Arteriovenous Fistula: A Rare Complication of Peripheral Venous Cannulation and an Example of the Importance of Clinical Examination
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Abstract

Arteriovenous fistula is a rare complication of peripheral venous cannulation. We report a case of a 79-year-old male who presented with a subcutaneous swelling on the antero-lateral aspect of his right forearm. The ‘lump’ developed at the same site as the insertion of a previous peripheral venous cannula. Careful clinical examination and later radiological confirmation diagnosed an arteriovenous fistula. The patient was referred to a vascular surgeon for advice regarding further management. This case demonstrates the importance of clinical examination for the accurate diagnosis of ‘Lumps and Bumps’ prior to surgical treatment.
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Introduction

Arteriovenous Fistula (AVF) is defined as an anomalous communication between an artery and a vein [1]. Acquired AVFs are a rare clinical finding compared to the congenital type. They are most commonly secondary to penetrating and blunt trauma, iatrogenic complications of invasive procedures and operations, infection, aneurysm and blood vessel inflammation [2]. Although still rare iatrogenic AVFs are more commonly reported now due to the increased use of percutaneous diagnostic and interventional endovascular procedures [3,4]. Such procedures require catheterisation of the large arteries and thus most reported cases of AVFs secondary to such percutaneous needle puncture techniques involve the femoral vessels [4]. The reported rate of AVF varies from 0.006% to 0.14% [5].

We present a rare case of a 79-year-old man who developed an AVF after peripheral venous cannulation that was required for intravenous access during an inpatient hospital stay 5 months earlier.

Case Report

A 79-year-old gentleman was referred from general practice to the local general surgical clinic due to a bothersome ‘lump’ on his right forearm. The lump had developed over a period of 5 months and was becoming tender to touch. On clinical examination a soft, pulsatile, approximately 1 cm x 1 cm mass was noted on the anterior-lateral aspect of his right forearm (Figure 1). An audible bruit was present in the mass. On closer questioning the patient volunteered he recalled having an intravenous cannula inserted in that area of his arm while previously an in-patient stay 5 months earlier.

A diagnosis of AVF secondary to traumatic vessel puncture was made clinically and he was referred to the local vascular service. The patient underwent duplex ultrasound scanning of the mass that confirmed the diagnosis. The scan demonstrated a localised dilatation of the affected vein, which contained an arterial signal. A branch of the radial artery was visualised passing behind the vein to form the abnormal communication (Figures 2 and 3).

The patient was reviewed in clinic with the results of the duplex ultrasound and opted for a conservative treatment strategy as over time any change in size or symptoms.

Discussion

AVFs were first described clinically in 1757 by William Hunter [6]. Presentation and symptoms of acquired AVFs are dependent on the cause, location and extent of vessel involvement [2]. Those due to penetrating trauma such as stabbings and gunshot wounds that cause frank haemorrhage are obvious emergencies and present soon after initial injury [7]. However AVF presentation and subsequent treatment can be delayed for many months or years [8]. Common findings on clinical examination are a history of trauma to the area concerned, pain, oedema, disfigurement and symptoms of arterial insufficiency [2]. If longstanding an AVF in the lower limb, for example, can give rise to symptoms similar to that of chronic venous insufficiency and thus delay diagnosis [8].

Careful examination is required in order to detect the pathognomonic machinery murmur, or bruit, and thrill over the site...
Serious complications of large AVFs if untreated include proximal vessel dilatation, pseudoaneurysm formation, fistula rupture and haemorrhage, neuropathy and thromboembolic events. Longstanding effects of AVFs can lead to cardiac overload and subsequent failure, which may not be completely reversible once the fistula is closed [9]. It is feasible to leave small asymptomatic lesions and manage them conservatively with regular follow up in clinic [2]. For traumatic AVFs of the upper limb the most successful treatment is surgical ligation, with or without reconstruction depending on the arterial perfusion [2]. Early treatment is recommended as potential complications such as scarring and distorted anatomy are reduced [7,9]. In this case endovascular coiling could also be used to close the fistula, as the lesion was not involving an end artery thus there is no risk of distal ischaemia and subsequent necrosis.

**Conclusion**

This case highlights the importance of careful clinical examination. Simple ‘lumps and bumps’ are a common referral to any general surgical clinic, and may end up on an inexperienced and junior surgeon’s local anaesthetic operating list. Without careful and thorough history taking and examination the intraoperative complications for this patient may have been severe. It also serves as a cautionary reminder that everything we do to patients has potential complications associated with it. Although a rare complication, the simple procedure of placing an intravenous cannula led to this patient requiring additional surgical management.
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