A Real-World Feasibility Study of CareHPV assay, VIA/VILI and Pap Smear as Primary Screening in Rural China
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Abstract

**Background:** To compare the real-world performance of visual inspection with acetic acid and with Lugol’s iodine (VIA/VILI), cytology and careHPV DNA assay in rural China performed by local health providers.

**Methods:** Eligible women living in rural areas in Xinmi County, Henan Province were invited to participate in cervical cancer screening program. Enrolled women were randomized into 3 intervention arms, screened by VIA/VILI, Pap smears, and careHPV assay respectively. Women had positive primary screening results were referred to colposcopy and/or biopsy. All the clinical and lab work was performed by local health providers. The final diagnoses of histopathology were based on the diagnosing of a senior histopathology expert from Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. Questionnaires about the knowledge and attitudes towards the HPV and the screening program of the health providers at village clinics were collected.

**Results:** 894 women had careHPV DNA test, 552 underwent VIA/VILI and 547 had Pap smears. The positive rates for careHPV assay, VIA/VILI and Pap smears were 10.6%, 18.1%, and 4.9% respectively ($\chi^2=48.647$, $P<0.001$). The overall CIN2+ detection rate was 0.5%, the CIN2+ detection rate for the three arms were not significantly different (0.7% for careHPV assay, 0.5% for VIA/VILI, 0.2% for pap smear, $\chi^2=1.648$, $P=0.439$). The knowledge of the health providers about HPV, HPV assay in screening was unsatisfactory. However, their attitudes about implementing HPV assay into the national program were positive.

**Conclusion:** Implementing careHPV assay in low resource settings and performed by simply trained lab personnel is feasible. For large population screening program, extensive training and good quality control are needed to improve the quality. Education for implementing HPV assay in local health providers are needed.
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Introduction

Invasive cervical cancer ranks the fourth most common malignant tumor among women around the world [1], with 85% cervical cancer occurrence and 87% death in less-developed countries and regions, including China. It has been proven that HPV vaccine and organized screening could prevent cervical invasive cancer effectively [2,3]. Establishing a comprehensive primary screening program with cytology in low-resource settings is difficult due to the lack of qualified cytologists. Visual inspection with acetic acid or Lugol’s iodine (VIA/VILI) is recommended for primary screening in low-resource settings by World Health Organization (WHO) since they are simple and low-cost [4]. However, the sensitivity of VIA/VILI to detect cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 2 or worse lesion (CIN2+) in different studies varies greatly due to its subjectivity [5-7]. Currently, HPV assay is recommended as an option for cervical cancer screening. However, most available HPV tests are expensive, require experienced laboratory personnel and high-quality lab conditions, which is difficult to be implemented in many low-resource settings. In recent year, careHPV DNA testing was successfully developed. The accuracy and performance of careHPV DNA testing to detect CIN2+ cervical cancer are better than cytology and much higher than VIA/VILI [8]. In addition, the careHPV test is low-cost and fast.

In the year 2009, the central government launched a Free Cervical Cancer Screening Program for 10 million rural women. Pap smears and VIA/VILI are recommended for primary screening. This national screening program has expanded to cover 10 million rural women annually [9]. Our study aimed to evaluate the real-world feasibility of careHPV DNA assay in low-resource settings, and compare with VIA/VILI and Pap smears performed by rural local health providers. Besides, a field-based survey assessing the knowledge and attitudes of the local health providers toward the HPV and integrating HPV assay approach into current cervical cancer prevention programs in low resource settings was conducted.

