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Abstract

Interdigitated microelectrodes (IDEs) functionalized with a cysteamine (Cys) self-assembled monolayer (SAM) were used to fabricate a sensitive, disposable, impedimetric biosensor for prostate specific antigen (PSA) detection. PSA specific monoclonal antibody (anti-PSA) was covalently immobilized on cysteamine modified gold surface of IDEs, using N-ethyl-N’-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide and N-hydroxysuccinimide (EDC/NHS) chemistry. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) was used as a blocker to prevent nonspecific adsorption on electrode surface. BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE electrodes were exposed to solutions with different PSA concentrations and their response was measured using a label-free electrochemical impedance (EIS) technique. The impedance changes revealed close a correlation with PSA concentrations in the measurement range of $1 \text{pg.mL}^{-1}$–$100 \text{ng.mL}^{-1}$, with sensitivity of $0.444 \text{mL.g}^{-1}$.
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1 Introduction

Over the past two decades, biosensors have revolutionized the point-of-care diagnosis [36]. Among the point-of-care devices, biosensors based on electrochemical detection techniques continue to be of great interest for operational simplicity, affordability, shelf life, selectivity and sensitivity [4,30]. Electrical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)-based biosensors are a class of electrochemical biosensors that allows the label free recording of interaction between bio-recognition element and analyte occurring at the electrode surfaces and can be measured in form of changes in capacitance and/or resistance [27]. EIS measurements can be carried out over a frequency range or at a single frequency by applying a small sinusoidal voltage (perturbation voltage). The use of small perturbation voltage for response measurement also helps to minimize matrix interference [5,22,16]. The current-voltage ratio provides the frequency-dependent impedance and is used to study the changes at the electrode-solution interface [2,7,8]. An EIS-based biosensor using interdigitated microelectrodes (IDEs) offers additional advantages of rapid reaction kinetics, improved sensitivity, large electrode aspect ratio (w/l) and increased signal-to-noise ratio [16,27,34,37]. An interdigitated microelectrode (IDE) (with, electrodes containing parallel microband with alternating microbands connected together) is a two-electrode system possessing enhanced mass transport properties with reduced IR drop and double-layer charging effects that help to attain steady-state current responses faster than three or four electrode systems, enabling easier measurements [20,23,35]. Furthermore, for response measurement, they do not require third reference electrode, thus making the system simpler for realization of products. It has recently been shown that IDE-based systems with EIS can be used for the estimation of cortisol [1], avian influenza virus H5N1 [37], atrazine [27,28,34] and bacterial cells [35]. However, no such efforts have been made to detect cancer biomarkers, which need to be detected at significantly lower concentrations.

Prostate cancer (PCa) is one of the leading causes of cancer morbidity [15]. At present, once PCa spreads beyond the limits of an organ; there is no curative treatment available. Like most cancers, early detection continues to be critical for reduced mortality from PCa [25]. A specific biomarker-based sensing can enable the detection of the unique association of genomic changes in cancer cells with the disease process. A biomarker-based sensing continues to be an active area of research and offers a reliable and affordable approach towards diagnostics. Prostate specific antigen (PSA), a 32–33-kDa single-chain glycoprotein, has been extensively studied in the past decades and is a reliable and widely used tumor maker for the early detection of organ confined PCa [11,19,26,29,32]. In prostate cancer diagnosis, a PSA measurement above $10.0 \text{ng.mL}^{-1}$ is regarded as positive and indicates a high probability of
prostate cancer; below 4.0 ng.mL\(^{-1}\) the result is considered negative and indicates a low probability of prostate cancer; between 4.0 ng.mL\(^{-1}\) and 10.0 ng.mL\(^{-1}\) the result is in the so-called “grey zone” and free PSA/total PSA ratio indicates cancer specificity; ratios above 0.25 indicate low risk of prostate cancer [9]. Hence, its detection at early stages can provide a significant impact on reducing patient mortality.

