American Tegumentary Leishmaniasis and Flow Cytometry: A Review
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Abstract

American Tegumentary Leishmaniasis (ATL) is a parasitic disease caused by protozoans of the genus Leishmania and transmitted by the bite of sandflies. It is considered neglected due to its high incidence and morbidity, mainly in developing countries. The treatment for this disease besides expensive, is toxic and has limitations such as resistance, both from the parasite and the host; and invasiveness, making it not quite acceptable for some patients. There is still no available vaccine for the disease, what makes the correct diagnosis the better alternative to guide the treatment and therefore manage it. The diagnosis of suspected cases has to gather epidemiological, clinical and laboratorial data, since the disease can be misdiagnosed with other dermatological conditions. Immunological methods are commonly used for detecting and monitoring diseases, and the main techniques used in the routine for ATL are the Montenegro Skin Test, Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay, Immunofluorescence Assay and Western Blot. Although these approaches have good sensitivity levels, they lack on specificity and have some limitations like crossed-reactions with other diseases caused by trypanosomatid parasites. One different serological approach, which is becoming an alternative method for the diagnosis of this disease, is Flow Cytometry; it has shown balanced levels of specificity and sensitivity and also a better accuracy when compared to other methods used in routine. Therefore, this article shows the recent advances on the diagnosis of ATL by flow cytometry and by using the findings on the literature, aims to guide researchers on what should be the focus to have a better diagnostic method for this disease.
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Introduction

American tegumentary leishmaniasis (ATL) is a neglected vector-borne disease, transmitted by sand flies of the genus Lutzomyia. Currently, there have been reported approximately 1.5 million cases per year, comprising the two main clinical forms of the disease: cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis (ML). CL is primarily found in regions of South America and Middle-East, and almost 90% of ML cases occur in Brazil, Peru and Bolivia [1,2].

Currently, according to the World Health Organization, more than 20 species of Leishmania capable of causing the disease have been reported [3]. CL is the most prevalent form of ATL, and it can be caused by the species: Leishmania aethiopica (L. aethiopica), L. major and L. tropica in the old world; and L. amazonensis, L. braziliensis, L. mexicana, L. venezuelensis, L. guyanensis, L. panamensis and L. peruviana in the new world; and for ML, the causative species are: L. panamensis, L. peruviana, L. braziliensis and L. guyanensis (Figure 1).

The clinical forms of ATL will depend on the vector, the parasite and also on the immunological and genetic features of the host [4,5]. The infection might be asymptomatic or cause serious skin damages on the patient, ranging from localized cutaneous lesions to a destructive involvement of the nasal and oral mucosa [6], (Figure 2).
is required to eliminate the parasite. That is why an adequate treatment and the development of an efficient vaccine are not a reality yet [10,11].

**Figure 2: Clinical forms of American Tegumentary Leishmaniasis.** A) Localized form; B) Disseminated form; C) Diffuse form; D) Mucocutaneous form - Rare case of involvement of the tongue. BRASIL (2007) [7].

**Diagnosis**

Due to the absence of a gold-standard method to diagnose the disease, there is a need to associate epidemiological, clinical and laboratorial aspects. In addition, the resemblance of the ATL lesions with other skin diseases makes differential diagnosis a useful tool to avoid an incorrect conclusion. Herein we discuss general features of the commonly used methods for the diagnosis of ATL focusing on those using flow cytometry.

**Epidemiological and clinical diagnosis**

For the epidemiological and clinical diagnosis it is important to have information about the habitat, leisure and work activities of the patients [12]. Also, it is useful to know if the individuals have travelled to endemic regions due to the expansion of transmission in urban areas [13]. Since ATL can be misdiagnosed with other dermatological diseases, to know where the patient comes from and where he went over the last weeks is important to know if it is a vector-borne disease, infectious disease or fungal disease.

As mentioned before, ATL presents difficulties on its clinical diagnosis due to the similarity of the lesions with different illnesses such as cutaneous tuberculosis, leprosy, tropical ulcer, syphilis, neoplasm and even some kinds of carcinomas and lymphomas [8,14]. On ML lesions, one might observe infiltrating or vegetative ulcers [15].

The clinical diagnosis can be performed based on the characteristics of the patient’s lesion associating it with the anamnesis and the epidemiological data. This diagnosis can also be complemented by the Montenegro skin test (MST) and by the therapeutic response. However, laboratorial methods are essential for the differential diagnosis with other diseases [7,14].

**Laboratorial diagnosis**

Since there is no gold-standard method to diagnose ATL, it is based on the association between immunological, molecular and parasitological assays. Figure 3 summarizes the methods for each approach.

A conclusive diagnosis is achieved when the direct research of the parasite amastigote through microscopy; the isolation of the parasites in culture medium or animal inoculation are positive [7,16].

The direct research of the parasite can be performed using the scarified lesion, aspiration or biopsy of the lesion’s edge. It is possible to visualize Leishmania spp. amastigotes by the method of Giemsa or Leishman [17]. This method has a sensitivity which varies from 50 to 70% [9]. The positivity of the test is inversely proportional to the time of the disease evolution. Although it is a simple technique, it has low cost, but cannot identify the parasite in all patients [18,19].

