

Anti-Nutritional Factors in Finger Millet

Kumar SI^{1,3,*}, Babu CG², Reddy VC¹ and Swathi B³

¹Agricultural Research Station, Peddapuram, Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, India

²DAATT Centre, Srikakulam, Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, India

³Agricultural Research Station, Amadalavalasa, Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, India

*Corresponding author: Kumar SI, Agricultural research station, Peddapuram, Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, India, Tel: 919849035068; E-mail: injetisudhirkumar@gmail.com

Received date: Feb 21, 2016; Accepted date: Mar 28, 2016; Published date: Apr 04, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Kumar SI, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Plants commonly synthesize a range of secondary metabolites to protect themselves against herbivores, insects and pathogens or adverse conditions. Millets inherently carry certain anti-nutritional factors to keep the predating insects at bay. Ragi (*Eleusine coracana* Gaertn) does contain anti-nutritional factors, which might reduce the availability of nutrients thereby reducing the productivity performance of the birds [1]. Some of these factors present in ragi include tannins, non-starch polysaccharides-glucans, protease inhibitors, oxalates and phytates, each of which might directly or indirectly affect the digestibility of nutrients.

Tannins

Tannins have been reported to be responsible for decreases in feed intake, growth rate, feed efficiency, net metabolizable energy, and protein digestibility in experimental animals [2]. Tannins have been found to reduce feed intake, impair nutrient digestibility and nitrogen retention thus causing growth depression of poultry [3,4]. Tannins are a group of phenolic non-nitrogenous organic constituents, which are chemically classified into two broad categories viz., hydrolysable and condensed tannins [5]. Condensed tannins on hydrolysis yield flavans, while the former yield gallic acid. Among millets, finger millet is reported to contain high amounts of tannins ranging from 0.04% to 3.74% of catechin equivalents [6]. Ramachandra et al. [7] also reported the tannin content of ragi from 0.04 to 3.47 per cent, with most of the values falling around 0.6 per cent. White grain varieties of finger millet had low levels of tannins (0.05%) compared with the brown and dark-brown varieties (0.61%). Highest amount of tannins (3.42%-3.47%) was found in two African varieties, IE927 and IE929. Hulse et al. [8] and Rao and Deosthale [6] reported high levels of tannins in dark colour varieties, while the tannin content of brown varieties of ragi ranged from 0.35 to 2.40 per cent. The white ragi hardly contained any tannin. Parida et al. [9] also reported that the white grain varieties of ragi had very low phenol and tannin levels when compared with brown varieties.

Protease Inhibitors

The protein inhibitors disrupt the protein digestion by rendering unavailability of the digestive enzymes, trypsin and chymotrypsin. Their presence is characterized by compensating hypertrophy of the pancreas. Shivaraj and Pattabiraman [10] described the presence of an inhibitor in ragi, and it is a single functional protein factor, which is responsible for both amylase inhibitor and trypsin inhibitory activities with two different reactive sites. Chandrashekara et al. [11] reported that millets had considerable varietal differences in the proteinase

inhibitor activity and that the finger millet had more anti tryptic activity than antichymotryptic activity. However, Ravindran [12] reported that the chymotrypsin inhibitory unit values obtained for different finger millets were comparable.

Shivaraj et al. [13] isolated two inhibitors from ragi by affinity technique and designated them as chymotrypsin inhibitor (CTI) and trypsin alpha amylase inhibitor (TAI).

Non-Starch Polysaccharides [NSPs]

In addition to Tannins and Protease inhibitors, millets also contain non-starch polysaccharides (betaglucans), phytates, oxalates, etc., each of which might directly or indirectly affect the digestibility of nutrients in millets or millets based diets [1]. Non-starch polysaccharides are defined as polymeric carbohydrates, which differ in composition and structure from amylose and amylopectin [7]. NSPs contain glycosidic bonds other than (1-4) and (1-6) bonds present in starch. The nature of the bond determines their susceptibility to cleavage by avian digestive enzymes. These NSPs have high molecular weight ranging from 8000 to 9000 million. The NSPs in broiler feed could cause growth depression and decreased in feed conversion efficiency.

Ingredient	Total pentosans	Cellulose	Pectins	Total NSPs
Maize	5.37	3.12	1	9.32
Sorghum	2.77	4.21	1.66	9.75
Finger millet	3.31	3.03	1.76	9.4

Table1: The non-starch polysaccharide (NSPs) content of ragi [14].

Wankhede et al. [15] reported pentosan content of ragi as 6.2 to 7.2 per cent, while Malleshi et al. [16] opined that native millets contained more hexoses than pentosans. Kamat and Belavady [17] observed that ragi contained slightly higher levels of total unavailable carbohydrates (18.6%) as compared to wheat (17.3%).

