A Novel Deep Intronic Mutation Introducing a Cryptic Exon Causing Neurofibromatosis Type 1 in a Family with Highly Variable Phenotypes: A Case Study
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Abstract

Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) is a common dominant inherited disorder with highly variable expressivity. Genetic testing for this condition has been more available the last decade. Here we present a case report of a NF1 family including seven affected family members, some of them with a very severe phenotype. When searching for a causative mutation in the NF1 gene, no mutation was found at DNA level. However, a misspliced transcript including a subsequence of intron 3 appeared when screening RNA. The underlying cause at DNA level was determined to be a deep intronic variant (c.288+1137C>T). This intronic point mutation creates a new splice site causing the insertion of a cryptic exon (r:288_289ins288+1018_1135), leading to reading frameshift at the protein level. Deep intronic mutations introducing a cryptic exon are known to be a cause of NF1, and we reviewed the literature to evaluate how common this mutation is in NF1 syndrome. We found 20 different deep intronic NF1 splice mutations, including the one found in the present study. In conclusion, this case illustrates the value of RNA analysis to detect the cause of genetic diseases, and we decided to use RNA based mutation screening as standard procedure for NF1 genetic testing in our laboratory.
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Introduction

Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), also called von Recklinghausen disease (OMIM # 162200), is one of the most common dominant inherited disorders; the worldwide incidence is 1/3500 [1-3]. The signature of NF1 is the development of benign neurofibromas, i.e. benign peripheral nerve sheath tumours, in addition to multiple café-au-lait spots and Lisch nodules in the eye [3]. Individuals with NF1 also have increased risk to develop malignant tumours, among which malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours (MPNSTs) are the most severe. The penetrance of NF1 is reported to be 100 %. However, the clinical manifestations of NF1 shows highly variable expression, i.e. the severity of disease varies among affected individuals within the same family and from one family to another [2].

Obvious genotype-phenotype correlations are not common in NF1 [1,4,5]. However, two clear clinically important genotype-phenotype correlations are revealed so far; one concerns patients with particularly severe forms of NF1 that carry large deletions encompassing the entire NF1 gene [3,6,7] and the other concerns patients carrying small mutations such as a 3-bp intrame deletion of the NF1 gene [8]. Genetic modifiers that lie outside the NF1 gene appear to account for a large fraction of the symptomatic variability seen in NF1 [3,8].

In up to 95% of cases, NF1 clinical diagnosis can be made through straightforward clinical evaluation fulfilling a set of clinical criteria [9,10]. The diagnostic criteria are met in a patient who has two or more of the following main characteristics: six or more café-au-lait spots, neurofibromas, skinfold freckling, optic glioma, iris Lisch nodules, distinctive bone lesions and first degree relatives with NF1 [11].

The NF1 gene is a tumour suppressor gene whose protein neurofibromin down regulates Ras-GTP levels in Ras/MAPK/AP-1 pathway [12]. Genetic testing can confirm the diagnosis in questionable cases, and is required for prenatal or preimplantation diagnosis [13]. Further, it is important to determine the genetic cause of NF1 in families, in order to do predictive testing and to give family members healthcare follow-ups. The NF1 gene has a high mutation rate, and about half of the NF1 cases are sporadic (de novo NF1 mutations) [13,14].

Mutation detection in the NF1 gene is complex due to its large size (60 exons, whereas three of them are alternatively spliced), the existence of several pseudogenes and the lack of clustering of the mutations [15]. There are no clear mutation hotspots and the spectrum of mutations is very diverse, ranging from microdeletions affecting the entire NF1 gene to minor lesions that include a high proportion of splicing mutations [16].

In this study we report on a family with several affected individuals with strongly variable phenotypes. They were referred to the outpatient clinic several years before we succeed to find the genetic cause of their disease. It was first after we introduced RNA based analysis that their mutation in the NF1 gene was found.

Case presentation

The family includes seven affected members over three generations (I- III) as shown in Figure 1. Family members were referred to genetic outpatient clinics where they received genetic counselling. They gave written consents for mutation screening and for publication of the results. In generation I, the father had clinical von Recklinghausen diagnosis,
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Methods

DNA analysis

The entire NF1 gene (60 coding exons) including two exons with tissue specific expression was screened by High Resolution Melt
mutation detection analysis (Corbett Rotor Gene 6000, Qiagen) and
Sanger sequencing using Big Dye technology (ABI 3130xl Genetic
anlyser, Applied Biosystems) according to standard protocols and as
reported previously [17]. As part of the screening process, Multiplex
Ligation-dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA: Salsa MLPA kit
P081-B1/P082-B1 NF1, from MRC-Holland) was applied in order to
detect aberrant copy numbers of genomic DNA, such as large gene
duplications or deletions. DNA PCR primers were designed to amplify
the region of intron 3 where a mutation was suspected based on the
sequence of the intron sequence insert.

