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Abstract

Objective: To determine whether an early lifestyle change program (consisting of customized nutritional advices and a constant moderate physical activity) can reduce the incidence of unfavorable maternal and neonatal outcomes among overweight/obese women.

Research design and methods: This is a case-control study: women included in a lifestyle change program were labeled as cases; controls were randomly selected from the next three women delivering after one case and not undergoing any specific lifestyle change program, but only referred by the obstetrics of the National Health System. Cases attended a multidisciplinary counseling (by both the dietician and the gynecologist) from enrollment (9th-12th week) until delivery (with four follow-up visits), consisting of a hypocaloric, low-glycemic index diet and a moderate physical activity program.

Results: Three-hundred seventy-five women were included: 95 cases and 275 controls. Overall gestational weight gain and the rate of women remaining within the Institute of Medicine recommendations was similar between groups. The occurrence of gestational diabetes mellitus was lower in cases (21.5%) than in controls (32.7%; p = 0.041), and remained statistically significant after correcting for confounding factors (BMI ≥30 kg/m², a family history of diabetes, age ≥35 y and ethnicity; p = 0.005). Pre-term births were significantly lower in cases (1.1%) than in controls (10.2%; p = 0.004). A higher number of controls developed hypertensive disorders (p = 0.024), in particular pregnancy-induced hypertension (1.1% in cases vs. 11.6% in controls, p = 0.0007). The frequency of macrosomic or large-for-gestational-age babies was significantly lower among cases (p = 0.015 and p = 0.003 respectively).

Conclusion: An early behavioral intervention among overweight/obese pregnant women (an individualized counseling by a dietician, a physical activity program and a close follow-up) reduces the preterm birth, the hypertensive disorders and the gestational diabetes mellitus, thus the occurrence of macrosomic and large-for-gestational-age babies, while it doesn’t affect the occurrence of small-for-gestational-age.
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Introduction

As stated by the World Health Organization, over half of the adult population is classified as overweight or obese according to their Body Mass Index (BMI) [1]. Considering the rising maternal age at first pregnancy, a high pre-pregnancy BMI is frequently encountered in the practice of obstetrics. A high pre-pregnancy BMI [2-5] and an excessive gestational weight gain (GWG) [6-9] are associated with many unfavourable pregnancy outcomes both for the mother and for the offspring. Moreover, the incidence of many maternal complications (i.e., gestational diabetes mellitus-GDM-, pregnancy induced hypertension-PIH-, pre-eclampsia (PE), pre-term birth (PTB) and caesarean deliveries) rises linearly as maternal BMI increases [10-14].

Several lifestyle interventions have been studied for the prevention of an excessive GWG and, probably as a consequence, of several unfavorable complications of pregnancy in women with an excessive BMI.

According to the last Cochrane review, while lifestyle interventions (diet, physical activity-PA or both) are effective in reaching an optimal GWG [15], they did not have a substantial effect on other clinical outcomes.

Dietary advices to prevent GDM appear to be beneficial in general, although the results are overly heterogeneous [16]. There is no clear difference in the risk of developing GDM, thus in delivering large for gestational age (LGA) newborns, for women receiving a mixed approach compared with women receiving no intervention [16]. Based on the data currently available, collected in the last Cochrane review [16], it is not possible to draw conclusive evidence about the prevention
of GDM and related negative clinical outcomes to guide clinical practice
guide of obstetrics.

Moreover, dietary interventions have the potential to reduce the
risk of PE [17]. However, there is a need to evaluate the effect of dietary
interventions in women with pre-existing metabolic risk factors on PE,
because currently there are not well defined evidences to recommend
any kind of dietary intervention rather than an exercise program to
prevent PE.

The risk of PTB, both spontaneous and medically indicated, especially
for hypertensive disorders and diabetes, increases with increasing BMI categories, namely for extremely weeks of gestation
[14]. Although the conclusions of a recent meta-analysis [18] of
randomized controlled trials show that dietary interventions are more
effective in reducing pregnancy complications related to excessive-
GWG such as GDM, PIH and PTB (compared with PA alone or mixed
approach), nowadays there aren't strong evidences that recommend a
specific lifestyle intervention rather than others.

