Assessment of Inflammation and Damage in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients with Methotrexate Inadequate Response Receiving Tocilizumab Using Low Field MRI
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Abstract

Objectives: The aim of the study was to monitor joint inflammation and destruction in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients receiving tocilizumab therapy using MRI and compare MRI findings with clinical, biological and radiographic data.

Methods: Inclusion criteria were patients aged between 18 and 65 years, fulfilling American College of Rheumatology 1987 criteria for RA. All patients had methotrexate inadequate response with no prior biologic exposure. All patients were evaluated clinically including disease activity score 28 (DAS28) and by low field dedicated MRI (dominant hand and wrist) at initiation of treatment with anti-IL 6 receptor antibody agents and after 6 months. The MRI images were scored using the Outcome Measures in Rheumatology Clinical Trials RAMRI score (OMERACT RAMRIS).

Results: Among 22 patients with RA included in the study; 19 were female. The mean age was 42 years ±13.7. Tree patients were excluded from the study before 24 weeks because of serious side effects. The study population exhibited significant decreases in all measures of disease activity at 24 weeks. At 24 weeks, the median RAMRIS synovitis (p<0.001) and bone edema (p=0.04) scores were significantly reduced while RAMRIS bone erosion score was unchanged. The baseline RAMRIS synovitis score was strongly correlated with delta RAMRIS edema at 24 weeks (r= - 0.46; p=0.04).

Conclusion: This study suggests a significant reduction in MRI pre-erosive lesions (synovitis and osteitis) using Tocilizumab in patients with RA with inadequate response to DMARDs. Prospective studies with long term follow-up and imaging as an outcome measure are needed.
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Introduction

Optimum management of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) requires early diagnosis and timely introduction of agents that reduces inflammation and consequently inhibits structural damage [1]. Indeed, the opportunity to induce remission/low disease activity in Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) patients has been achieved in recent years by the adoption of more sensitive diagnostic methods; particularly Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), and ultrasonography and early aggressive treatments. Tocilizumab (TCZ), a humanized monoclonal antibody that binds to both forms of the interleukin-6 receptor, showed clinical and structural efficacy in patients with RA [2-5]. The RA Disease Activity Score in 28 Joints (DAS28) is used to evaluate the response to TCZ. Indeed, the DAS28 is an excellent tool for assessing disease activity in RA. However and particularly during treatment with TCZ, CRP and DAS28 decrease very fast and very early and seem to overestimate the remission. Hence, it should be necessary to associate these tools to imaging (US or MRI) [6]. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is more sensitive for the detection of both inflammatory (synovitis) and structural (erosive) joint changes than clinical examination and radiography. While conventional radiographs remain the standard reference methods for assessing destructive skeletal changes in patients with RA, radiographs are inherently limited by the lack of ability to assess pre-erosive changes that precede damage to the osseous component of the joint, a stage of disease that had been thought to be irreversible [7]. In addition to being much more sensitive in detecting joint erosions, MRI can also detect pre-erosive lesions (synovitis and osteitis) [8-11]. The areas of bone that appear as bone oedema or osteitis on MRI have been shown to be heavily infiltrated by inflammatory cells including osteoclasts [12], and MRI-detected synovitis and osteitis have been shown to increase the risk of developing new erosions over time as detected by either MRI or radiograph [13-20]. Detection and treatment of pre-erosive lesion can therefore significantly alter the course of RA.

Thus, MRI offers improved opportunities for investigating the course of joint inflammation and destruction during new therapeutic approaches, as in this case TCZ. Quantitative analysis in MRI has advantages over semi quantitative analysis in measuring the response to therapeutic agents, as can reflect a change in inflammatory activity.
more sensitively [6,21]. The Outcomes Measures in Rheumatoid Arthritis clinical trials (OMERACT) has developed the RAMRIS (RA MRI scoring system) to measure therapeutic effects in clinical studies in particular with biologic agents. The RAMRIS consists of semi quantitative assessment of bone erosion, bone marrow edema and synovitis and is sensitive to change [19,20].