Materials and Methods

Population

Women living in rural areas of Xinmin County were invited to participate in the cervical cancer screening program during November 2013 and January 2014. Non-pregnant women with no history of CIN, pelvic radiation, or hysterectomy, and could voluntarily provide informed consent were eligible for enrollment. A local health worker

*Corresponding author: You-Lin Qiao, National Cancer Center, Cancer Hospital of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100021, China, Tel: +86-10-8778-8489; E-mail: qiaoy@cicams.ac.cn

Received February 11, 2017; Accepted April 24, 2017; Published April 26, 2017


Copyright: © 2017 Zhao Y, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Screening and data collection

The primary screening was done at the township level hospital. On the day of recruiting, eligible women signed the informed consent were given an identity number and assigned to one of the study arms randomly by a pre-set computer program. The pap smears specimens were graded by a local pathologist according to Bethesda 2001 classification system. Atypical Squamous Cells of Undetermined Significance (ASC-US) or worse lesion were defined as abnormal cytology. In VIA/VILI, 5% acetic acid were applied to the cervix through embedded cotton swab and then observed after 1 min. If no aceto-whitening was found, then the cervix was swabbed with 5% iodine solution. VIA or VILI exam abnormal women were referred to colposcopy. The careHPV specimen was collected with careHPV cervical sampler into Digene collection media (DCM) and sent to the lab in Xinmi Maternal and Children Health Care Hospital. A local lab assistant was trained by a senior technician from CHCAMS for two days, then the assay was run by the local lab assistant alone. In careHPV test results, represented as a ratio of viral load expressed In Relative Light Units (RLU), compared with the mean RLU from a positive control set at 1 pg/mL. Questionnaires of knowledge and attitudes about the cervical cancer screening program were collected from the health providers at the village level.

Results

A total of 1,993 women younger than 64 years old participated in the screening studies. Among them, 894 women were randomized into careHPV DNA screening, 552 women were screened by VIA/VILI, and 547 women were screened by pap smears. The average age with SD was 44.1 ± 7.84 years for all women, and the age range was 21 to 64. The average age with SD for women screened by careHPV assay, VIA/VILI, pap smears were 44.5 ± 8.01, 43.6 ± 8.72, and 44.0 ± 6.51, respectively. No statistically significant difference was found between the age of the three arms. Bonferroni test was used to compare the difference between every two groups. A proportion was calculated for a categorical variable. Women were stratified by age (<34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64). The Cochran-Armitage trend test was used to detect the trend of the positive rate of primary screening results along with the increasing of age group. \( P<0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Screening

Colposcopy was performed by local doctors. Endocervical curettage (ECC) was performed in a case of the invisible transformation zone. Colposcopy-guided directed biopsies were performed if an abnormal epithelial area on the cervix was observed. Pathological slides were prepared by local doctors. To ensure the accuracy of clinical diagnosis, pathological results were reviewed by a senior pathologist from CHCAMS as the final diagnosis.

Statistical analysis

Microsoft Access 2010 software was used for data input and management. Double entry validation and logical consistency check were performed. SPSS 23.0 were used for data analysis. Mean and the standard deviation (SD) was calculated for age at screening. Normality test and homogeneity of variance test were performed to detection the characteristics of age distribution. Kruskal-Wallis test was performed to compare the age of the three arms. Bonferroni test was used to compare the difference between every two groups. A proportion was calculated for a categorical variable. Women were stratified by age (<34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64). The Cochran-Armitage trend test was used to detect the trend of the positive rate of primary screening results along with the increasing of age group. \( P<0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.
smears. The CIN2+ detection rates were not significantly different ($\chi^2=1.648$, $P=0.439$).

**Questionnaire survey**

In total, 24 health providers from the villages clinics who were responsible for organizing eligible women finished the questionnaires. 45.8% (11/24) of them finished high school and the other 54.2% (13/24) finished the college education. The average years of being working in the village clinics were 15.8 years. As shown in Table 2, all the health providers have heard of HPV, but the knowledge about HPV and cervical cancer were unsatisfactory since more than half (58.3%) of them thought that all HPV genotypes could cause cervical cancer and 75.0% thought genital bacterial infections could cause cervical cancer. For the knowledge of cervical cancer screening, VIA/VILI and Pap smears were better known as the primary screening tests due to the higher than the conventional pap smear and VIA/VILI, though the difference was not statistically significant. If all women with positive

**Discussion**

In the presented study, we found that in a real-world screening program in rural China, the CIN2+ detection rate of careHPV was higher than the conventional pap smear and VIA/VILI, though the difference was not statistically significant. If all women with positive