Starting from these assumptions, we aimed to evaluate whether
an early customized lifestyle program consisting of a hypocaloric,
low glycemic index (low-GI) diet and moderate but constant PA can
reduce the incidence of adverse maternal (such as GDM, hypertensive
disorders and PTB) and neonatal outcomes (macrosomia, LGA and
small-for-gestational-age (SGA) babies) among overweight/obese
women.

Research Design and Methods

Study design

This is a retrospective, case-control study. Ninety-five overweight/
obese women referred from antenatal clinics in Modena (Italy) were
enrolled in early pregnancy in a lifestyle change program at the
Obstetric Unit of Mother-Infant Dept. of Policlinico Hospital at the
University of Modena. These women were included as Cases.

Controls (two-hundred seventy-five women) were randomly
selected from the next three women, which delivered after one case
and met the inclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria for both groups
were BMI ≥25 kg/m², age >18 years and singleton pregnancy. The
exclusion criteria were chronic diseases including diabetes mellitus
(first trimester glycosuria >100 mg/dl or fasting plasma glucose ≥126
mg/dL or random glycaemia ≥200 mg/dL), previous GDM, medical
conditions or dietary supplements that might affect the body weight
(first trimester glycosuria >100 mg/dl or fasting plasma glucose ≥126
mg/dL or random glycaemia ≥200 mg/dL), previous GDM, medical
conditions or dietary supplements that might affect the body weight
(i.e., thyroid diseases), previous bariatric surgery, smoking habits and
conditions or dietary supplements that might affect the body weight
while taking insulin or oral antidiabetic drugs.

Women who are referred to the outpatient clinic by their antenatal caregivers
are referred to other specialized care providers for further clinical
evaluation and/or insulin treatment.

Cases

Women were counselled by a dietitian and a gynecologist, both
attendant, from the enrollment (between the 9th-12th week of gestation)
until delivery, with four follow-up visits planned at 16th, 20th, 28th and
36th week of pregnancy. The lifestyle program started with a one-hour
multidisciplinary counselling session about the importance of reaching an
appropriate GWG (according to the Institute of Medicine (IOM) guidelines
[19]) and on the benefits of a healthy lifestyle as reported below.

Dietary intervention

The dietary intervention was a hypocaloric, low-GI diet and
consisted of an average intake of 1500 kcal/day, which corresponded
approximately to the baseline metabolism of a pregnant woman. In view of
the PA program, the dietitian added 200 kcal/day for obese and 300 kcal/day
for overweight women [20]. The target macronutrient composition was
arranged as follow: 55% carbohydrate (80% complex with low glycemic
index and 20% simple), 20% protein (50% animal and 50% vegetable) and
25% fat (12% monounsaturated, 7% polyunsaturated and 6% saturated)
with moderately low saturated fat levels. The daily calories were divided
into small frequent meals to avoid ketonuria and acidosis, which often
occur following prolonged fasting. The daily intake of carbohydrates was
at least 225 g/day (the minimum daily intake to prevent ketosis is 180
g/day) [20,21]. The dietitian encouraged a wide consumption of fresh
vegetables and fruit, cereals, legumes, and fish, with olive oil as the main
source of fat and moderate consumption of red wine, in harmony with the
Mediterranean eating habits.

Exercise intervention

Exercise intervention focused on increasing walking and developing
a more active lifestyle (i.e., walking rather than driving for short
distances, spending less time watching television etc.) if not control
indicated [22]. Recommendations set forth by the U.S. Department
of Health and Human Services (DHHS) in 2008 state that pregnant
women should engage in a minimum of 150 minutes of moderate-
inensity aerobic activity a week, even if not physically active before
pregnancy [23]. The American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM)
currently recommends a minimum of 3 exercise sessions completed in
at least 15 minute sessions, gradually increasing to 30 minutes per day,
preferably all days of the week [24]. All participants were advised to
participate minimum in 15 minute sessions gradually increasing to 30
minutes of mild intensity PA per day at least 4-5 days a week. Because of
heart rate variability during pregnancy, women were encouraged to use
the "talk test" (being able to maintain a conversation during activity) to
monitor exercise intensity.