Discussion

Rao and Deosthale [6] have shown reduced tannin content after soaking, roasting, boiling, germination and fermentation. Malting has been shown to decrease tannins up to 54% in brown finger millet [7] and phytic phosphorus up to 58% and 65% in brown and white finger millet respectively [16]. Significant reduction of trypsin inhibitors up to 61.5% was found in roasting followed by pressure cooked ragi.

Conclusion

Finger millet has great potential of providing nutritional security and is well comparable and even superior to many cereals in terms of mineral and micro nutrient contents. The use of finger millet as food has remained only in the area where it is cultivated. In view of anti-nutritional factors observed it has been reported that germination improves the nutritive quality of cereals. Due to the high bulk density of porridge made from cereals, major efforts have been made to promote the use of sprouted millet. Due to enzymatic breakdown of starch to sugars during germination, the viscosity and bulk density of porridge made from sprouted grains are significantly lower. Sprouting has been reported to improve the nutritional quality of seeds by increasing the contents and availability of essential nutrients and lowering the levels of anti-nutrients. Sprouting of finger millet resulted in lowered levels of the anti-nutrients namely tannins, phytates and TIA.

References

1. Abate AN, Gomez M (1984) Substitution of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) and bulrush millet (*Pennisetum typhoides*) for maize in broiler feeds. *AFST* 10: 291-299.
2. Chung KT, Wong TY, Wei CI, Huang YW, Lin Y (1998) Tannins and human health: a review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 38: 421-464.
3. Chang SI, Fuller HL (1964) Effect of tannin content of grain sorghums on their feeding value for growing chicks. *Poultry Science* 43: 30-36.
4. Mohammedain GM, Babiker SA, Mohammed T (1986) Effect of feeding millet, maize and sorghum grains on performance, carcass yield and chemical composition of broiler meat. *Trop Agric* 63: 173-176.
5. McLeod MN (1974) Plant Tannins - their role in forage quality. *Nutr Abstr Rev* 44: 803-815.
6. Rao PV, Deosthale YG (1988) *In vitro* availability of iron and zinc in white and colouredra (*Eleusine coracana*): Role of tannin and phytate. *Plant Foods Humn. Nutr* 38: 35-41.
7. Geetha Ramachandra, Virupaksha TK, Shadaksharaswamy M (1997) Relationship between tannin levels and *in vitro* - protein digestibility of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* Gaertn). *J Agric Food Chem* 25: 1101-1104.
8. Hulse JH, Laing EM, Pearson DE (1980) Sorghum and millets - their composition and nutritive value. London, Academic Press.
9. Parida RC, Bal SC, Mitra GN (1989) Nutritive value of some white and brown ragi (*Eleusine coracana* Gaertn.) varieties. *Orissa J Agric Res* 2: 183-186.
10. Shivaraj B, Pattabiraman TN (1981) Natural plant enzyme inhibitors characterization of an unusual α -Amylase/trypsin inhibitor from ragi (*Eleusine coracana* Gaertn). *Biochem J* 193: 29-30.
11. Chandrashekara G, Raju DS, Pattabiraman TN (1982) Natural plant enzyme inhibitors, proteinase inhibitors in millets. *J Sci Food Agric* 33: 447-450.
12. Ravindran G (1991) Studies on millets: Proximate composition, mineral composition and phytate and oxalate contents. *Food Chem* 39: 99-107.
13. Shivaraj B, Narayana Rao H, Thillaisthanam N, Patabiraman (1992) Natural plant enzyme inhibitors. Isolation of a trypsin/ α -Amylase Inhibitor and a chymotrypsin/Trypsin-inhibitor from ragi (*Eleusine coracana*) grain by affinity chromatography and study of their properties. *J Sci Food Agric* 33: 1080-1091.
14. Malathi V, Devegowda G (2001) *In vitro* evaluation of non-starch polysaccharide digestibility of feed ingredients by enzymes. *Poult Sci* 80: 302-305.
15. Wankhede DB, Shehnaj A, Rao MRR (1979) Carbohydrate composition of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) and foxtail millet (*Setaria italica*). *Plant Foods Hum Nutrition* 28: 293-303.
16. Malleshi NG, Desikachar HSR, Tharanathan RN (1986) Free sugars and non-starch polysaccharide of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*), pearl millet (*Pennisetum typhoideum*) and foxtail millet (*Setaria italica*) and their malts. *Food Chem* 20: 253-261.
17. Kamat MV, Belavady B (1980) Unavailable carbohydrates of commonly consumed Indian food. *J Sci Food Agric* 31: 194-202.