A commercial kit-based enzyme-linked immuno assay (ELISA) and its variants are currently the predominant analytical technique for a quantitative analysis of PSA. Some of the currently available analyzer-run PSA assays in market are from Abbott Diagnostics, Diagnostic Product Corporation, Roche, Beckman Coulter, Bayer Diagnostics and so on. These reliably detect PSA up to the range of 0.05–0.005 ng.mL\(^{-1}\) [12]. They provide a sensitive estimation of PSA; however, the techniques tend to be laborious, lengthy, expensive and are mainly confined to dedicated centralized laboratories using large, automated analyzers and trained personnel [12]. As approaches are sought for point-of-care patient management, various biosensor strategies based on surface plasma wave [32], surface plasmon-enhanced fluorescence [38], chemiluminescence [39], nanomechanical cantilever [14,17], surface enhanced resonance raman scattering [31] and electrochemical [6,9,10,21,24,26] have been attempted for PSA detection. Each of the above has its advantages; however, EIS being the electrochemical, label-free and simple technique has shown a promise for the development of label-free ultrasensitive sensor [1,10,28,35].

This research demonstrates the use of anti-PSA modified IDEs to function as a simple, sensitive and disposable impedimetric biosensor chip for PSA detection. Cysteamine was used to prepare a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) on the IDEs’ surface. The SAM was then used for the covalent binding of anti-PSA using N-ethyl-N’-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide and N-hydroxysuccinimide (EDC/NHS) chemistry [3]. The use of cysteamine and EDC/NHS activated the binding of anti-PSA results in covalent binding between amino group of cysteamine and carboxylic group of anti-PSA. Such binding leaves both active sites (upper NH\(_2\) terminal end in Y-shaped antibody) of anti-PSA free for PSA recognition, and thus it improves the sensitivity of the system (Figure 1). A label-free impedimetric technique has been employed for PSA detection. The novel biosensor enabled PSA detection down to 1 pg.mL\(^{-1}\) with a 30 min of incubation time.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Chemicals and reagents

Monoclonal PSA antibody (anti-PSA, product number MCA2561), PSA (product number 7820-0504) and monoclonal cortisol antibody (product number 2330-4809) were procured from Abd Serotec. Cysteamine hydrochloride, EDC, NHS and phosphate buffered saline (PBS) tablets were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. PBS-tween tablets were purchased from Fluka. SU-8 resist was purchased from MicroChem Corp. All other chemicals were of analytical grade and were used without further purification. PBS solution (10 mM, pH 7.4) was prepared by dissolving 1 tablet in 200 mL of deionized water and PBS-tween solution (10 mM PBS, pH 7.4 containing 0.05% tween) was prepared by dissolving 1 tablet in 500 mL water. Working solutions of PSA, anti-PSA and anti-cortisol were prepared by dilution in PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4).

2.2 Measurement and apparatus

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was utilized to characterize the BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE electrodes and to estimate PSA concentration. EIS measurements were carried out at equilibrium potential (open circuit potential generated between electrodes dipped in electrolyte), without external biasing in the frequency range of 10–10\(^6\) Hz, with a 25 mV amplitude using Autolab Potentiostat/Galvanostat (Eco Chemie, Netherlands). EIS measurements were carried out using 60 μL of PBS solution (10 mM, pH 7.4) containing a mixture of 5 mM Fe(CN)\(_6\)\(^{3-}\) (Ferrocyanide) and 5 mM of Fe(CN)\(_6\)\(^{4-}\) (Ferricyanide), that is, 5 mM Fe(CN)\(_6\)\(^{3-}/4-\) as a redox probe.

2.3 Test chip fabrication

The IDE chips were fabricated on an oxidized 4\(^{th}\) silicon wafer using standard photolithographic techniques [1,2].
A 5 μm wide and 3200 μm long electrode bands with 10 μm spacing, distributed over 5500 μm length, were lithographically transferred on the Si wafer. Next, Cr/Au (20/200 nm) was deposited using e-beam evaporation and the resist stripped. The resulting metal liftoff resulted in the IDE pattern. As a final step, SU8 chambers of about 50 micron thick were patterned around the electrodes, using the SU8 50 to create a sample well around these electrodes. SU8 was hard baked at 200 °C to improve its resistance against hard solvents like acetone.