RNA analysis

The PAXgene™ blood-tube system (PreAnalytiX) was used to
preserve the RNA profile of the blood samples. RNA isolation was
conducted following standard protocols using Paxgene™ Blood RNA kit
(PreAnalytiX). The cDNA was synthesised from the total RNA using
random primers, following the standard protocol using SuperScript III
Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen). In order to cover the entire cDNA,
21 overlapping PCR reactions were sequenced using Taq Advanced
polymerase (5 Prime). Primers were adapted from Thompson et al.
[18] sequencing was performed with Big Dye Terminator Sequencing
Chemistry and ABI3130xl, and the analyse software was SeqScape
(Applied Biosystems). The fragment containing the aberrant transcript,
Fragment 1, was amplified with a forward primer located in the 5’UTR
region and a reverse primer located in exon 7.

Nomenclature and software predictions

The nomenclature for the mutation at the DNA and RNA level and
the predicted protein was determined according to the guidelines of the
Human Genome Variation Society [19]. NCBI exon numbering, and
the NF1 mRNA NCBI Ref Seq, NM_000267.3 were applied. The A of
the transcription start codon was defined as nucleotide number 1.

Splice site prediction by neural network (SSPN) allows searching for
potential splice sites in long sequence stretches (www.fruitfly.org/
seq_tools/splice.html). Alamut (version 2.3, Interactive Biosoftware),
which include several other splice prediction programs, were also
applied.

Literature search

Available literature and databases were searched to see how
common deep intronic splice mutations are in NF1. These variants are
defined as ‘mutations that alter a single nucleotide often within very
large introns, creating de novo 5’ or 3’ intronic splice sites that is used in
conjunction with an already available intronic “partner” cryptic splice
site leading to inclusion of a cryptic exon” [20]. Search words were NF1,
deep intron/intronic variants/mutations, new splice sites and cryptic
exons. Four databases were searched ( PubMed, Google Scholar, Leiden
Open Variation Database

(Hereditary Genet
Citation: Svaasand EK, Engebretsen LF, Ludvigsen T, Brechan W, Sjursen W (2015) A Novel Deep Intrinsic Mutation Introducing a Cryptic Exon
Causing Neurofibromatosis Type 1 in a Family with Highly Variable Phenotypes: A Case Study. Hereditary Genet 4: 152. doi:10.4172/2161-
1041.1000152

Figure 1: Pedigree of the affected family.
The solid colour represents affected individuals. In the first generation there is a
decceased father with clinical NF1 diagnosis (I:1) and a healthy mother (I:2). All of the four children in the second generation had NF1: one lightly affected
son (II:1) and one daughter (II:2), and two severely affected sons who are both
decceased (II:2 and II:3). The daughter has four children which comprises the
third generation: two of her four sons are affected with NF1 based on clinical
observations (III:1 and III:2).
a NF1 diagnosis. No reports of polymorphisms in the NF1 c.288+1137 position were found, the variant was not reported in the dbSNP or 1000 Genomes databases, and the variant was not detected in 100 alleles in samples from blood donors. In silico splice site prediction showed that the mutated sequence results in a donor site prediction score of 1.00 (max output) when analysed with SSPN, while the same site did not produce any score when the normal sequence was applied. Other splice site prediction tools also predicted a new splice donor site, MaxEntScan 9.8 (span 0-12) and Human Splicing Finder 91.2 (span 0-100). The introduction of the cryptic exon is illustrated in Figure 2E.

The DNA intronic variant c.288+1137C>T was concluded to be the genetic cause of NF1 in this family. This provided a predictive tool for the other family members.

NF1 mutation testing has been performed in our laboratory since 2006, initially based on screening at DNA level (Sanger sequencing and dHPLC). The cDNA analysis based on PAXgene™ system was introduced later. Since 2013 our mutation screening method is based on RNA extracted from cultured lymphocytes treated with the translation inhibitor puromycin to prevent degradation of transcripts with premature stop codon by Nonsense Mediated mRNA Decay [21]. Deep intronic splice mutations are missed when traditional DNA based screening techniques are applied [21]. As the advantages of RNA based screening is becoming apparent and is applied, these mutations are expected to be increasingly reported in the literature. Literature search revealed 19 different deep intronic splice mutations reported so far, totally 20 when including the one found in the present study. The results with references are shown in Table 1 [4,16,20,22-32].

