) - (x^*, y^*, z^*)\|_* + \alpha_n l \|(x_n, y_n, z_n) - (x^*, y^*, z^*)\|_* \\&\leq (1-(1-l)\alpha_n)\|(x_n, y_n, z_n) - (x^*, y^*, z^*)\|_* \\&\leq \prod_{i=0}^n (1-(1-l)\alpha_i) \|(x_0, y_0, z_0) - (x^*, y^*, z^*)\|_* \quad (4.23)\end{aligned}$$

where l is same as in (4.13). From condition (4.2) we get $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$

we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=0}^n (1-(1-l)\alpha_i) = 0 \quad (4.24)$$

Therefore from (4.23)-(4.24)

$$\|(x^n, y^n, z^n) - (x^*, y^*, z^*)\|_* \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and the sequences $\{(x_n; y_n; z_n)\}$ suggested by algorithm 4.4, converges strongly to a unique solution set (x^*, y^*, z^*) of the general system of regularized nonconvex variational inequalities. In a similar way, we can prove the convergence of iterative sequences generated by Algorithm 4.5 and Algorithm 4.6.

References

- Kinderlehrer D, Stampacchia G (1980) An introduction to variational inequalities and their applications. Acad Press.
- Ahmad MK, Salahuddin S (2012) A stable perturbed algorithms for a new class of generalized nonlinear implicit quasi variational inclusions in Banach spaces. Advances in Pure Mathematics 2: 139-148.
- Aubin JP (1982) Mathematical Methods of game theory and economics. North Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Clarke FH (1983) Optimization and non smooth analysis. Wiley Int Science New York.
- Khan MF, Salahuddin S (2006) Generalized multivalued quasi variational like inclusions. Adv Nonlinear VarInequal 9.
- Pang LP, Shen J, Song HS (2007) A modified predictor-corrector algorithm for solving non convex generalized variational inequalities. Comput Math Appl 54: 319-325.
- Verma RU (2001) Projection methods, algorithms and a new system of nonlinear variational inequalities. Comput Math Appl 41: 1025-1031.
- Verma RU (2002) Projection methods, and a new system of cocoercive variational inequality problems. Int J Diff Equat Appl 6: 359-367.
- Verma RU (2004) Generalized system for relaxed cocoercive variational inequalities and projection methods. J Optim Theo Appl 121: 203-210.
- Verma RU (2005) General convergence analysis for two step projection methods and applications to variational problems. Appl Math Lett 18: 1286-1292.
- Agarwal RP, Huang NJ, Tan MY (2004) Sensitivity analysis for a new system of generalized nonlinear mixed variational inclusions Appl Math Lett 17: 345-352.

12. Ansari QH, Yao JC (1999) A fixed point theorem and its applications to the system of variational inequalities. Bull Austral Math Soc 59: 433-442.
13. Chang SS, Lee HWJ, Chan CK (2007) Generalized system for relaxed cocoercive variational inequalities in Hilbert space. Applied Math Lett 20: 329-334.
14. Cho YJ, Qin X (2009) Generalized system for relaxed cocoercive variational inequalities and projection methods in Hilbert spaces. Math Inequal Appl 12: 365-375.
15. Inchan I, Petrot N (2011) System of general variational inequalities involving different nonlinear operators related to fixed point problems and its applications. Fixed point Theory.
16. Wen DJ (2010) Projection methods for a generalized system of non convex variational inequalities with different nonlinear operators. Nonlinear Anal 73: 2292-2297.
17. Ansari QH, Balooei J (2013) On a system of regularized non convex variational inequalities. Math Inequal Appl Preprint.
18. Bounkhel M (2002) Existence results of non-convex differential inclusions. Port Math 59: 283-309.
19. Bounkhel M (2003) General existence results for second order non-convex sweeping process with unbounded perturbations. Port Math 60: 269-304.
20. Bounkhel M, Azzam L (2004) General existence results on the second order non convex sweeping process with unbounded perturbations. Set Valued Analysis 12: 291-318.
21. Bounkhel M, Tadjil L, Aamdi A (2003) Iterative schemes to solve non convex variational problems. J Inequal Pure Appl Math 4: 1-14.
22. Canino A (1988) On p-convex sets and geodesics. J Differential Equations 75: 118-157.
23. Clarke FH, Stern RJ, Wolenski PR (1955) Proximal smoothness and the lower C property. J Convex Analysis 2: 117-144.
24. Hayashi S, Yamashita N, Fukushima M (2005) A combined smoothing and regularization method for monotone second order cone complementarily problems. SIAM J Optimizations 15: 593-615.
25. Poliquin RA, Rockafellar RT, Thibault L (2000) Local differentiability of distance functions. Trans Amer Math Soc 352: 5231-5249.
26. Weng X (1991) Fixed point iteration for local strictly pseudo contractive mapping. Proceedings of American Mathematical Society 113: 727-737.
27. Clarke FH, Ledya YS, Stern RJ, Wolenski PR (1988) Non smooth analysis and control theory. Springer-Verlag, New York.