The aim of the study was to monitor joint inflammation and destruction in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients receiving tocilizumab therapy using MRI and compare MRI findings with clinical, biological and radiographic data.

**Patients and Methods**

**Population sample**

Twenty two patients with RA (fulfilling American College of Rheumatology 1987 criteria for RA) at the department of Rheumatology Rabat-Sale university hospital enrolled in an open label, 24 weeks follow up study. All patients had had methotrexate inadequate response aged between 18 and 65 years with moderate to severely active disease defined as Disease Activity Score in 28 joints based on erythrocyte sedimentation rate (DAS28-ESR) at baseline and an inadequate response to a stable dose of MTX (≥15 mg/week for ≥6 weeks). TCZ was administered for study purposes only and not for routine treatment. Exclusion criteria for the study, included pregnancy, severe comorbidities, prior use of any biologics and use of csDMARDs other than MTX 1 month (3 months for leflunomide) before the baseline visit. All patients who were eligible agreed to participate in the study. The use of corticoids and analgesic drugs was allowed at baseline and during the follow up. Among 22 patients with RA included in the study; 19 were female. The mean age was 42 years ±13.7; their mean disease duration was 7.9 ±5.2 years. All patients signed a separate informed consent for inclusion in this study. The study was approved by the local independent committee of Rabat and conducted according to the principles of the declaration of Helsinki.

**Tocilizumab therapy**

Each patient received intravenous injections of tocilizumab monotherapy (8 mg/kg/every 4 weeks). Oral glucocorticoids and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs were permitted.

**Standard clinical assessment**

Clinical assessment was performed for each patient by one of tree rheumatologists. All of whom were blinded to the imaging findings. Disease activity was assessed by DAS28 ESR. Functional disability was estimated by the Health Assessment questionnaire (HAQ).

**Laboratory assessment**

Serum markers of inflammation, C reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate were obtained at the same day as MRI. The anti-CCP and rheumatoid factor dosage were performed only at baseline.

**Magnetic resonance imaging**

**Image acquisition**

MRI of the dominant wrist and 2nd to 5th metacarpophalangeal (MCP) joints was carried out at baseline and 6 months, using a 0.2 Tesla artoscan system (ESAOTE Biomedica, Genova, Italy) a dedicated extremity MRI unit. Patients were comfortably seated in an adjustable scanning chair, with the dominant hand positioned in a wrist coil in the magnet in neutral position. The imaging protocol comprised a coronal and axial Short Tau inversion recovery (STIR) sequence followed by coronal and axial T1-weighted Turbo Spin Echo 3D images obtained before and after intravenous injection Gabapentin acid (0.2 mmol/kg body weight). The post contrast coronal and axial images were started 3 min after the injection of contrast agent. The imaging parameters for the STIR sequence were as follows: repetition time 500 ms, Echo time 18 ms, matrix size 256×160, field of view (FOV) 200 mm, slice thickness 3mm. For the T1 weighted Spin Echo 3D sequences, the imaging parameters were as follows: repetition time 500 ms, Echo time 18 ms, matrix size 256×192, field of view (FOV) 200 mm, slice thickness 1 mm.

