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Abstract

An effective and comprehensive method for the simultaneous quantification of 4 NIDDM drugs (metformin, glimepride, glibenclamide and pioglitazone) was achieved on a Purospher Start C18 (5 μm, 25×0.46 cm) and Supelco C18 column in 2, 3, 7, 9 min respectively. The optimized method involves a C₁₈ column thermostated at 30 °C, UV detection at 235 nm, at a flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹. Good separation of the analytes was achieved by gradient high performance liquid chromatography-UV/visible detector (HPLC-UV/visible) in API, pharmaceutical dosages and serum, mobile phase was a mixture of methanol: water (70:30v/v) the pH of which was adjusted to 3.0 by phosphoric acid.

The method exhibited consistent, high-quality recoveries of the four analytes which ranged from 93.8 ± 2.1 to 99.8 ± 1.5 (mean ± RSD) with a high precision for the drug and impurities. Linear regression analysis revealed an excellent correlation between peak responses and concentrations (R² values of 0.9991–0.9999) for the drug and impurities. Validation under Food and Drug Administration (FDA) guideline of the analytical parameters include: linearity (r²>0.9996), LLODs (0.315, 2.3, 0.2,0.1 ng ml⁻¹), LLOQs (0.95, 0.7, 0.59,0.32 ng⁻¹), intra-day precision (0.001) and inter-day precision 0.9 expressed as relative standard deviation (R.S.D.) and robustness parameters (less than 1.98%) with accuracies between 98% and 102%. The plasma assay was validated for parameters such as specificity, accuracy and extraction recovery. This is the first simultaneous characterization and quantitative determination of multiple NIDDMs. Thus, this method provides a simple, sensitive, selective, accurate and precise assay for the determination of all compounds in active pharmaceutical preparations, dosage formulations and human serum with high percentage of recovery, good accuracy precision (no interference of excipients) and a short run time. The proposed method can be extended for routine analysis of anti-diabetics in pharmaceutical preparations, biological matrices, and clinical laboratories with standard equipment, drug interaction studies and forensic medicine, recoveries ranging from 94 to 99%.
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Introduction

For many patients with type 2 diabetes, mono therapy with an oral antidiabetic agent is not sufficient and requires more than one antihyperglycemic drug to achieve optimal control. A fixed dose combination of metformin, pioglitazone, and glibenclamide showed significant efficacy in improving the glyemic control in type 2 diabetes. Thiazolidinedione class of drugs, rosiglitazone (ROS) and pioglitazone (PIO)) exert their glucose-lowering effect by binding to peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors gamma (PPARγ), thus increasing the receptor sensitivity to insulin [1-3]. Sulfonylurea drugs (glipizide (GLP), fliclazide (GLC), glibenclamide (GLB) and glimepride act by increasing the secretion of insulin by the functioning β cells of the pancreas. This generation of hypoglycemic drugs is much more potent and is therefore effective at much lower dosages [4]. Combinations of metformin with glipizide, gliclazide or glimepride are available commercially as single dosage form. A combination tablet formulation is beneficial in terms of its convenience and patient’s compliance. Arayne et al. [5] quantified gliduonid and metformin [6-8] in bulk drug, pharmaceutical formulations and serum using LC and multivariate techniques respectively. Pioglitazone hydrochloride [9,10], glipizide and glimepride [11], pioglitazone and glimepride [12] and six and eight antidiabetics drugs in combination [13,14] respectively have been determined in formulations and serum by RP-HPLC. Jain et al. [15] and Arayne et al. and Sultana et al. [16-19] reported simultaneous methods of NIDDM drugs with other co-adminstered drugs in formulations and human serum.

The present paper describes an isocratic reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatographic method with UV detector for the separation and quantification of four antidiabetic drugs i.e. metformin, pioglitazone, glibenclamide and glimepride in API, formulations and serum. The method would help in assay of drugs in a single run which reduces the time of analysis to less than 10 mins and does not require separate methods for each drug. The developed method was also validated successfully and applied to human plasma assay.
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Experimental

The isocratic HPLC method uses a simple mobile phase with UV-detection at 230, 235 and 240 nm using Purospher STAR (5 μm, 25x0.46 cm) RP-and Supelco C18 (150x4.6 mm, 5 micron) columns. UV-detection is simple, rapid, selective and reproducing and sensitivity is adequate for routine use. Direct determination of the examined compounds in small volumes of human blood serum can be accomplished by protein precipitation using acetonitrile. The method was validated in terms of linearity, accuracy, precision, sensitivity, selectivity, and stability.

Wavelength selection

UV spectra of individual drugs were recorded in the wavelength range from 200 to 400 nm and overlap to each other. It was found that all the drugs exhibited high response at 235 nm.

