Achieving Minimal Residual Disease Negative Status in CLL - a Good Point to Start Thinking about Life without Treatment: How to Check MRD Status?
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Abstract

Patients diagnosed with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) do not necessarily have to undergo intensive treatment. The implementation of the therapy depends on patient condition and the form of CLL which is determined using predictive and prognostic factors. Both indolent and aggressive forms of CLL should be monitored. To improve survival and the quality of life it is very important to know how the organism responds to the treatment. For this purpose, the estimation of the minimal residual disease (MRD) - the pool of persistent leukemia cells after or at the time of treatment was introduced. These cells resistant to treatment can lead to recurrence of the disease. There are several methods for MRD assessment, however in every case it is necessary to know the initial status of the tested alterations (chromosome aberrations, surface protein expression, etc.). It allows for assessment whether the treatment eliminated the leukemic cells. The most common method for MRD evaluation in CLL is flow cytometry. Intensive development of molecular biology techniques can contribute to implementation of other extremely sensitive and specific methods. This review presents the most recent state of the art concerning minimal residual disease in CLL, including methods that are used for MRD assessment.
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Introduction

Chronic lymphocytic leukemia is a lymph proliferative disorder with features of cells accumulation. Congeries of small, morphologically mature but nonfunctional B cells are characteristic for this disorder. The accumulation of leukemic lymphocytes in peripheral blood, bone marrow, liver, spleen and lymph nodes is observed. CLL mainly affects people over 60 years of age, however, nowadays increasing prevalence of the disease in younger persons, even before 50 years of age, is observed [1,2]. CLL is a heterogeneous disease; in its course two principal forms are distinguished: indolent and aggressive. The former form is characterized by long survival, low risk of progression and decease. The treatment is frequently not required. In such cases, the strategy "watch and wait" is applied. It means the control of the clinical condition of the patient and taking into consideration the possibility of evolution to aggressive form of CLL [3,4]. Second principal type of CLL is associated with high risk of disease progression and short overall survival. Generally in patients diagnosed with that kind of CLL rapid implementation of therapy is required [3]. In CLL patients a variety of therapeutic strategies can be considered including monotherapy or combination therapy. The therapeutic options include use of purine analogs (fludarabine, pentostatin, cladribine), alkylating agents (chlorambucil, cyclophosphamide, bendamustine), corticosteroids (prednisone, dexamethasone) monoclonal antibodies (alemtuzumab, rituximab, ofatumumab) or blood stem cell transplantation [5-7]. Prior to treatment it should be determined whether the clinical condition of the patient will allow the implementation of a specific therapeutic strategy. Unfortunately, the selection and administration of specific therapy does not guarantee a complete cure. Some patients can achieve a state of complete remission (CR), the others achieve only partial response (PR). In many cases no response to treatment is observed and CLL progresses [8,9]. With the decision of implementation of particular therapy, the prognostic and predictive factors are helpful, such as: Rai disease staging, the expression of kinase ZAP-70 (zeta-chain-associated protein kinase 70), the expression of cell surface molecules CD38, mutational status of immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region (IgVH), chromosomal aberrations, mutations within genes: TP53, NOTCH1, ATM, SF3B1 [10]. In the future also expression profiling of microRNA molecules (intracellular or circulating) may possibly complement the group of prognostic factors [11]. Minimal residual disease (MRD) is defined as a pool of cancer cells that survived the therapy. One of therapeutic strategies in leukemia is bone marrow transplantation. CML was one of the first leukemia’s, which was successfully treated by using allogeneic bone marrow transplantation [12]. Then it turned out that the RT-PCR (reverse transcription polymerase Chain reaction) molecular technique provides an excellent tool for predicting disease relapse after such treatment [13]. CML is characterized by balanced translocation between chromosomes 9 and 22, which results in specific Philadelphia (Ph') chromosome formation. Based on the identification of this chromosome or his molecular equivalent - BCR/ABL1 fusion gene, CML is diagnosed. The number of Ph'(+) cells or the level of BCR/ABL1 transcript (assessed by means of RT-PCR) after treatment stands for minimal residual disease, and its evaluation enables to assess the cytogenetic or molecular response to the therapy [14]. Minimal residual disease (MRD) estimation in the treatment and monitoring of CML is of great importance. This is due to the fact that a small percentage of leukemia cells can remain alive despite intensive treatment, and they may be the cause of CML relapse. Nowadays the main aim of first-line therapy is to achieve a state of complete clinical remission, which is assumed as a state negative for...
minimal residual disease [15]. Early achieving the negative status of MDR is advantageous because of the opportunity to discontinue burdensome and exhaustive treatment.

**Minimal residual disease in CLL**

It is known that the elimination of CLL clinical symptoms, which is result of the therapy application, does not necessarily imply the complete disease eradication. In many cases, a pool of leukemia cells that may contribute to the relapse of the disease could remain in the blood or bone marrow even after treatment. Therefore it is important to determine the presence and the number of persistent leukemia cells in individual patients, treated according to the specific therapeutic regimens, because the evaluation of MRD can change the therapeutic strategy and may improve the clinical response [16].