Controls

Controls were recruited among women delivering at the Mother-
Infant Dept. of Policlinico Hospital (University of Modena, Italy) and
did not undergo a lifestyle change counselling, having had a general
advice about diet from their antenatal care-givers.

The entire cohort of cases and controls underwent fasting glucose
assessment until the 12th week, than to 75-g-2 h OGTT (oral glucose
tolerance test) between 16th-18th and, if negative, repeated at 24th-28th
week as recommend by the Italian Guidelines [25]. The diagnosis of
GDM was made for any glucose values exceeding the normal cut-off,
according to the Guidelines [26]. When OGTT was positive, women
were referred to other health care specialists for further clinical
evaluation and/or insulin treatment.

Data regarding pregnancy and delivery were collected from clinical
charts, focusing in particular on pre-pregnancy weight and BMI, weight
delivery and GWG, occurrence of GDM, PIH and PTB, newborns'
weight and birth weight centile.

Anthropometric data regarding newborns were defined as follow:
LGA if birth weight centile was ≥90°, SGA if birth weight centile was
≤10°, macrosomia if birth weight ≥4000 g.

Statistical analysis

To compare the continuous variables, the Student's t-test was
employed. A Chi-squared test was used for the categorical variables. For
the demographic variables, we used the frequencies and Student's t-test
comparisons. A logistic regression was used to evaluate the determinants
for GDm, PIH and macrosomia occurrence in cases and control groups.
Three-hundred seventy women were included: 95 cases and 275 controls.

Age at enrollment, as reported in Table 1, was not different between the two groups while BMI was higher in cases than in controls, due to a higher prevalence of obese women in the first group (67.4% vs. 54.5%; \( p = 0.029 \)).

The socio-demographic characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Overall GWG and GWG stratified by BMI categories, as well as the rate of women remaining within the IOM recommendations are reported in Table 2. No significant difference was found between cases and controls.

Maternal and delivery's outcomes of the cohort studied are shown in Table 3. In controls GDM occurred more frequently than in cases (32.7% and 21.5% respectively; \( p = 0.041 \)).

A higher number of controls developed hypertensive disorders in comparison with cases. Table 4 shows the distribution of hypertensive disorders during pregnancy. A statistical significant difference was found between the two groups regarding the occurrence of PIH (1.1% in cases vs. 11.6% in controls, \( p = 0.0007 \)) while the incidence of PE and superimposed PE was similar.

The rate of PTB was significantly lower in cases (1.1%) than in controls (10.2%, \( p = 0.004 \)). Among women belonging to the control group, the 28 PTB were distributed as follow: 8 pre-term premature rupture of membranes (pPROM), 6 spontaneous and 14 medically indicated (3 for Intrauterine Growth Restriction, 1 for severe haemorrhage, 3 for PIH, 1 for pre-eclampsia, 5 for GDM/macrosomia, 1 for Rh isoimmunisation). The only case of PTB that occurred among the cases was medically indicated for severe PIH.

The incidence of labour induction and caesarean delivery were similar in cases and controls (Table 3).

Restricting the analysis to obese women, only the occurrence of PTB remained significant between the two groups (1.6% in cases vs. 12.7% in controls, \( p = 0.009 \)).

The frequency of macrosomia and LGA babies was significantly higher among controls if compared with cases (Table 5), whereas mean birthweight and incidence of SGA babies were not different between the two groups (Table 5).

At logistic regressions, the occurrence of GDM was explained by the group of allocation (\( p = 0.005 \)) after correcting for confounding factors (BMI \( \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2 \), a family history of diabetes, age \( \geq 35 \text{ y} \), Caucasian ethnicity).

The occurrence of PIH, after correcting for BMI \( \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2 \), age \( \geq 35 \text{ y} \), hypertension family history, and Black African ethnicity, maintained its relation with high BMI and not-intervention (\( p = 0.003 \) and \( p = 0.029 \) respectively).

Finally, a logistic regression was used to examine the effects of the intervention on the incidence of macrosomia. After correcting for BMI \( \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2 \), GDM and family history of diabetes, macrosomia maintained only a positive trend with the group of allocation (\( p = 0.057 \)).