2.4 SAM preparation and anti-PSA immobilization

The IDE chips were pre-cleaned with acetone, isopropyl alcohol and deionized water, and immersed in 1 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) solution of cysteamine in water. IDE chips in cysteamine solution were kept overnight at room temperature for self-assembled monolayer (SAM) formation. The cysteamine solution were kept overnight at room temperature for self-assembled monolayer (SAM) formation. The cysteamine SAM modified electrodes were then rinsed with water to remove any unbound cysteamine and utilized for antibody immobilization. Anti-PSA was covalently attached to cysteamine SAM using EDC/NHS chemistry (Figure 1). For covalent binding, 30 μL of anti-PSA solution (1 μg.mL\(^{-1}\)) containing 0.4 M EDC and 0.1 M NHS was poured onto cysteamine modified IDE chips and kept for 1 h and 30 min in humid chamber at room temperature. Chips were kept in humid chamber to prevent drying of surface during anti-PSA binding. Anti-PSA immobilization occurs via a coupling reaction between the amino group of cysteamine and the EDC/NHS activated carboxylic group of anti-PSA. The electrode (anti-PSA/Cys/IDE) formed was washed thoroughly with PBS-tween (10 mM, pH 7.4) to remove any unbound anti-PSA. In the final step, BSA solution (100 μg.mL\(^{-1}\)) in PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4) was used to block nonspecific adsorption onto the electrode surface. The fabricated BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE electrodes were characterized by using the electrochemical impedance technique and utilized for PSA estimation. Each experiment was run in triplicates and represented with error bars. Figure 1 schematically illustrates BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE electrode fabrication.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Electrochemical impedance studies

EIS studies are well-established and known for characterization of an electrode-solution interface. EIS studies reveal charge transfer processes occurring at the electrode-solution or modified electrode-solution interfaces. Any change in the EIS spectra can be related to the change in interface properties, thus can be utilized for surface modification characterization. In the present study, Nyquist plots were utilized to study (i) the change in charge transfer resistance (R\(_{ct}\)) at sensor-solution interfaces after cysteamine SAM formation, anti-PSA binding and BSA blocking and (ii) the change of charge resistance, with changing concentration of PSA. All EIS spectra were recorded in PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4) containing 5 mM Fe(CN)\(_3^−/4−\) as a redox probe.

3.1.1 BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE electrode fabrication

Figure 2 shows the Nyquist plots of impedance spectra measured on the IDE surface after each modification process. In these spectra, the diameter of a semicircle represents the charge transfer resistance (R\(_{ct}\)) on the electrode surface. Cysteamine SAM formation on IDE is indicated by the decrease in R\(_{ct}\) from 474 Ω (Figure 2, curve (i)) to 90 Ω (Figure 2, curve (ii)). The decrease can be attributed to the presence of a large number of polarizable amino groups on the surface, which attaches the negatively charged redox molecules and facilitates the charge transfer to electrode surface [3]. In Figure 2, curve (iii), the increase in R\(_{ct}\) to 1048 Ω suggests the binding of anti-PSA onto the cysteamine SAM. The increase in R\(_{ct}\) can be attributed to the non-conducting nature of anti-PSA protein that resists the charge transfer from solution to the electrode. Finally, the increase in R\(_{ct}\) to 1178 Ω (Figure 2, curve (iv)) after treatment with BSA can be attributed to the BSA protein binding and blocking of the free spaces on the electrode.

3.1.2 PSA response studies of BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE electrode

BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE electrode was utilized to study the interaction between immobilized anti-PSA and PSA concentrations (1 pg.mL\(^{-1}\)–100 ng.mL\(^{-1}\)) (Figure 3). Figure 3 illustrates the Nyquist plots, that is the graph
For sensing applications, measurement of relative change in $R_{ct}$ carries more significance than absolute $R_{ct}$ value, and has been used for PSA estimation. To account for the variation in initial impedance values, due to the lack of industrial scale process control and the amount of antibodies and BSA binding on electrode surface, all experiments were carried out with increasing PSA concentration. The resulting $R_{ct}$ data set was normalized to (R$_{ct}$ for desired concentration ($R_{ct}$ ($C_i$)))/(R$_{ct}$ of blank BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE electrode ($R_{ct}$ ($C_o$))). The use of step-by-step approach ensured that the observed change in impedance was due to surface modification occurring by PSA binding and not due to superimposed effects of multielectrode measurement. Further with normalization, results of different electrodes were found to fall within 5% error range for different electrodes. In Figure 4, the graph of ($R_{ct}$ ($C_i$))/($R_{ct}$ ($C_o$)) versus that of PSA concentration indicates the linear detection range of 1 pg.mL$^{-1}$–100 ng.mL$^{-1}$, with the detection limit of 1 pg.mL$^{-1}$. Normalized data curve in Figure 4 can be characterized using $R_{ct}$ ($C_i$)/$R_{ct}$ ($C_o$) = 7.20 + 0.444 $C_{PSA}$, with a correlation coefficient of 0.997, and sensitivity of 0.444 mL.g$^{-1}$. Further, studies reveal that after normalization, all electrodes with different impedances for BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE exhibited a similar response within a 5% error for each concentration.