**Image evaluation**

All the MRI were scored for synovitis, bone edema and bone erosion as defined in the OMERACT MRI RA recommendations (Table 1). Synovitis is assessed in three wrist regions (the distal radioulnar joint; the radiocarpal joint; the intercarpal and carpometacarpal joints) and in each MCP joint. The first carpometacarpal joint and the first MCP joint are not scored. The scale is 0-3. Score 0 is normal, and 1-3 (mild, moderate, severe) are by thirds of the presumed maximum volume of enhancing tissue in the synovial compartment. Bone erosions: each bone (wrists: carpal bones, distal radius, distal ulna, metacarpal bases; MCP joints: metacarpal heads, phalangeal bases) is scored separately. The scale is 0-10, based on the proportion of eroded bone compared to the “assessed bone volume”, judged on all available images—0: no erosion; 1: 1-10% of bone eroded; 2; 11-20%, etc. Bone edema: each bone is scored separately (as for erosions). The scale is 0-3 based on the proportion of bone with oedema, as follows—0: no oedema; 1: 1-33% of bone edematous; 2: 34-66% of bone edematous; 3: 67-100% (Table 1). Sum scores of synovitis, erosion, and oedema can be calculated by summation of individual joint scores, as a total sum or separately in the evaluated wrist and second to fifth MCP joints, respectively. For synovitis, the possible range of sum scores of unilateral second to fifth MCP joints, wrist joint, and both are 0-12, 0-9, and 0-21, respectively. The corresponding values for bone erosion are 0-80, 0-150, and 0-230 and for bone edema 0-24, 0-45, and 0-69, respectively.

OMERACT RAMRIS was assessed by two rheumatologists with documented experience and high inter reader agreement. The assessors were blinded to clinical and radiographic findings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas</th>
<th>Selectio n</th>
<th>MCP joint</th>
<th>MCP joint</th>
<th>MCP joint</th>
<th>MCP joint</th>
<th>MCP joint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-5 proxima l and distal</td>
<td>Distal radioulnar joint</td>
<td>Radio- cappal joint</td>
<td>Inter- carpal - CMCJ</td>
<td>Distal radius</td>
<td>Trapeziu m, trapezoid, capitates, hamate, scaphoid, lunat, triquetrum , pisiform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-5 proximal and distal</td>
<td>Inter- carpal - CMCJ</td>
<td>Distal radius</td>
<td>Distal radius</td>
<td>Trapeziu m, trapezoid, capitates, hamate, scaphoid, lunat, triquetrum , pisiform</td>
<td>Distal radius</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: OMERACT MRI in RA group recommendations of MRI definitions of important RA joint, and an RA RAMRIS scoring. MCP: metacarpo-phalangeal joint, CMC J: carpo-metacarpal joint. Synovitis scale is 0-3. Score 0 is normal, and 1-3 (mild, moderate, severe). Bone erosions: each bone is scored separately. The scale is 0-10, 0: no erosion; 1: 1-10% of bone eroded; 2; 11-20%, etc. Bone edema: each bone is scored separately. The scale is 0-3; 0: no edema; 1: 1-33% of bone edematous; 2: 34-66% of bone edematous; 3: 67-100%.

Table: | Grades | Distal ulna | Distal ulna |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All (0-3)</td>
<td>All (0-3)</td>
<td>All (0-10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X rays

Conventional x rays of both wrists and hands in anteroposterior projection were obtained at inclusion and 6 months. Radiological assessment were examined according to modified Sharp score of Van Der Heijde

Statistical analysis

Non parametric statistical methods were applied and description statistics (numbers, medians, ranges, and interquartile ranges were calculated. The Mann Whitney U test was used for pairwise group comparisons. The Wilcoxon matched pairs rank signed test was used to compare baseline values with data at 24 weeks. Correlations were calculated by means of the Spearman’s rank correlation test. P values <0.05 were considered significant. The statistical analyses were carried out with SPSS.

Results

Clinical measures of disease activity at baseline and at follow up

Table 2 present baseline and follow up characteristics of the 22 patients. Three patients were excluded from the study before 24 weeks because of serious side effects (pulmonary embolism in one case, acute hepatitis in one case, and heart failure in one case). The study population exhibited significant decreases in all measures of disease activity at 24 weeks. The dose of corticosteroids decreased progressively through the period of the study (p=0.005). Physical activity expressed by the HAQ score increased through the observation period (p<0.001).

Bone erosions on X rays and MRI at baseline and at follow up

No change of the modified Sharp score was observed at 24 weeks follow up. At baseline the median RAMRIS erosions (0-230) was 43.3 ± 29.8. At 24 weeks follow up, no significant changes in RAMRIS erosions score was seen (Figure 1B). However 2 new erosions in the wrist (1 patient) were seen and 3 erosions had enlarged (2 patients).