Parasite isolation is performed in appropriate media, like Neal-Novy-Nicole, using obtained material from aspirative puncture or biopsies of patients lesions. The sensitivity of the culture method is usually low, around 20 to 40%. This is related mostly because of the absence of parasites in the lesions, mainly when it is Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis [18,20,21]. For the in vitro culture, there is an inoculation in susceptible animals, such as the golden hamster (Mesocricetus auratus). Due to the long-time of follow-up until the formation of the ulcer in the animal and the costs for their maintenance, this method is restricted to research institutes [7,18,22].

Regarding the molecular methods, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) allows the amplification of specific genes of DNA from initiator oligonucleotides (primers) paired specifically with the target region, therefore allowing its use as a specific instrument for the diagnosis of several infectious diseases [22-25]. Although molecular approaches have been developed for ATL diagnosis and PCR is a high sensitive and specific technique, it is a sophisticated test for laboratorial use in routine protocols, with technical requirements and still a high cost [21,26].
The immunological diagnosis is based on the evaluation of the cellular response using the Montenegro Skin Test (MST) and/or the evaluation of the humoral response using the Indirect Immunofluorescence (IFA), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and Western blot. Currently there can be found in the literature works where flow cytometry appears as an alternative diagnostic tool for the disease, with advantages over the conventionally used methods [27-30].

The detection of the cellular response through the MST has been employed as an important resource on the immunological diagnosis of ATL due to its high sensitivity and specificity. This test consists on the injection of an antigenic suspension of killed promastigotes to induce a late hypersensitivity response, which is evaluated after 48 to 72 hours [9,31]. Although it can be positive in the majority of ATL cases (90%), the result is negative in recent lesions, in the diffuse form and in immunosuppressed patients. In endemic areas it is commonly positive due to the presence of subclinical infections [19,32]. Besides that, this test does not differentiate active disease from past disease [9,21,33].

Routine protocols based on IFA, ELISA and Western blot are performed for the diagnosis of ATL [34-36]. These tests present limitations, considering their lack of specificity and low reproducibility. Besides that, they might present crossed-reactions with another diseases caused by phylogenetically related species. It is also known that low levels of antibodies are observed in these tests, and there is an absence of correlation between the circulating antibody levels with the presence of an active infection [37-40].

**Diagnosis by flow cytometry**

In view of the inherent difficulties of the serological techniques used on the laboratorial routine for ATL diagnosis, new methodological approaches are being developed. Flow cytometry is a technology which allows a fast, objective and quantitative analysis of cells in suspension [41,42]. The cells from the samples are stained with fluorochromes, allowing their identification and quantification regarding their size, granularity and fluorescence intensity. This technique has several advantages when compared to the conventional immunoassays, such as the quantification of the analyte, use of a reduced sample volume, high reproducibility and sensitivity, and also, the possibility of multiplexing [43,44].

This technology applied to ATL diagnosis by detecting anti-IgG antibodies against *Leishmania braziliensis* was firstly described by Rocha et al. [27], where they found levels of 93.6% of sensitivity in patients who had the active form of the disease [27,45]. This technique is based on the sensitization of 96-well plates with the patient's serum serially diluted and then incubating with the antigen, which can be the whole live/killed parasite or recombinant proteins bonded to micro-beads [45], furthermore, the plates are finally incubated with a secondary antibody which is bonded to a fluorochrome, allowing the visualization on the equipment [28-30,45,46].

A study made by Oliveira et al. [28] showed that flow cytometry, when compared to IFA, showed a greater performance in detecting active disease and monitoring the cure of patients after treatment. This study pointed the possibility of using this technology not only to detect ATL in patients, but also to use it as a cure criterion. Having a laboratorial cure criterion would avoid discomfort to the patients, since the treatment is invasive and toxic.

More recently, a work performed by Teixeira-Carvalho [47] was able to make a differential diagnosis between Chagas disease, ATL and Visceral Leishmaniasis. It was a triplex flow cytometry assay based on the detection of IgG1 antibodies against each disease. Although the protocols which were used demand high technology equipments and expensive reagents, it points to the right path researchers should follow to achieve better results in diagnosing this disease using this technology.

The use of flow cytometry is becoming more frequent nowadays, being easier to access this technology in almost every research institute. Although there is a limitation due to the associated cost, the benefits that comes with the positive results for society outweighs these barriers.

**Conclusions**

ATL is considered a neglected disease. It affects mainly developing countries, causing great morbidity in patients due to the difficult access to treatment and lack of a good healthcare system. Owing to the low income of resources to these areas, there is limited research dedicated for new diagnostic tools and also management and control of the disease.

There is an urgent need for new treatment options which are less toxic, mainly for children and those immunosuppressed patients. The available treatment is being used for more than 50 years and the mechanism of action of these drugs are still not completely known.

Vaccination remains being the best alternative to control the Leishmaniasis. The development of an efficient, safe and profitable vaccine is a global and critical priority for public health, but it is still not a reality.

Since there is no effective treatment with low toxicity and no vaccines available for ATL yet, the best way to try to control the disease is to have good diagnostic tools. These diagnostic tools would ideally detect the disease in the early stages and determine a cure criterion, within the treatment, that would allow the patients to know whether their disease is cured or not. Therefore, enhancing specificity using modern technologies like flow cytometry, using molecularly defined antigens and performing multiplex assays aiming differential diagnosis is probably the best path to achieve a superior diagnostic method for a better control of the disease.
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