A curve between frequency and imaginary part of impedance ($-Z''$) and another between frequency and real part of impedance ($Z'$) have also been analyzed at 50 Hz. Results suggested the similar linear behavior using these parameters ($Z'$ and $-Z''$) and can thus also be utilized for sensing applications.

3.2 Estimation of association constant for interaction between the covalently bound anti-PSA and PSA

Association constant ($K_a$) was estimated using EIS data to check the interaction affinity of covalently bound anti-PSA with PSA antigen. EIS data analysis does not directly provide data on the amount of molecules adsorbed on the electrode surface, thus another method is required. For $K_a$ estimation, Szymanska et al. [33] and Li et al. [18] showed that the Langmuir isotherm approach, where isotherm assumes equal binding energy for all binding sites, can be utilized for the estimation of $K_a$ for binding interaction. They demonstrated that the change in charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$) may be related to the binding of target with immobilized molecule and the following equation can be used to evaluate $K_a$:

$$K_a C = \frac{R_{ct} (C_i) - R_{ct} (C_o)}{R_{ct} (C_o)} = \frac{\Delta R_{ct} (C_i)}{R_{ct} (C_o)} = 1,$$

where $K_a$ is the association constant, $C$ is the concentration of molecule in solution, $R_{ct} (C_o)$ and $R_{ct} (C_i)$ indicate charge
Figure 5: (a) Nyquist plot of BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE electrode for PSA concentration containing 100 pg.mL\(^{-1}\) of cortisol antibody (i) buffer, (ii) 1 pg.mL\(^{-1}\), (iii) 10 pg.mL\(^{-1}\), (iv) 100 pg.mL\(^{-1}\) (v) 1 ng.mL\(^{-1}\), (vi) 10 ng.mL\(^{-1}\) and (vii) 100 ng.mL\(^{-1}\) and (b) normalized data curve for data obtained from Rct data for different PSA concentration.

transfer resistance of binding sites, without PSA and with desired concentration of PSA, respectively.

Data for Rct was collected from Figure 3, and using (1), the curve was plotted between \(\Delta R_{ct} (C_i)/R_{ct} (C_o)\) and the concentration of PSA (data not shown). It was found that \(\Delta R_{ct} (C_i)/R_{ct} (C_o)\) varies linearly with concentration and follows \((\Delta R_{ct} (C_i)/R_{ct} (C_o)) = 6.258 + 0.451 C_{PSA}\), with a correlation coefficient of 0.997, and the standard deviation of 0.793. \(K_a\) was estimated from the slope of regression equation and found to be 0.451 mL.g\(^{-1}\).

3.3 Specificity studies

The presence of other analytes and proteins in the real sample is a major cause of false results due to nonspecific binding, and thus it needs to be checked. IDE-based BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE electrodes were investigated for their specificity in the detection of PSA. For specificity estimation, EIS measurements were carried out for different concentrations of PSA in PBS containing 100 pg.mL\(^{-1}\) of protein (anti-cortisol) (Figure 5(a)). Figure 5(b) shows the linear variation for normalized Rct values, \(R_{ct} (C_i)/R_{ct} (C_o)\), with PSA concentration. Variation can be characterized using \(R_{ct} (C_i)/R_{ct} (C_o) = 7.652 + 0.447 C_{PSA}\), with a correlation coefficient of 0.996 and a sensitivity of 0.447 mL.g\(^{-1}\). The change in sensitivity is attributed to the presence of another protein resulting in some nonspecific binding on surface with PSA. The variation in \(R_{ct} (C_i)/R_{ct} (C_o)\) value for different PSA concentrations in the presence of protein was found to be less than 7% for any given PSA concentration. BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE electrodes were also tested for BSA and anti-cortisol in the absence of PSA. The results suggested the selectivity of BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE electrodes with maximum variation of < 7%.