Sample preparation

Standard stock solutions were prepared by dissolving 10 mg each of metformin, pioglitazone, glibenclamide and glimepride in the mobile phase and the volume was made up to 100 mL with the same solvent. For the calibration curves, six calibrators of drugs were prepared by making serial dilutions from stock solutions over the range of 2.5-25 μg mL⁻¹.

Materials and reagents

Metformin and glimepride were gratis by Sanofi Aventis (Pakistan) Ltd, pioglitazone and glibenclamide from Ali Gohar Pharmaceuticals and Safe Pharmaceutical (Pvt) Ltd. Formulations of metformin (Neodipar 250 mg), glimepride (Amaryl 2 mg), pioglitazone (Poze 45 mg) and glibenclamide (Diazet 5 mg) were obtained from retail pharmacies. All reagents used were of HPLC grade. Methanols, acetonitrile of HPLC grade and orthophosphoric acid 85% used were of analytical grade from E. Merck, Germany. Deionized water was used to prepare mobile phase. Stock solutions and working solutions were prepared daily. All solutions were filtered through 0.45 μm filter and degassed using sonicator.

Instrumentation

Shimadzu HPLC system equipped with a LC-10 AT VP pump, an SPD-10 AV VP UV-VIS dual wave length detector, integrated via Shimadzu model CBM-102 Communication Bus Module to P-IV computer. Shimadzu CLASS-GC software (Version 5.03) was used for data acquisition and mathematical calculations and rheodyne manual injector fitted with a 20 μL loop. Chromatographic separation was carried out on a Purospher STAR RP-and and Supelco (150x4.6 mm, 5 micron) with a particle size of 10 μ and DGU-14 AM on-line degasser. UV visible 1601 Shimadzu double beam spectrophotometer was used to record the spectra.

Assay in formulation

Twenty tablets each of metformin, pioglitazone, glibenclamide and glimepride were weighed to obtain the average tablet weight and then powdered. 10 mg powder of each drug was weighed, dissolved in mobile phase and the volume was made up to 100 mL with the same mobile phase. The resulting solution was allowed to stand for 1 hour with intermittent sonication to ensure complete solubility of the drug (stock solution) which was then filtered and the filtrate diluted to the desired concentration for working solutions. All sample and standard solutions were filtered through 0.45 μm filter paper before injection into the system. A placebo tablet was also subjected to the same process as discussed above. The possibility of excipients interference in the analysis was studied.

Assay in serum

Plasma samples, obtained from healthy volunteers, were collected and stored. To 1.0 mL of plasma, 9.0 mL of acetonitrile was added; the mixture was vortexed for 1 min and then centrifuged for 10 mins at 10,000 rpm and the supernatant was filtered by 0.45 μ membrane filter. An aliquot of serum sample was fortified with metformin, pioglitazone, glibenclamide and glimepride to achieve final concentration.

Results and Discussion

Diabetes mellitus is a heterogeneous group of disorders characterized by abnormalities in carbohydrate, protein and lipid metabolism [20]. An abnormality in insulin production or action or both is the central disturbance in diabetes mellitus, which results primarily in elevated fasting and postprandial blood glucose levels. In recent years, diabetes mellitus has become a common disease affecting human health seriously. All anti-diabetic drugs (Figure 1), chosen in this study are commonly used in clinics for type II diabetes mellitus patients

Method optimization and chromatographic conditions

Optimization of the chromatographic conditions takes into account the various goals of method development and to weigh each goal (resolution, runtime, sensitivity, peak symmetry, etc.) accurately, according to the requirement of HPLC methods being used for the estimation of drugs in biological fluids. The drugs are not totally soluble in water whereas soluble in organic solvents like methanol and acetonitrile. The developed liquid chromatographic method for simultaneous determination of four NIDDMs was first optimized to choose the appropriate chromatographic conditions for efficient, accurate and economical analytical method. For stationary phase selection Supelco, Proshper Star C18 (5 μm, 25x0.46 cm) and Discovery C18 (5 μm, 25x0.46 cm) columns were tested with a variety of mobile phases and the best separation with less retention time was achieved with Proshper Star C18 (5 μm, 25x0.46 cm) column. During the development phase, mobile phases, methanol-water and acetonitrile-water in different ratios with variable (pH 2.5-4) were tried to fix on the best ratio for separation of components. Mobile phase containing acetonitrile-water resulted in asymmetric peaks with poor resolution, greater tailing factor (>2) and high run time. The successful use of mobile phase containing a mixture of methanol and water in the ratio

![Figure 1: Representative chromatogram of NIDDM drugs in API.](image-url)
of 70:30 (v/v) with pH adjusted to 3.0 exhibited good separation and high resolution with short analysis time. Methanol was selected because of its favorable UV transmittance, low viscosity, and better solubility. The flow rate of mobile phase greatly affected the analysis of the studied analytes. The analytes were monitored at 235 nm and the retention times were found to be 2, 3, 7 and 9 minutes for MET, PIO, GLB and GMP respectively (Figure 2). Although run time decreased significantly at higher flow rates, however resolution of peaks and sensitivity of the analytes decreased. The flow rate was therefore adjusted to 1.0 mL min⁻¹, tailing was (<1.3) and resulted in good peak symmetry and resolution. Separation was best achieved at isobestic point of 235 nm whereas 230 and 240 nm wavelengths were also checked.

