Acetone Fraction of *Annona squamosa* Seed Extract Inhibits Mitochondrial Complex II of *Musca domestica*

Vivek Kempraj*1,2* and Sumangala K Bhat1

1Biowave Resources, 519, 33rd Cross, 9th Main, IV Block, Bangalore-560011, India
2Division of Entomology and Nematology, Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Hessarghatta Lake Post, Bangalore -560011, India

Keywords: Housefly; Complex II; Insecticides; Annona; ATP; Mitochondrial

Introduction

Synthetic insecticides (SI) are widely used for the control of *M. domestica*. Prolonged and continuous use of SI causes occupational hazard, damage to environment and development of resistance in insects. Therefore, there is a need for an alternative and effective insecticide. Several phytochemicals including acetylgenines have been proved effective against houseflies [1-4]. Several compounds and extracts derived from plants of the family Annonaceae are known to exhibit toxic effect on a plethora of organisms [1,5-12] however, studies regarding ASF of seeds of *A. squamosa* seem meagre [13]. *M. domestica* is an insect closely associated with the lifestyle of human beings [14]. They are prominent vectors of many diseases [15,16] and affect performance of industries leading to heavy financial loss [17,18]. Hence, maintaining the premises free from houseflies is critically important. This study has evaluated the chemical composition and toxic effects of the ASF of *A. squamosa* seeds on *M. domestica* and the mode of action of the fraction.

Material and Method

Plant material and extraction

Seeds of *A. squamosa* (234.8 g) were collected from ripe fruits between September to January during 2008-09, washed under tap water to remove the pulp and were shade dried at 27 ± 1°C for 15 days. The seeds were then pulsed in an electric blinder (powdered seed size range from 0.1-2 mm). The dried powder was defatted by extracting in petroleum ether for 48 h. The defatted dried seed powder was then extracted with ethanol (1:2.5 w/v) at 27 ± 1°C for 48 h. The crude extract was filtered and concentrated on a water bath at 40°C to obtain a brown thick paste (~5 g). The concentrated crude extract was dissolved in acetone to yield a soluble and an insoluble fraction. Acetone soluble fraction (hereafter referred as ASF), measuring 150 ml was passed through a membrane filter (Millipore, 0.45 µm) and concentrated to yield a light brown waxy paste (~2 g) and refrigerated until further use.

Chemical analysis and identification of the components of the active fraction

Chemical composition of the active fraction (ASF) was analysed using GC-MS (Perkin Elmer Clarus Gold 500) apparatus equipped with a capillary column (SGE-BPX-5) of 30 m length and 0.25 mm ID and 0.25 mm film thickness. Oven temperature was programmed at 40-270°C. Helium was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. Compounds were identified by GC retention time and mass spectrum using NIST library as reference.

Housefly culture

A wild strain of housefly (*M. domestica*) was used in the assay. Houseflies were collected from poultry near the premises of the research centre. They were transferred to caging cages of dimension, 30 × 60 × 30 cm3 and allowed to acclimatize to laboratory conditions (27 ± 1°C, 75 ± 2% RH and 15 h light and 9 h dark photoperiod). Autoclaved concoction of wheat flour, yeast pellets and meat (2:1:2 ratio) served as oviposition medium and as food source for larval stages. Adults were fed on sterile sucrose solution (10% w/v) and peptone solution (10% w/v). The pupae formed were collected from caging cages and transferred to new cages for emergence of adults. The newly emerged (2-day-old) adults were used throughout the study.

Bioassay

**Oral toxicity:** Test concentrations ranging from 0.1-5 mg ml⁻¹ were prepared by incorporating appropriate amount of ASF in sucrose solution (10% w/v) with the help of an emulsifier (Triton X-100; 0.01% v/v). Test emulsions (5 ml) were soaked on sterile filter paper discs (diameter 10 cm; Whatman) using a micropipette and dried at 27 ± 1°C. This was repeated several times until 5 ml of the emulsion was completely absorbed by the filter paper. The emulsion impregnated filter paper was cut into square pieces (1 × 1 cm dimension (hereafter referred to as “Bait”). Ten baits were distributed throughout the rearing cages containing 30 numbers of 2-day-old houseflies. This was done to negate the possibility of contact toxicity. Cotton pad soaked in
water was provided to the houseflies. Bait containing all ingredients excluding ASF served as control. The mortality data was collected after 24 h exposure period. The tests were conducted in triplicates and the results analysed statistically. The relation between concentration and mortality was analysed using log-concentration probit analysis (LC50) software SYSTAT. If mortality exceeds 10% in the control batch, the whole bioassay was discarded or if below 10% the results of the treated sample were corrected using Abbott's formula [19,20].

**Contact toxicity:** Contact toxicity of ASF to 2-day-old adult houseflies was tested with concentration ranging from 0.1–5 mg ml⁻¹. Houseflies were anaesthetised with 1 ml of diethyl ether on cotton pads for 20s and placed on plates cooled to 4°C to maintain anaesthesia during the application. Thirty adults were used for each concentration tested. Test concentrations of ASF were prepared by adding appropriate amounts into acetone. A micro-syringe was used to apply 0.1 µl of the test concentrations onto the thorax of anesthetized houseflies with the help of stereomicroscope (20x). A separate batch (n=30) treated with 0.1 µl of acetone served as control. The control and treated flies were transferred into separate rearing cages for acclimatization. The bioassay was conducted in triplicates. The mortality data collected after 24 h exposure period was subjected to log-concentration probit analysis software SYSTAT.

