A New Approach to an Old Problem: Controversial Issues in Seasonal Rhinoconjunctivitis
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Introduction

Pollen allergy is common in many parts of the world and the prevalence of seasonal allergic rhinitis (SR) is reported to be up to 40% [1]. Allergic rhinitis (AR) causes significant morbidity and is an important cause of presentation to otorhinolaryngology, pulmonology, internal medicine, pediatrics, and primary care clinics. It is one of the three most common consultation reason in pediatric and adult allergy clinics [2]. The diagnosis and treatment of AR is based on ARIA guidelines, and national and/or occupational organizations’ consensus reports [3]. Recently, the Turkish National Society of Allergy and Clinical Immunology published a consensus report on the diagnosis and treatment of AR [4].

The treatment of AR consists of regular use of anti-inflammatory medications, avoidance of triggers, and symptomatic treatment, as needed. When indicated, specific immunotherapy can also be considered. SR is a sub-group of AR. SR can manifest in isolation, or numerous allergic and/or non-allergic comorbidities can accompany it, which sometimes determine the choice of treatment and indicate the prognosis. We think that the recently used terms persistent and intermittent can not fully replace the term seasonal. SR can both be persistent and intermittent; the terms persistent and intermittent may be directive for physicians other than allergists.

Generally, the term persistent is not prognostic, whereas SR is usually considered to be a pure IgE-mediated, benign, and self-limited disease [5]. It was reported that SR is a disease of young generation, and that the incidence of spontaneous remission or a decrease in SR symptoms increases with age [5]. SR disease activity is closely related to air pollen levels, which are affected by mean annual rainfall. That fluctuations in pollen level cause clinical differences in disease activity between years, even in some years SR may be considered as in remission. Travelling during pollen season can affect the severity of symptoms. Genetic and environmental factors, and smoking can lead to persistent symptoms.

Special Considerations in the Diagnosis and Treatment of SR, and Risk Analysis of Comorbidities as Asthma

The diagnosis of SR is based on clinical history. Skin prick testing should be performed when specific immunotherapy is considered, for academic purposes, to determine the risk of comorbidity, and to increase treatment compliance. Several studies have shown that a considerable number of patients with negative skin prick test responses, negative intradermal skin test results, and lack of serum specific IgE that would otherwise have been diagnosed as non-allergic rhinitis have nasal symptoms following nasal allergen provocation testing performed with common aeroallergens, including house dust mites, and grass and olive pollen, and that such patients are diagnosed as local allergic rhinitis [6]. It is possible that 47%–62.5% of patients with perennial and seasonal allergy symptoms have local allergic rhinitis [6].

Anamnesis should include detailed information about the duration of symptoms, duration of daily outdoor activities, occupational environment, and place of residence (urban/rural). Rare pollens may cause symptoms [7,8]. Symptoms are generally less severe in coastal regions, change in place of residence in the same country or immigration should be asked. The sensitizing allergens in atopic immigrants may in time resemble those in native inhabitants of a particular region [9-11]. Change in place of residence in the same country also may cause a change in sensitized allergen spectrum.

History of cigarette smoking should be noted when evaluating the severity of rhinitis and the presence of the symptoms of asthma. The presence of risk factors for the development of comorbidities should be evaluated. Herein a classification system that can be used to evaluate comorbidities in patients with pollen-allergic SR is proposed (Figure 1). Asthma, eczema/urticaria, and food hypersensitivity can be comorbid with SR or can occur in the absence of the symptoms of SR in pollen allergy.

A standardized questionnaire for evaluating comorbidity in SR patients is lacking. Table 1 is an example of a questionnaire that could be used to determine the risk of comorbidity. We are currently conducting research on this issue. We previously published 4 studies on comorbidities and/or the risk factors for comorbidity in SR patients [11-14].

We reported that among 774 anamnesis-compatible SR patients, 14%, 13.4%, and 9.6% had food hypersensitivity, bronchial asthma, and drug allergy and/or intolerance, respectively. The use of specific immunotherapy and short-acting antihistamines decreased over time, whereas the use of nasal steroids, and long-acting antihistamines increased. Familial atopy, the presence of respiratory and/or ocular symptoms, and metal allergy were associated with asthma [11].