**Validation of the developed method**

The validation parameters studied according to ICH guidelines [21] were system suitability test, specificity and selectivity, linearity, accuracy, precision, detection and quantitation limits and robustness.

**System suitability**

The HPLC system was equilibrated with the initial mobile phase composition, followed by 6 injections of the same standard. These 6 consecutive injections were used to evaluate the system suitability on each day of method validation. Parameters of system suitability are peaks symmetry, theoretical plates of the column, mass distribution ratio (capacity factor), resolution and relative retention as summarized in Table 1.

**Linearity and sensitivity**

Linearity was tested at the concentration range of 2.5-25 μg mL⁻¹. Concentration of NIDDM versus peak area was subjected to least square linear regression analysis. A linear regression line in the concentration range of 2.5-25 μg mL⁻¹ for MET, PIO, GLB and GMP respectively was obtained with correlation coefficient (r²) >0.998. Regression characteristics including slope, intercept, correlation coefficient values for each drug are given in Table 2. The standard curve, intercept and slope were determined by statistical software.

**Accuracy and assay**

Method accuracy was evaluated as the percentage of recovery of known amounts of metformin, pioglitazone, glibenclamide and glimepride to the pharmaceutical formulation and serum. It is performed at spike concentration that was 80, 100 and 120%. Each sample was injected five times and result range was 98-102%, compiled in Table 3, high recovery indicated that the method has a high degree of accuracy.

**Precision**

Precision of the proposed method was determined by repeatability (intra-day precision) and intermediate precision (inter-day precision). It was expressed as relative standard deviation (RSD). Five different concentrations of metformin, pioglitazone, glibenclamide and glimepride in the linear range were analyzed on the same day (intra-day precision) and two consecutive days (inter-day precision); every sample was injected five times. Both intra- and inter-day RSD values were in the range 0.06-1.5% confirming good precision (Table 4). The precision for all these analytes under investigation did not exceed 2% at any of the concentrations studied and well met the requirements of validation.

**Limit of detection and limit of quantification**

LLD₃ = 3.3σ/S and LLOQ = 10σ/S; where σ is the standard deviation of the lowest standard concentration and S is the slope of the standard curve. The LLD₃ were 0.315, 2.3, 0.2, 0.1 and LLOQ 0.95, 0.7, 0.59, 0.32 ng mL⁻¹ for metformin, pioglitazone, glibenclamide and glimepride as given in Table 2.

**Specificity and selectivity**

The selectivity and specificity of the method were performed. Chromatograms of blank mobile phase, excipients solution, pharmaceutical formulations and serum samples obtained from standard solutions were identical with those obtained from spiked solution containing an equivalent concentration. The representative chromatograms (Figures 1-4) showed no significant interference of unwanted excipients used in pharmaceutical formulations or endogenous components of serum at the retention time of MET, PIO, GLB and GMP. Accordingly, the proposed method can be considered selective. The method confirmed good resolutions equal to 6.14-8.41 (Table 1).
The data in Table 4 or 5 shows that the results are within the acceptable criteria, this indicated better robustness of the developed method and hence found suitable for analysis of drugs.

### Robustness

Method robustness was performed by making minor changes while analyzing same sample at normal operating conditions and also by changing some operating analytical conditions such as wavelength, mobile phase composition pH and flow rate.

When a parameter was intentionally changed ± 2% (in mobile phase), ± 0.1% (in flow rate) and ± 0.05% (pH 3) from its optimum condition, the shifting in retention time of ± 0.1% was observed. The relative standard deviation in each case was found not more than ± 2%.

---

### Table 4: Precision of metformin, pioglitazone, glibenclamide and glimepride at 235 nm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systems</th>
<th>Conc. (μg/mL&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Intra-day variation</th>
<th>Inter-day variation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MET</td>
<td>PIO</td>
<td>GLB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC 10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC 20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data in Table 4 or 5 shows that the results are within the acceptable criteria, this indicated better robustness of the developed method and hence found suitable for analysis of drugs.
A simple, specific, selective and precise method was developed for the simultaneous determination of four anti-diabetic drugs metformin, pioglitazone, glibenclamide and glimepride. The mobile phase is economical and simple to prepare with little or no variations with a short run time of less than 10 mins. The sample recoveries in human plasma were in good agreement and no interference of endogenous materials was found, as well as no lengthy extraction procedures were required in the estimation. Hence, this method can be easily and conveniently used for the routine analysis of the drugs in plasma samples for pharmacokinetic studies, forensic materials, clinical laboratories and to study drug interactions.
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