**Table 2**: careHPV DNA assay, VIA/VILI, and Pap Smears results at primary screening, stratified by age groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>HPV Negative (%)</th>
<th>HPV Positive (%)</th>
<th>VIA/VILI Normal (%)</th>
<th>VIA/VILI Abnormal (%)</th>
<th>Pap smear NILM (%)</th>
<th>ASC-US (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;34</td>
<td>102 (95.3%)</td>
<td>5 (4.7%)</td>
<td>66 (72.5%)</td>
<td>25 (27.5%)</td>
<td>28 (34.4%)</td>
<td>2 (5.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>105 (93.8%)</td>
<td>7 (6.2%)</td>
<td>65 (83.3%)</td>
<td>13 (16.7%)</td>
<td>39 (95.3%)</td>
<td>5 (4.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>166 (90.2%)</td>
<td>18 (9.8%)</td>
<td>83 (78.3%)</td>
<td>23 (21.7%)</td>
<td>157 (97.5%)</td>
<td>4 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>222 (89.9%)</td>
<td>25 (10.1%)</td>
<td>98 (81.7%)</td>
<td>22 (18.3%)</td>
<td>100 (95.2%)</td>
<td>5 (4.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>137 (84.6%)</td>
<td>25 (15.4%)</td>
<td>83 (78.3%)</td>
<td>15 (14.0%)</td>
<td>107 (92.2%)</td>
<td>9 (7.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>50 (79.4%)</td>
<td>13 (20.6%)</td>
<td>35 (94.6%)</td>
<td>2 (5.4%)</td>
<td>20 (90.9%)</td>
<td>5 (9.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>17 (89.5%)</td>
<td>2 (10.5%)</td>
<td>13 (100.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>799 (89.4%)</td>
<td>95 (10.6%)</td>
<td>452 (81.9%)</td>
<td>100 (18.1%)</td>
<td>520 (95.1%)</td>
<td>27 (4.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95% CI: 95% Confidence intervals.

**Figure 2**: Age group-specific positive rate of careHPV DNA assay, VIA/VILI and Pap smears.
primary screening result should be referred to colposcopy, the referral rate of HPV DNA testing in rural Xinmi would be at a medium level compared with VIA/VILI and pap smears. Besides, although the local health providers from village clinics were positive about HPV testing for cervical cancer screening, it is urgent to educate them with adequate knowledge about HPV DNA testing and the screening strategy.

Although liquid-based cytology has been proven to be more accurate than conventional pap smears [10], due to the limited resources in rural China, liquid-based cytology is currently not an option for the national large population cervical cancer screening program. It has been reported that the prevalence of abnormal cytology is 17.4% for women living in rural China [11], and ranges between 8.9% to 25.7%. In previous studies, the sensitivity could be as excellent as 81.0% to 94.2%, and the yield of CIN2+ by cytology could be 3.4% in the laboratory with strict quality control and experienced cytopathologists [6,11,12]. The cytology abnormality rate in our study performed by county level doctors is 4.9% and the CIN2+ detection rate is only 0.2%, which is much lower than the previous studies in a higher level laboratory. The pooled data of the nationwide cervical cancer screening program was reported by Song et al. that the positive rate for pap smears is 3.9% [13], which is similar to our study. It implied that although by good quality control and extensive training, cytology could be an effective way for cervical cancer screening, but currently it is not an ideal option for population screening in rural China. VIA/VILI is another option for the national cervical cancer screening program in rural China. In the national reports of the first round of cervical cancer screening [13], the overall abnormal rate for primary VIA/VILI is 11.1%, which is much lower than our data. In our study, the positive rate of VIA/VILI is nearly twice as that of HPV assay and three times of pap smears, but the detection rates of CIN2+ was lower than HPV assay. The positive predict value of VIA/VILI is far from satisfactory.