In addition, 922 anamnesis-compatible SR patients were grouped as non-sensitized to any allergen, sensitized to only 1 pollen allergen, sensitized to >1 pollen allergen, and sensitized to mites, with or without allergen sensitization. The group with no sensitization and mite sensitization had the highest prevalence of asthma, whereas the mono-pollen-sensitized group was unlikely to have an accompanying allergic disease [12].
In another study the severity of asthma in patients with analgesic intolerance, SR, and chronic urticaria was analyzed. Asthmatic patients with SR had significantly milder and earlier onset asthma, better pulmonary function test results, and were significantly more atopic, whereas asthma in the analgesic-intolerant patients was more severe, and asthma in the chronic urticaria patients did not exhibit a specific phenotypic characteristic [13].

Nasal hairs are often unwanted due to cosmetic concerns and, as such many people are in the habit of cutting them. Removal or absence of nasal hair could be a risk for the development of asthma. Our fourth study reported that asthma is more common in patients with few nasal hairs, whereas the presence of many nasal hairs protected against the risk of developing asthma in SR patients [14].

**Risk Analysis for Food Allergy**

More than 70% of birch-pollen-allergic patients suffer from an oral allergy syndrome (OAS) to fruits and/or nuts, that is based on a molecular similarity of their major allergens leading to clinical cross-reactivity [15].

The presence of dermatological symptoms, duration of rhinitis >5 years and duration of symptoms >3 months/year, sensitization to *Artemisia vulgaris* and/or tree pollen (*Corylus avellena, Betula verrucosa*) allergens, and the presence of bee allergy are risk factors for the development of food hypersensitivity in patients with SR [16].

Consuming honey and honey products (propolis, royal jelly) can lead to allergic reactions, as well as anaphylaxis, diarrhea, and abdominal pain [17,18]. It is reported that patients complain of abdominal pain more frequently than allergy symptoms. Patients should be investigated for the presence of OAS and diagnostic evaluation should be performed when appropriate.

### Special Treatment Considerations

SR can be treated at primary care centers; however, an allergy consultation is needed to evaluate the presence of and risk factors for comorbidity, failure of standard treatment when specific immunotherapy is considered. Clinicians must be aware that the number and type of sensitized allergens can change with time [19].

Allergen avoidance is difficult for patients with pollen allergy; patients must make lifestyle changes. Outdoor activities should be limited during pollen season. To reduce the pollen count inside home and work environments, patients must keep windows and other unfiltered openings closed. Wearing sunglasses outdoors can protect against pollen exposure in outdoor environments may be routinely recommended to pollen-allergic patients [20]. We are currently conducting a prospective study on the protective efficiency of a specially designed eyeglass. Patients should frequently wash their eyes and face, and/or shower. It is known that nasal vibrissae density affects the risk of development of asthma in patients with SR. Patients with SR should not cut their nasal hair during pollen season. Beards, moustaches, and long hair probably attract more pollen during daily activities; however, it is not known if they have a protective effect. Clinicians should always remember that preventive care is always less expensive than medical treatment.

Nasal steroids are the first-line treatment option for AR; other treatment options include second-generation antihistamines, topical H1 antihistamines, and leucotrien receptor antagonists. The efficacy of prophylactic treatment with antihistamines before the start of pollen season for prevention of aggravation of symptoms was examined, and it was found that early intervention with levosetirizine soon after onset of symptoms was as effective as prophylactic treatment before pollen season [21]. Regular use of nasal steroids is needed during pollen season, and allergen avoidance strategies can theoretically decrease the need for antihistamine tablets and eye drops. If standard treatment is ineffective
to control symptoms, patients may benefit from short-course oral or systemic corticosteroids [22]. However, treating SR with depo-steroid injections should be abandoned as it is associated with increased risk of diabetes and osteoporosis [23]. Perennial or preseasonal subcutaneous, sublingual, oral or intralymphatic allergen-specific immunotherapy can be another treatment option in carefully selected patients [24-26]. In fact, many physicians recommend and/or patients prefer nose washing with tap water or isotonic saline (due to personal hygiene reasons, obsession, religious beliefs); washing the nose with tap water may be hazardous, whereas use of isotonic or hypertonic saline is reported to be controversial [27]. However, a recent meta-analysis reported that saline nasal irrigation using isotonic solution can be recommended as a complementary therapy in allergic rhinitis as it is well tolerated, inexpensive, easy to use, and there is no evidence showing that regular, daily saline nasal irrigation adversely affects the patient's health and or causes unexpected side effects [28].
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