The purpose of therapy is to achieve complete remission (CR) which means regression of disease symptoms and achieving of blood counts acceptable for a given age range. No infiltration of leukemic cells in the bone marrow and the presence of less than 30% of lymphocytes in bone marrow sample are also important. Patients who achieved CR present longer survival in comparison to patients which are characterized by poor response to treatment [17]. Unfortunately, even in patients with clinical CR, persistent CLL cells may lead to a deterioration of the condition and disease relapse. For this reason, it is important to implement of a therapy, which could eliminate the MRD [17]. Currently, it is assumed that the patient is MDR negative, when less than one leukemic cell per 10 000 white blood cells or less than 1 leukemic cell per 1000 lymphocytes is detected [17,18]. Number of detected cells depends on the method of detection and their sensitivity [17,18]. The observed eradication of leukemia cells using commercially available methods can be crucial for the decision to complete the therapy. It has been proved that the assessment of MRD is helpful in estimating overall survival (OS), treatment-free survival (TFS) and progression-free survival (PFS), in the context of the treatment [5,19].

**Impact of CLL biology and treatment on minimal residual disease**

Current therapeutic approaches take into account cytogenetic and molecular characteristics of CLL patients. These are extremely important in choosing the most appropriate time and type of treatment. Using FISH (fluorescence in situ hybridization) chromosome aberrations such as deletions of 13q14.3, 11q22-23, 17p.13 or trisomies of chromosome 12 in CLL are estimated [20-23]. Among the group of molecular prognostic and predictive factors, the most important are mutations of genes: TP53, ATM, and more recently discovered mutations in NOTCH1 and SF3B1 [24-28]. Both deletion and mutation of TP53 gene (locus 17p13) are of great importance for the decision about implementation of therapy. These lesions are unfavorable prognostic factors, indicating the aggressive course of the disease. TP53 deleted/ mutated CLL cases require rapid treatment implementation [20,24]. Despite such approach, some CLL cases are treatment resistant, which worsens the prognosis. Good example is the common therapeutic strategy FCR (fludarabine-cyclophosphamide-rituximab), which does not seem to be suitable for patients diagnosed with mutations/deletions of TP53. In such patients treated with the FCR regimen the proportion of achieving complete remission is about 5%, as compared with 50% in CLL patients without TP53 lesions [6]. Therefore, molecular and cytogenetic characterization has a great impact on the selection of first line therapy. Previous reports indicated that the negative MDR was associated with a significantly longer duration of progression-free survival and longer overall survival [5,15,19]. In addition, it turned out that MRD status was as important as the well-known prognostic factors such as chromosomal aberrations, expression of ZAP-70, mutations in NOTCH1 and SF3B1 [5]. CLL patients achieving MDR negative status and complete response after one of the first line therapies (chlorambucil, fludarabine-rituximab-cyclophosphamide, fludarabine-cyclophosphamide/fludarabine-cyclophosphamide-mitoxantrone; cladribine/fludarabine, cyclophosphamide- vincristine- Adriamycin-prednisone) are characterized by median treatment-free survival of 76 months [5]. Patients who are minimal residual disease positive (MRD +) with complete response, partial and no response to one of the first line therapies are characterized by median treatment-free survival of 40, 11 and 11 months, respectively [5]. It is known that implementation of the first-line treatment strategy is most favorable for eradication of CLL. Achieving of MDR negative status can be one of the most useful factors, which might indicate a longer survival time. The difference in overall survival is apparent between groups of treated patients depending on MDR status. The OS is significantly prolonged for patients with a complete response to therapy in comparison to patients with partial response to treatment [5]. Achieving the negative MDR status after front-line therapy is also associated with significantly prolonged treatment-free survival irrespective of the type of treatment and other adverse prognostic markers (i.e. aberrations of chromosomes 17 and 11, unmutated IGVH, mutations of TP53, NOTCH1 and SF3B1, CD38 and ZAP70 over-expression) [5]. One of the common therapies in CLL is based on alkylating agents. Such treatment enables to control the course of the disease, however in most cases it does not allow to decrease the count of lymphocytes to the level, which would be sufficient to prolong the survival time. It is important because the higher is the number of persistent leukemia cells after treatment, the higher risk of relapse and reduced survival time is observed. For this reason treatment strategy is aimed at MRD negative status achievement in first-line therapy. This condition in most of cases indicates low risk of the disease relapse and is associated with long-lasting remission [29]. In patients at high risk of disease progression, with an unfavorable prognosis, exhibiting resistance to chemotherapy it is possible to use alemtuzumab [30]. It is a monoclonal antibody specifically binding to the CD52 antigen located on the surface of normal and leukemic B and T lymphocytes. This antibody can be used as a first-line therapy, contributing to the elimination of minimal residual disease [30]. Application of alemtuzumab has the important advantage - it targets the lymphocytes present in blood, bone marrow or spleen, but does not affect hematopoietic stem cells. Thus, it enables eradication of leukemic cells from peripheral blood and bone marrow, and saves bone marrow being able to produce normal lymphocytes from the stem cells.