The accuracy of HPV assay as primary screening have been proved [14-16] and introduced in guidelines worldwide [17-19]. However, the high cost, high requirement of lab personnel and facilities makes it impossible to be implemented in large population screening programs in resource-limited countries and regions. Previous studies reported excellent performance of careHPV in clinical trials [8,20] performed by well-trained lab personnel in organized screening programs, and studies have been conducted to implement careHPV assay in developing countries [21-24]. A cluster randomized controlled trial in India reported similar results to our study, that the highest positive rate was found in VIA arm, the lowest was for cytology [24]. No significant differences were found for high-grade lesions detection rates. Both of our real-world studies at a lower level of health resource suggested that for large population screening program, extensive training and good quality control are needed to improve the quality, and careHPV DNA assay is feasible at low-resource settings performed by the local health provider. An age trend of abnormal VIA/VILI and HPV-positive were observed. Similarly, Kang et al. and Zhao et al. reported an increasing HPV prevalence with age [25,26]. The birth cohort effect and lower clearance rate for older women may partly explain, but further follow-up studies are needed. It may suggest that an age-specific screening strategy might be reasonable to integrate HPV assay into the national screening program, especially for areas that could not provide HPV assay for all women. Further studies comparing the CIN2+ detection rate between different screening strategies in real-world are needed.

A successful screening program does not only depend on the screening approach, but also the coverage of the target population. A health provider has a strong influence on women's decisions to uptake screen for cervical cancer [27,28]. It has been reported that knowledge of cervical cancer and its prevention are significantly associated with screening uptake [29]. So that information about cervical cancer and public education of how to prevent it as well as screening services available should be provided to women correctly. The local health providers investigated in our study are directly responsible for recruiting eligible women and are the propagandist of the national screening program. By the questionnaire survey, we found that the local health providers have heard of the HPV and HPV assay, but are not acquainted with it. Although most of them are aware of the primary screening approaches, their knowledge of HPV assay for cervical cancer screening program is not satisfactory. Fortunately, their attitudes about integrating HPV assay into the national program are positive. Our data suggested that in the future national screening program, more attention should be paid to educate the local health providers about HPV assay.

The present real-world feasibility study is a pilot study for implementing HPV assay into the national screening program. The clinical examinations are all performed by the local doctors, except for the histology diagnosis, which best present the true performed of careHPV in rural areas. It is the first real-world evaluation and comparison of the careHPV assay with currently recommended pap smear and VIA/VILI in the national screening program. Limitations of this study are lack of the knowledge and attitude survey for the screened women, that the acceptance and preference of HPV assay are not evaluated. Besides, a straightforward screening algorithm that all women with positive primary screening referred to colposcopy limited us to evaluate possible triage approaches for HPV-positive women. Lower cost strategies for triage of HPV DNA-positive women are reported [30], including high-risk HPV genotyping, E6 protein, etc. A demonstration study of HPV assay as primary screening provided by county-level hospitals in 21 study sites across the country is ongoing. The HPV-positive women are randomly referred to reflex cytology, VIA/VILI or directly colposcopy examination, for the purpose of evaluating practice primary and triaging approaches to reduce colposcopy examination for large population screening.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, our real-world feasibility study proved it is feasible to apply careHPV assay performed by simply trained lab personnel in low-resource settings. For large population screening program, extensive training and good quality control are needed to improve the quality of pap smear and VIA/VILI. Education for implementing HPV assay in local health providers are needed.
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**Table 2:** Knowledge and attitudes about HPV and HPV screening for cervical cancer of local health providers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What advantages do you think of HPV DNA testing?</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Easy to collect samples</td>
<td>16 (66.7)</td>
<td>8 (33.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>18 (75.0)</td>
<td>6 (25.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to do in lab</td>
<td>13 (54.2)</td>
<td>11 (45.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What disadvantages do you think of HPV DNA testing?</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expensive</td>
<td>20 (83.3)</td>
<td>4 (16.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women may refuse</td>
<td>3 (12.5)</td>
<td>21 (87.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing colposcopy examination</td>
<td>4 (16.7)</td>
<td>20 (83.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too complicated to do the test in lab</td>
<td>3 (12.5)</td>
<td>21 (87.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>