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Abstract

Advances in imaging techniques can greatly improve the treatment outcome and enhance the success rate of surgical procedures. This review article updates the information on surgical navigation system and its application in maxillofacial surgery. The indications, treatments and outcome of surgical navigation in surgery are also discussed. Electronic searches of the MEDLINE/PubMed database were performed to identify relevant human studies published in the English-language literature from 1980 to the present. The key words derived were traumaology, orthognathic surgery, cancer, reconstruction surgery, skull base surgery and foreign body removal. These were used in combinations for the final search strategy. The search generated 53 articles and surgical navigation is considered to be a useful tool for surgical planning, execution, evaluation and research. The largest number of studies and patients are identified in the field of traumatology, oncology and management of developmental deformities and pathologies. Based on the existing literature, we propose that the surgical navigation appears to be a very promising addition to the surgical armamentarium. Planning details of the surgery in a 3D virtual environment and execution with real-time guidance can significantly improve precision and result in better prognosis for the patient. Among factors to be considered are the financial investments and the learning curve.
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Introduction

The scope of surgical management of deformities in the cranio-maxillofacial region, whether they are congenital, developmental or acquired, is to reestablish facial symmetry and restore form and function [1,2]. Diagnostic imaging plays an important adjunct, to achieve these goals. Advances in imaging techniques have greatly improved the treatment outcomes and enhanced the success rate of surgical procedures. However, few problems are still faced by surgeons, notably accurate repositioning of skeletal structures in optimal three dimensional planes, restoration of ideal orbital volume, compromised visualization of deeper structures, removal of foreign bodies and assessment of variations in abnormal growth patterns. This owes, to the dependence of two dimensional imaging to treat a three dimensional problem. Thus computer-assisted navigational surgery was introduced in maxillofacial surgical practice [3-6]. It was first applied by Watanabe in 1987 [7], in neurosurgical procedures. It allows direct access to specific targeted areas through smaller incisions, thus making surgery less invasive, reliable and reducing overall operation time. Surgical navigation hypothetically resembles the global positioning system (GPS) used in automobiles and has three components: A localizer, which is similar to the satellite in space, a surgical probe, which represents the track waves emitted by the GPS in the vehicle and a computerized tomography (CT) scan data set, which is analogous to a road map (Figure 1). Apart from CT scan, the data set can also use magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission tomography [8].

Figure 1: Hypothetical representation of surgical navigation surgery, emphasizing on its resemblance of each of its basic components with global positioning system, (1) The localizer (main controlling device for integration, replication and virtual reproduction of anatomical structures) works as a satellite. (2) A surgical probe which sends signals through infrared diodes or pointers and mimics the GPS system in the vehicle, as it emits track waves. (3) A computerized tomography database, for identification of abnormalities and confirming postoperative surgical results, is similar to the road map depicted in GPS system for route navigation.
In conventional technique the surgeon uses the pointer to establish correlation with pre-operative image data and the surgical field (Figure 2), but it is difficult to orient the images, thus augmented reality system was developed, which projects the images directly on the surgical site and is based on monocular projection in the operating microscope, headup displays, projection on to purpose built semitranslucent screens placed between the operating scene and the surgeon, or by projection into the binocular optics of a tracked surgical microscope (Figure 3). There are several types of surgical navigation systems available today.

**Screen-Based Systems**

**Columbia scientific SIM/Plant software (Columbia scientific, USA)**

This software helps the surgeon, in visualization of implants being inserted surgically, through CT scan images. It also provides a 3 dimensional picture of maxillofacial regions with accurate locations of vital structures and helps in the measurement of quality of bone [11,12].

**VISIT surgical navigation software (Vienna, Austria)**

This software aids in simulation of dental implant positions during treatment planning phase. Intraoperatively the drill is visualized by oblique reformating beside the drill part and the images are changed according to the tracking system. VISIT is popularly used in placement of dental and extraoral implants in maxillofacial region [13,14].

**Vector vision (Brainlab, Munich, Germany)**

This system uses passive marker technology, thus any surgical instrument can be immediately integrated in the operation virtually. The position of the instrument is located and controlled relatively to their positions on preoperative images. This system allows image-guided microscopy, functional mapping, automatic image fusion, which helps in guiding osteotomies and removal of foreign bodies [15,16].