**Methods for MRD assessment in CLL**

The possibility to determine the number of leukemic cells remaining after treatment is helpful in monitoring the course of the disease or long-term complete remission. There are two principal methods for MDR assessment: flow cytometry (FC) and real-time PCR (qPCR, quantitative PCR). The former technique is based on differences in the expression of particular surface proteins between the leukemic and normal cells. It utilizes fluorescent labeled antibodies that specifically bind to the cell surface antigens. In this technique distinguishing of CLL cells from other blood cells, particularly normal B and T lymphocytes, is essential. Flow cytometry is utilized for immunophenotyping during CLL diagnostics, but it also seems to be effective in MDR assessment [31,32]. Uncomplicated approach for flow cytometry for this purpose may be targeting the surface marker CD19/CD5 and pre-analyzing the clonal co-expression of surface κ/λ light chains. This semi-quantitative method is not perfect because of variable sensitivity and specificity.
However, it might be useful in some cases, when using highly sensitive methods for MRD estimation is not necessary, for example in the cases of expected high counts of persistent leukemia cells [33].

**MRD evaluation using 4-color labeled antibodies for flow cytometry**

Rawstron et al. suggested methodology of 4-color antibodies labeling for flow cytometry (FC) in order to estimate MRD in CLL [34]. This method was validated in the multicenter system with randomized clinical trials. Protocol for the four-marking assumes using the antibodies: anti-CD14/3/45/19, anti-CD20/38/5/19, anti-CD22/81/5/19, anti-CD79b/43/5/19 [34]. Currently, this method is recommended and widely used for the evaluation of minimal residual disease in CLL. It has a number of advantages and disadvantages. Due to the latter, there are attempts to develop and implement more sensitive and specific methods for assessing MRD in CLL for everyday laboratory practice. One of such methods, ASO-IGH RQ-PCR is presented.

**MRD evaluation using 8-color labeling antibodies for flow cytometry**

Stehlíková et al. and Raponi et al. suggested an 8-color labeling antibodies methodology application for the FC in order to enhance detection of MRD as well as to reduce the difficulties associated with the preparation of samples for laboratory analysis [17,35]. Stehlíková has used the regime with two tubes which seems to be easier and less time-consuming then 4-color labeling method utilizing 4 tubes. In 8-color labeling technique the first tube contained the antibodies anti-CD3/5/19/22/43/45/79b/81, and the second contained anti-CD5/14/19/20/38/43/45 /79b [17]. The mixture of labeled antibodies in the first tube was used for a proper assessment of MRD, while the mixture of the second tube constituted a confirming control. It turned out that both 4 and 8-color labeling techniques used in detection of MRD are comparable (100% concordance in detecting MRD positivity) [17]. Stehlíková concluded that two FC techniques are similar; however sample preparing could be easier in 8-color method. On the other side in the latter technique the usage of a special kind of cytometers is necessary, which are not available in every laboratory.

**MRD evaluation using ASO IGH RQ-PCR technique**

The validity of PCR technique in MRD monitoring has already been established for acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), acute and chronic myelogenous leukemia (AML, CML) and Hodgkin’s lymphoma [36]. The use of molecular methods in MRD assessment is, however, still not applied in everyday laboratory practice. Stehlíková et al. have compared flow cytometry and ASO IGH RQ-PCR method (real-time quantitative allele-specific oligonucleotide IGH polymerase chain reaction) for MRD assessment [17]. It has been found that ASO IGH RQ-PCR was more sensitive and enabled detection of 1 CLL cell per 1 000 000 leukocytes [17]. For that method specific primers and molecular TagMan probes have been designed, which contained LNA (locked nucleic acids) bases [37]. The usage of modified bases makes the molecular probes specific for the clones of leukemic cells for individual patient as well as to reduce the difficulties associated with the preparation of samples for laboratory analysis [17,35]. Stehlíková and Raponi et al. suggested methodology of 4-color antibodies labeling for flow cytometry (FC) in order to estimate MRD in CLL [34]. This method was validated in the multicenter system with randomized clinical trials. Protocol for the four-marking assumes using the antibodies: anti-CD14/3/45/19, anti-CD20/38/5/19, anti-CD22/81/5/19, anti-CD79b/43/5/19 [34]. Currently, this method is recommended and widely used for the evaluation of minimal residual disease in CLL. It has a number of advantages and disadvantages. Due to the latter, there are attempts to develop and implement more sensitive and specific methods for assessing MRD in CLL for everyday laboratory practice. One of such methods, ASO-IGH RQ-PCR is presented.

## Conclusion

Recent studies gained enough evidence to include the evaluation of MRD in the clinical guidelines of CLL management. MRD negative status in CLL is considered as good prognostic factor. The intensive development of molecular biology techniques can contribute to the usage of methods such as ASO IGH RQ PCR or high throughput sequencing (HTS) for MRD assessment. The implementation of that kind of methods requires multicenter studies, standardization and validation. However, their benefits are transparent and every possible effort should be made to utilize them for evaluation of MRD in everyday clinical practice. This may facilitate the work of clinicians, and - before all - improve the survival and quality of life of CLL patients.
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