**Insect mitochondrial preparation**

Four hundred 2-day-old houseflies were refrigerated for 15 min to stop their activity. The thoraxes were separated from the head and abdomen using a scalpel with the help of a stereomicroscope (20x) under cold conditions. The mitochondrial preparation method by Knecht et al. [21] was followed with slight modifications. One gram of thorax was homogenized with 10 ml of mitochondrial inhibition buffer (MIB) in a pre-cooled homogenizer. The homogenate was centrifuged at 500 g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was taken in sterile tubes and centrifuged at 30,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The pellets formed were washed thrice, re-centrifuged, weighed and used immediately.

**Determination of protein content**

Protein concentration of the mitochondrial suspensions was determined by the method of Lowry, BSA was used as standard [22].

**Mitochondrial enzyme inhibition studies**

Succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) (EC number: 1.3.5.1) activity was measured according to Singer [23]. Mitochondria isolated from thoraxes (0.26 mg) were added to a reaction medium containing 20 mM Sodium succinate, 40 mM HEPES (pH 7.5) and 1% (v/v) Triton X-100. After 1 min, the reaction was initiated by the addition of 10 µl of 0.5% (w/v) DCIP and ASF at varying concentration of 0.0–1.0 µg ml⁻¹. The reaction was followed at 25°C in a spectrophotometer at 600 nm. Activity was calculated from the absorbance decrease, using an extinction coefficient for DCIP of 19.1 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹. Similarly, toxicity of ASF to mitochondrial malate dehydrogenase (MDH) (EC number: 1.1.1.37) was studied. The reaction medium contained 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8), 20 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM NADH and 0.5 mM oxaloacetate. The reaction was initiated by the addition of the enzyme source and ASF at varying concentration of 0.0–1.0 µg ml⁻¹. The oxidation of NADH was monitored spectrophotometrically at 340 nm. Activity was calculated from the absorbance decrease, using the extinction coefficient of NADH (6.22 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹). The IC₅₀ values for each enzyme were calculated using the data obtained.

**Estimation of total ATP production**

ATP extraction method described by Yang et al. [24] was followed with slight modifications to determine the total ATP production of treated flies. Oral and contact treated houseflies (n=60) were collected at different time intervals (1,6,8,12 and 24 h) and frozen for 20 min. Whole flies were homogenized in distilled water (5 ml) and boiled for 15 min. The boiled sample was centrifuged at 5000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was collected and ATP content determined using the method described by Lamprecht and Trautschold [25]. Flies without any treatment were used as control. The experiments were conducted in triplicates.

**Statistical analysis of data**

Data in the graphs are result obtained with independent mitochondrial preparations and are presented as means ± standard errors (S.E.M). The data were subjected to either Student’s t-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) with significant differences among means being identified by Duncan’s multiple range test. P<0.0001 was adopted as a criterion of significance. The 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the lethal concentration (LC) at 50% and inhibitory concentration (IC) at 50% were analysed.

**Results and Discussion**

Results of the toxicity studies using oral and contact formulations of ASF on adult houseflies are shown in Figure 1 and are clear that the toxicity is dose-dependent. The LC₅₀ for oral and contact formulations of ASF were 2.3 ± 0.8 mgml⁻¹ and 1.9 ± 0.6 mgml⁻¹, respectively. The treated houseflies frequently rubbed their body and became inactive (unable to fly) 1 h after treatment in both mode of application. Following a 2h exposure, the treated flies completely stopped feeding. From the results, it can be perceived that irrespective of the mode of application, ASF influences the physiology of the flies equally. From the behavioural changes observed in treated flies, it was presumed that ASF targets on sites of energy production.

Major mitochondrial enzymes regulating energy production were subjected to inhibition activity assay. A dose-dependent inhibition of SDH and MDH by ASF is shown in Figures 2 and 3 respectively. The inhibitory effect of ASF was found to be less on malate dehydrogenase (MDH) (IC₅₀=0.72 µg ml⁻¹, R²=0.9924; P<0.0001) than on succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) (IC₅₀=0.65 µg ml⁻¹, R²=0.9817; P<0.0001).

Figure 4 shows the amount of ATP present in the whole fly following 24 h exposure to ASF by oral and contact applications. Total ATP
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content decreased to 0.075 µmols in flies treated with oral formulation ($R^2=0.6497; P<0.0001$) and 0.020 µmols in flies treated with contact formulation ($R^2=0.7513; P<0.0001$) from the initial concentration of 0.45 µmols for topical treated and 0.47 µmols in the case of bait treated flies, respectively. However, the ATP concentration remained same as initial level (i.e. 0.45-0.50 µmols) in control flies. From the above data it is evident that ASF interrupts ATP production by inhibition of mitochondrial enzymes. The behavioural observation correlated with these findings. GC-MS analysis of ASF (Table 1) revealed two major compounds, ethyl ester of oleic acid (ethyl oleate) and iso-octyl phthalate, but previous studies on A. squamosa seeds have reported acetogenins as the active compound [11,15,26-30]. The current study shows the inhibitory activity of ASF on MDH and SDH (Complex II) in housefly, M. domestica. The GC-MS analysis proved that ASF contained no trace of acetogenins. Studies regarding the activity of the major compounds are planned to be carried out in our laboratory.
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