MRI synovitis, bone edema and tenosynovitis at baseline and follow up

At baseline the mean score of RAMRIS synovitis Score was 12.9 ± 5.0. At 24 weeks, the median synovitis score was significantly reduced (P<0.0001) (Figure 1C). This coincided with a reduction in tender and swollen joints counts and considerable decreases in laboratory markers of inflammation (CRP and ESR) (Table 2). At baseline, the median RAMRIS bone edema score was 0 (0-7.2); at 24 weeks, the median score was slightly reduced (p=0.04) (Figure 1A).

**Figure 1:** Evolution of RAMRIS scores (synovitis, edema, and erosion) from baseline to 24 weeks of follow up. At baseline, the median RAMRIS bone edema score was 0 (0-7.2). At 24 weeks, the median score was slightly reduced (p=0.04) (Figure 1A). At baseline the median RAMRIS erosions (0-230) was 43.3 ± 29.8. At 24 weeks follow up, no significant changes in RAMRIS erosions score was seen (Figure 1B). At baseline, the mean score of RAMRIS synovitis Score was 12.9 ± 5.0. At 24 weeks, the median synovitis score was significantly reduced (P<0.0001) (Figure 1C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>24 weeks</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=22</td>
<td>42 ± 13.7</td>
<td>40 ± 12.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Sex</td>
<td>19 (86.4)</td>
<td>16 (84.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS pain (0-100)</td>
<td>55 (50-60)</td>
<td>5 (0-10)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS fatigue (0-100)</td>
<td>55 (50-60)</td>
<td>(0-12.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Baseline MRI synovitis changes as predictors of delta RAMRIS bone edema

Table 4 resumes the correlation between clinical and imaging variables at baseline and bone damage progression as assessed by delta RAMRIS bone edema. The delta RAMRIS edema at 24 weeks was substantially correlated with the baseline RAMRIS synovitis score (r = -0.46; p = 0.04). No correlation was found between delta RAMRIS edema, the DAS28, CRP, ESR, anti-CCP, and rheumatoid factor level at baseline (Table 3).

### Table 2: Demographic and clinical characteristics of population sample and evolution at 24 weeks follow up; 1 mean and standard deviation, 2 number and percentage, 3 median and quartiles; VAS: visual analogic scale, DAS 28: disease activity score in 28 joints, HAQ: Health assessment questionnaire, ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CRP: C reactive protein.

### Relation between MRI synovitis scores, clinical and biochemical assessments

Table 3 resumes the correlations between RAMRIS score of erosion, edema, and synovitis and disease parameters relative to RA at baseline.

At baseline, there was a significant correlation between RAMRIS synovitis and swollen joints counts (p = 0.02), however there was no correlation between RAMRIS synovitis and VAS pain, HAQ, DAS 28, VS, and CRP.

There was a significant correlation between RAMRIS edema, age, CRP, anti-CCP and bone erosion at X-ray (for all p < 0.05). However there was no correlation between RAMRIS edema and disease parameters (Table 3).

### Table 3: Correlations between RAMRIS score of erosion, edema, and synovitis and disease parameters relative to RA at baseline.

### Table 4: correlation between clinical and imaging variables at baseline and bone damage progression as assessed by delta RAMRIS bone edema
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Discussion