**Stryker leibinger navigation system (Stryker, Leibinger, Freiburg, Germany)**

In this system a two-way communication takes place between the wireless instrument and navigation system. The surgeon can control patients image data through the handpiece, therefore eliminating the need of touch screen controls [17,18].

**Optical tracking system microscope (OTS, Radionics, Tyco Healthcare Group, USA)**

This optical tracking system, precisely matches the preoperative images, with the patient's anatomy and enables the surgeon to track various instrument, during surgery. The location of the instruments and their trajectories are displayed on the screen in either two or three dimensional views [6,19].

**Projected Image-Based Systems**

**Surgical microscope navigation system (SMN, Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany)**

This system is a medical version of navigational target locator, used by fighter pilots to fire missiles by aiming at a computer plotted image. It uses a computer driven navigational microscope to provide intraoperative three dimensional view, even before the incision is placed. It transfers scans of surgical area to the computer, which in turn projects the information onto the lens of the navigational microscope, positioned above the patient. It allows the surgeon to follow a planned surgical path, similar to a road map [20,21].
Surgical segment navigator (SSN, Carl Ziess)

It is based on infrared technology and the preoperative data is transferred to the surgical site through infrared transmitters tracked by an infrared camera. The system comprises of an infrared positioning device, two reference frames, an infrared pointer and an infrared camera. It can be used in repositioning of fractured segments, osteotomy cuts, positioning of artificial joints and implants. All data are displayed numerically and graphically on the monitor [22,23].

Microscope-assisted guided interventions (MAGI)

It basically works by projecting the images into the binocular optics of a calibrated and tracked surgical microscope, with an accuracy of 1 mm [24]. It works on the principle of stereo augmented reality system, developed by Kings College London [25]. The system consists of a Leica binocular operating microscope adapted by incorporating two purpose-built monochrome displays and an Optotrak localizer (Northen Digital Inc.). Changes in the position of the microscope are tracked using an array of 13 infrared emitting diodes mounted on a bracket rigidly attached to the microscope assembly. Patient's position is established by tracking 6 such diodes fixed to a custom-built locking acrylic dental splint [24].

Electromagnetic tracking systems

This system is entirely wireless, thus does not have any line-of-sight restrictions like other systems. But metal instruments can disrupt the electromagnetic waves and hamper the accuracy of the procedure [26,27].

Heat mounted display system (ARTMA)

Through this system, the surgeon can see virtually images of anatomical structures directly on display of headset or endoscope. It is a type of augmented reality system [28,29].

Insta trak (GE medical systems)

This system helps the surgeon to mark critical zones preoperatively, which can be seen during the surgery. Through the development of the magnetic intelligence algorithm from the manufacturer, the system automatically detects and compensates for metal in the field, resulting in improved clinical accuracy and reliability [30,31].

Mechanical Tracking Systems

Viewing wand (ISG, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada)

It was the first frameless neuronavigation device used and consists of an articulated mechanical arm (FARO Technologies) with a handheld probe, used by the surgeon as pointer. The mechanical operating arm carries 6 joints and has 6 degrees of freedom working as a digitiser, and is interfaced to a computer graphics workstation [30,31].

Use of surgical navigation in oral and maxillofacial surgery

Surgical navigation has great potential in various specialties and provides precision based surgical procedures in areas of complex anatomy, as in maxillofacial region. Recent advances in this field have offered new prospects for orthognathic surgery, reconstruction of facial bones, implantology and Temporomandibular joint(TMJ) disorders.

Orbital reconstruction

High velocity impacts, mostly results in shattered orbits, with increase in volume, herniation of periorbital contents into the sinus and cranial neuropathies. Despite the use of advanced biocompatible materials by experienced surgeons [32,33], it is still difficult to replace and contour anatomical landmarks with precision and predictability [34-37]. Use of intraoperative navigation with proper preoperative planning, have ensured accurate positioning of plates in less visualized anatomic regions. Metzger et al. [38] described a semiautomatic procedure to use titanium meshes mirrored and constructed as the unaffected side, through CT scans and placed using frameless stereotaxy with three infrared cameras, controlling the pointer by integrated light emitting diodes.