Recently we detected a second deep intronic variant in another family (c.5749+332A>G). This variant has been published by others and is included in Table 1 [16,22,30,33].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>mRNA effect</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>LOVD NF1 ID</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c.60+9032_60+9036del5</td>
<td>r.60_61ins60+8662_60+9030</td>
<td>p.Gln20_Leu21ins23</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.61-7486G&gt;T</td>
<td>r.60-61ins61-7565_61-7492</td>
<td>p.Gln20_Leu21insThr*</td>
<td>_01129</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.288+1137C&gt;T</td>
<td>r.288_289ins288+1018_288+1135</td>
<td>p.Gln97Serfs*49</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.288+651T&gt;A</td>
<td>r.288_289ins888+653_888+784</td>
<td>p.Lys297_Val2818delinsGluslyThrSer*</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.888+789A&gt;G</td>
<td>r.888_889ins888+710_888+784</td>
<td>p.Lys297fs</td>
<td>_01154</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.888+1137C&gt;T</td>
<td>r.288_289ins288+118_288+135</td>
<td>p.Lys297Valfs*11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.288+1137C&gt;T</td>
<td>r.288_289ins288+118_288+135</td>
<td>p.Lys297Valfs*11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.1062+113A&gt;G</td>
<td>r.1062_1063ins13</td>
<td>p.Asn355fs</td>
<td>_01620</td>
<td>Italy: Milano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.1527+115C&gt;T</td>
<td>r.1527_1528ins1527+1157</td>
<td>p.Asn510Aspfs*8</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>[16,23,27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.1642-449A&gt;G</td>
<td>r.1642_1643ins112</td>
<td>p.Asn355fs</td>
<td>_01620</td>
<td>Italy: Milano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.1721+542A&gt;G</td>
<td>r.1721_1722ins1721+362_1721+537</td>
<td>p.Ser754_757insPhePheGluslyThrSer*</td>
<td>_01186</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.3198-314G&gt;A</td>
<td>r.3197-3198ins3198-214_3198-312</td>
<td>p.Asp1067Trpsfx7</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.4110+945A&gt;G</td>
<td>r.4110_4111ins105</td>
<td>p.Gln1370_Val1371ins35*27</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.5749+332A&gt;G</td>
<td>r.5749_5750ins5749+155_5749+331</td>
<td>p.Ser1917Argfs*12</td>
<td>_01315</td>
<td>[16,22,30,33,55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.5750-279A&gt;G</td>
<td>r.5749_5750ins5750_278_5750-108</td>
<td>p.Ser1917delins58</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>[31,32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.7908-321C&gt;G</td>
<td>r.7907_7908ins7908-322_7908-391</td>
<td>p.His2637SerfsX2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>[4,23]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: NF1 Deep intron variants found in the literature.
Discussion

This case study illustrates the extensive effects a deep intronic NF1 mutation can have on the individual members in a family. Further, it emphasizes the importance of applying RNA analysis in the search of a molecular explanation for clinical NF1 phenotypes.

The NF1 mutation found in the family was a deep intronic variant in a position that has not been described previously. By performing extensive literature search our aim was to focus on this group of mutations in the NF1 gene. The search shows that deep intronic mutations are not extremely frequent. Nevertheless, they are just as important to reveal as exonic mutations and well known splice site flanking mutations, since their effects are equally significant in causing serious disease. One must also keep in mind that this type of mutation most likely is underreported in the literature, since RNA analysis is relatively recently applied in routine diagnostics.

It is estimated that splice mutations account for a substantial part of NF1 mutations. In a cohort of 97 Austrian NF1 patients it was found that splicing mutations represent the largest group of NF1 gene alterations (38%). [16] Another study based on 2900 unrelated patients found 29% splicing mutations in NF1. Fifty percent of these reside outside the conserved splice donor and acceptor, and 10% of them belong to deep intronic splice mutations, i.e.2-3% of all NF1 mutations [21]. Similar frequencies were found in a French cohort [22]. They detected 114 intronic splice mutations out of 546 mutations (21 %), and among these 13 were deep intronic mutations (2.4 %). At our laboratory, we have detected disease causing NF1 mutations in 246 index patient samples analysed in the period 2006-2014. Thus, the number of deep intronic mutations found in our laboratory (about 1 %) correlates with the number reported in the literature. As reported by others [11], our mutation detection rate in NF1 has increased by changing the screening method from DNA-based to RNA-based screening. Besides increasing the detection rate, the cDNA based method is faster and more labour- and cost-effective as compared to a DNA-based method.

The median life expectancy of individuals with NF1 is approximately eight years shorter than in the general population [34,35]. In the present family two members were severely affected and died at age 39 and 46 years, respectively, far below normal life expectancy. Malignancy and vasculopathy are reported to be the most important causes of early death in individuals with NF1 [36-38]. The two severely affected members in the present family had intraspinal neurofibromas, which caused reduced muscle strength, wheelchair dependence, weakened skeletons, orthopedic problems and fractures. They died of complications after orthopedic surgery. The two other siblings in generation II are managing quite well. They are both in their forties and are much less affected. This illustrates the wide variety in expression which is reported for NF1 [2]. The reason for the extreme clinical variability of NF1 is unclear although the timing and frequency of second hit events in specific cell types likely will contribute significantly. Statistical analysis of the NF1 phenotype within and between families shows that the NF1 mutant allele itself accounts for only a small fraction of phenotypic variation [39]. Further, it is suggested that genetic modifiers not linked to the NF1 locus and differences in expression of the normal NF1 allele contribute to the variable expressivity of the disease [39-42]. A role for microRNAs in the development of MPNST has been suggested [43]. Thus differences in microRNA expression may partly be one of the contributions to why some NF1 patients get malignant tumours while other only get benign tumours.

Conclusions

In this case study we have described a NF1 family with great variability in phenotype of affected members, ranging from early death to normal functionality. The underlying genetic cause was found to be a new single base substitution deep in intron, causing altered splicing. Thus, we can offer predictive testing for family members. The case report also emphasizes the importance of using the right methods to find the causative NF1 alteration in patients clinically fulfilling NF1 criteria.
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