**Discussion**

Our lifestyle intervention, which included a customized hypocaloric low-GI diet, one hour individualized counselling by a dietitian, a constant PA and a close follow-up during the different trimesters of pregnancy, reduced the occurrence of GDM and hypertensive disorders during pregnancy. Interestingly, despite the higher prevalence of a positive family history of diabetes and hypertension in cases than in controls, the GDM diagnosis and PIH occurrence were lower in cases than in controls. This could be due to a higher motivation of cases to change their behavioural habits into healthier lifestyle, following the
suggested prescriptions by a multidisciplinary équipe. Our results are in accordance with several systematic reviews that suggest that lifestyle interventions could have a crucial role in preventing both GDM [18,27-29] and PE [17]. Nevertheless, the last Cochrane review, focused on the reduction of GDM [16], is not so rigorous in recommending a behavioural prescription rather than another, and a recent meta-analysis [17] doesn’t state a clear conclusion on the prevention of PE.

Dietary interventions seem to show a more significant reduction in GWG compared with PA interventions or mixed approach [18] and seem the most effective in reducing pregnancy complications excessive-GWG related such as GDM, PIH, PTB, compared with PA alone or mixed approach. Nevertheless, we chose to use a mixed approach (diet and PA combined) with the purpose to show to the pregnant women a healthier behavioural habits composed by a less sedentary lifestyle (spend less time watching television or sleeping) and a different way of eating. Our women were trained to eat often and in a healthier way. The dietician encouraged a wide consumption of fresh vegetables and fruit, cereals, legumes, and fish, with olive oil as the main source of fat and moderate consumption of red wine, in harmony with the Mediterranean eating habits. We think that it is a very important goal to reach, since a substantial portion of women are not Caucasian, and are not used to eat a Mediterranean diet or eat more times a day, especially if Muslim’s religion.

In our sample of cases, the incidence of PE was not affected by the intervention. Previously, a meta-analysis of eighteen studies [17] stated that the risk of PE could be reduced by lifestyle interventions. Although the risk was lower in women receiving nutritional advices rather than a mixed approach, the prevention programs considered included heterogeneous dietary approaches.

Moreover, although our lifestyle changes program did not significantly affect superimposed PE on chronic hypertension, it is possible that increasing sample size there will be the possibility to demonstrate such an effect. Generally speaking, a lifestyle changes program could prevent the worsening of pre-existing chronic conditions, in particular among women with metabolic risk factors, as previously hypothesized by Allen et al. [17].

Our intervention did not reduce the rates of caesarean deliveries and inductions of labour, and these results agree with the most recent Cochrane meta-analysis [16].

The number of women delivering pre-term was considerably lower in the cases. In particular, pPROM and spontaneous pre-term labours were exclusively observed in the control group. A previous report agrees with our findings [29], although others reported only weak evidence [18,28]. Factors affecting PTB are several, including obstetrical history. A limit of our report is that we ignore the presence of previous PTB or late abortions in our population.

The number of macrosomic or LGA babies was significantly lower in the intervention group. These data agree with those authors who found a positive correlation between a high pre-pregnancy BMI and the risk of delivering LGA babies [30,31]. Others have already pointed out a protective role of a behavioural program in pregnancy in reducing the incidence of macrosomia [32] or LGA babies [27]. However many meta-analyses did not show a clear decrease of macrosomia and LGA occurrence among women undergoing a nutritional and/or physical activity counselling [16,18,28]. This could depend on either the specific program adopted and/or the compliance to the treatment. Moreover, in contrast with other reports [33-35] and our previous pilot study [36], GWG remained unaffected by the behavioural intervention. It is possible that GWG is an imprecise marker, because the identical weight gain could be related with a diverse body composition, in terms of fat and fat free mass that cannot be evaluated with BMI assessment [19].

The limit of this study could be the lack of additional information about the incidence of macrosomia or LGA babies. Our study suggests that a customized/multidisciplinary...
counseling, held together by a gynecologist and a dietitian, based on a healthier eating habits and a constant moderate PA improves maternal as well as neonatal outcomes in pregnancies complicated by obesity/overweight. A structured, multidisciplinary approach could solve these issues and increase the compliance with the healthier lifestyle recommendations in such at risk population.
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