Table 1 shows the comparison of our electrode with other approaches used for PSA estimation. Commercially available ELISA-based test reliably detects PSA in the range of 0.05–0.005 ng.mL\(^{-1}\) range, however, from Table 1, it is clear that our system is simpler, better and can detect PSA in a wide range with detection limit of 1 pg.mL\(^{-1}\). Such electrochemical measurement design is simple and can be used as point-of-care device.

4 Conclusions

Cysteamine SAM modified IDEs can be used to fabricate an ultrasensitive impedimetric PSA biosensor. Covalently immobilized monoclonal PSA antibody based, BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE electrode exhibited a linear behavior in the concentration range of 1 pg.mL\(^{-1}\)–100 ng.mL\(^{-1}\), with a linear regression coefficient of 0.997 and sensitivity of 0.444 mL.g\(^{-1}\). The association constant for PSA-anti-PSA interaction on electrode was found to be 0.451 mL.g\(^{-1}\). For future work, attempts will be made to improve the specificity of the sensor and to validate the electrode for real samples. The simplicity of design and use of two-electrode-based sensitive detection will be useful for the transformation of PSA detection from lab to point-of-care device.
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Sensing molecule | Transducer | Secondary label | Detector molecule | Incubation time | Linear range | Detection limit | Reference
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
MUA/APBA conjugate | Anti-PSA-HRP | Amperometric | — | H₂O₂ + thionine | 30 min | 2–15 and 15–120 ng mL⁻¹ | 1.1 ng mL⁻¹ | [21]
PANI-poly(1,2-diamino benzene) | Anti-PSA | EIS | — | — | — | 100 pg mL⁻¹ | 1 pg mL⁻¹ | [6]
AuNP | Anti-PSA | LSV | Anti-PSA-biotin and streptavidin-AP | 3-IP + AgNO₃ | 200 min | 1–10 ng mL⁻¹ | 1 ng mL⁻¹ | [9]
Cytochrome SAM | Anti-PSA | LSV | SINP-anti-PSA-AP | AA-P + AgNO₃ | 15–55 min | 1–35 ng mL⁻¹ | 0.76 ng mL⁻¹ | [26]
PAMAM-dendrimer-APEBA SAM | Anti-PSA | CV | Anti-PSA-biotin and avidin-AP | NP | 270 min | 1–10 ng mL⁻¹ | 1 ng mL⁻¹ | [24]
Microwell plate | Anti-PSA | Chemiluminescence | Anti-PSA-liposomes encapsulation HPR | Luminol + H₂O₂ | 120 min | 0.74 pg mL⁻¹ | 0.74 pg mL⁻¹ | [39]
MWCNT | Anti-PSA | Amperometric | Anti-PSA-HRP | H₂O₂ + 4-TBC | 30 min | 0–60 µg mL⁻¹ | 0.08 µg mL⁻¹ | [25]
16-MHA, 11-MUOH mixed SAM | cAHPSA-N7 | SPR | Anti-PSA-biotin and streptavidin-AuNP | Flow-through | 1–100 ng mL⁻¹ | 1 ng mL⁻¹ | — | [13]
Cytochrome SAM | Anti-PSA | EIS | — | — | 30 min | 1 pg mL⁻¹ | 10 pg mL⁻¹ | — | Present work

16-MHA: 16-mercapto-1-hexadecanoic acid; 11-MUOH: 11-mercapto-1-undecanol; SAM: self-assembled monolayer; SPR: surface plasmon resonance; AuNP: gold nanoparticle; MWCNT: multi-walled carbon nanotube; GCE: glassy carbon electrode; HRP: horseradish peroxidase; H₂O₂: hydrogen peroxide; 4-TBC: 4-tert-butylicetanol; MUA: 11-mercaptoundecanooic acid; APBA: 3-aminophenylboronic acid; CV: cyclic voltammetry; PANI: polyaniline; LSV: linear sweep voltammetry; AP: alkaline phosphatase; silver nitrate; AA-P: ascorbic acid 2-phosphate; APEBA: 4-(2-(4-(acetylthio)phenyl)ethynyl)benzoic acid; PAMAM: poly(amidoamine); NP: naphthalene phosphat; SiNP: silica nanoparticle.

Table 1: Comparison of the BSA-anti-PSA/Cys/IDE electrode with the electrodes reported in literature for the PSA estimation.
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