Maxillofacial reconstruction

Defects causing discrepancies in mandibular continuity or palatal integrity, due to tumor resection or trauma, results in psychological distress and severe debilitating conditions for the patient. Free fibular osteocutaneous flap, has been used by surgeons throughout the world, since it was introduced by Hidalgo [39,40], to reconstruct maxillo-mandibular defects and has proven to be a highly successful and reliable option. Despite its wide acceptance, two school of thoughts lures upon, its method of fixation.

Tumor resection

Intraoperative navigation has been proposed as a means to delineate resection margins during extirpative tumor surgery in the craniomaxillofacial skeleton [41-43]. Several reports have highlighted the value of this technology in improving the precision in which tumors are resected, while minimizing the amount of uninvolved tissues. In addition, surgery involving the skull base, pterygomaxillary fossa, or infratemporal fossa, including temporomandibular joint (TMJ) ankylosis release [44], may be performed with an added degree of safety with respect to surrounding vital structures (Figure 4). Finally, osteotomies may be accurately positioned based on a presurgical image so that preformed implants, bone grafts, or free flaps may be inset into the defect in an effort to increase operative efficiency and accuracy.

Figure 4: Intra operative navigation system for accurate assessment of orbital volume in case of trauma, with comparison from normal side and its planning in proper anatomical reconstruction.
Orthognathic surgery

Preoperative computer imaging and intraoperative navigation are useful for planning complex surgical movements of the craniofacial skeleton. Using recently designed Computer-aided design/Computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) software, osteotomies may be planned and the jaws or other anatomic structures can be virtually repositioned in any plane of space [45–48].

Discussion

The benefits of image-guided surgery have been reported in a number of studies, with improved results. In implantology the surgeon is no longer restricted to two-dimensional images therefore complications such as damage to the inferior dental nerve and perforations can be avoided. An accuracy of 1-2mm was reported by Wanschitz et al. [49] in image-guided implantology using VISIT surgical navigation software. Template systems, designed to transfer a preoperatively defined implant position on to the surgical site, are also reported to produce accurate results. The use of a template eliminates errors in manual insertion and matches planning to prosthetic requirements. Implant operations can be simulated using Columbia Scientific SIM/Plant software. The three-dimensional implant positions can be transferred by computer to a milling machine, which mills the implant coordinate positions into the template. These positions will dictate the location, angle, and depth of insertion of the implant.

Image guidance has also been used to improve the accuracy of osteotomies. SSN (Zeiss) was the first highly precise system to transfer laboratory-planned data concerning the repositioning osteotomy to the surgical site. It has been applied for repositioning osteotomies of the orbital walls, where it displays hidden levels of bone, which may not be visible with a bicornal approach, and helps to navigate the complete structure. The Viewing Wand has been reported to achieve 2mm accuracy in 40 operations, including osteotomies and resection of tumours of the mid-facial area [50]. Satisfactory results have been recorded with the ARTMA head-mounted display system in secondary reconstruction of post-traumatic deformities of the zygoma. This system can also be used to superimpose virtual osteotomy lines on the maxilla to guide the oscillating saw in orthognathic operations. Application of image guidance to surgical oncology is currently most successful in tumours associated with the bony structures of the maxillofacial region because of the lack of deformation of soft tissues. Wagner et al. used their guidance system (ARTMA) to navigate instruments to an osteosarcoma in the deep infraorbital region. Insta trak system has also been used to guide orbital dissection for removal of tumours from the orbit.

Future Prospective

Computer-assisted navigation system has various areas for further evaluation and improvement. Reducing high costs of the system and time required for getting accustomed with it, is first and foremost. The markers used for detecting geometrical center are prone to give false recordings due to sterilization and reuse, recognition of such flaws are required and amended. Reconfiguration, rebooting and aborting navigation are few methods to solve, if errors are encountered, however, the correlation between these problems and model type has not been yet investigated.

Conclusion

This review provides the reader with updated information and knowledge regarding the recent literature on surgical navigation. Navigation-assisted technology in cranio-maxillofacial surgery increases reliability by facilitating correct safety margins and protecting vital structures. In addition, it increases the precision of radiotherapy planning and it facilitates the reconstruction process. However the costs for the navigation systems are very high, and the time for preparation for the surgery is longer in comparison with conventional technique. However, the navigation procedure gives more security, particularly in complex cases and also may result in a better clinical outcome for the patient. Further development of software programs may reduce the preoperative planning time and time spent during the operation.
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