The present 6 months follow-up study of a cohort of patients with RA treated with TCZ suggested a significant reduction in pre-erosive lesions (synovitis and osteitis). MRI allows an objective evaluation of the effect of TCZ on disease activity, while biological and clinical parameters of disease activity remain inappropriate in this case. Indeed, DAS28 is inappropriate marker because TCZ normalizes C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) in the early stage of treatment. The aim of the treatment in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is to prevent articular damage and functional loss by decreasing the activity of the disease. The overall goal is the full suppression of the activity of the disease, also called clinical remission or low disease activity. Evaluation of disease activity in RA is not easy, and no single parameter can entirely, the CRP as well as [18]. Rapidly and intensively decrease with the initiation of anti-IL 6 treatment before any improvement in swollen or tender joint counts is observed [24], possibly resulting in a discrepancy between an improvement in inflammatory markers and an improvement in actual RA disease activity [25]. Our findings raise the question as to whether a clinically nontender, nonswollen joint is in fact inflamed when the MRI indicates the presence of inflammation. In the present study, we have found a significant improvement in clinical and imaging outcome trough follow up; however in 6 months while 46% of patients were in clinical remission, there was persistence of MRI remission. Hence, synovial inflammatory activity estimated by the mean MRI may offer a more precise measurement of disease activity and will allow for easier monitoring of changes in the degree of inflammation [26]. Indeed, modern imaging techniques are becoming increasingly important in assessing the course of arthritis and as part of patient follow-up in evaluating response to treatment. The more effective schedule plan administration of traditional disease modifying drugs, as well as the highly effective new drugs, has changed the outcome of rheumatoid arthritis (RA), not only in the individual patient but also at the population level [27-28]. These therapeutic strategies can achieve low course of arthritis. Sensitivity to change is the key feature of a quantifying method. A reliable measure should show good responsiveness and allow prediction of future structural damage. Several studies have shown that, in patients with RA, clinical evaluation, even after careful training and standardization, is significantly less sensitive than either US [29] or MRI [30]. As a result, imaging is a useful alternative to achieve proper assessment of disease activity and predict structural damage [27,31]. In the cohort of patients receiving the anti-IL 6 receptor antibody tocilizumab, Kamishima T et al. [18] have shown that conventional measures are responsive but less reflective of future bone destruction than image analysis. In the evaluation of disease activity in RA patients within 1 year of beginning treatment with the anti-IL 6 receptor antibody tocilizumab, MR bone erosion is both responsive and predictive of structural damage progression at 1 year. The second point that deserves discussion: that is the low field MRI is also reliable and useful than the high field Low field dedicated E-MRI units have previously, been shown to allow detection of inflammatory and destructive joint damage in RA, moreover, E-MRI have shown the ability to detect the development of structural joint damage [6,18, 32,33]. E-MRI is favored by low costs and also because patient positioning is more comfortable and claustrophobia is avoided and can be easily used for follow-up of treatment. The disadvantages of E MRI include a smaller field of view meaning that fewer joints can be examined at the same time and a longer imaging time. OMERACT has developed a score to evaluate RA [34]: this Rheumatoid Arthritis MRI Score (RAMRIS) includes assessment of synovitis, BME, and erosions [35]. The resulting total score offers a comprehensive evaluation of the global burden of RA, including disease activity and extent of damage. As a result, novel drugs for rheumatoid have been evaluated with MRI after only three [36] or six [37] months from treatment start. In our study, we have found that pre erosive lesions (RAMRIS synovitis and oedema) were improved significantly at 24 weeks follow up. The same results were found in the study of P. G Conaghan et al. [7] in patients with RA treated with Golimumab plus MTX significantly improved MRI-detected synovitis and osteitis at weeks 12 and 24, and in the study of Suzuki et al. [6] in patients with RA treated with tocilizumab at 44 weeks but not at 20 weeks. Recently, Conaghan PG et al. [38] had found a rapid suppression of synovitis and osteitis with reduction in structural joint damage progression occurred with TCZ, as monotherapy or in combination with MTX, through week 52. However, in 2 others studies, there was an improvement of the RAMRIS erosions score, while the modification of RAMRIS synovitis and RAMRIS oedema scores remain trivial. Hence, it is expected that the beneficial effects of new drugs on synovitis and bone oedema (prognosticators of future structural damage) may prevent further progression of structural damage. The use of imaging as an outcome measure will move away from the concept of scoring to that of measuring and consistent with this there will be a move from plain radiography to MRI [39]. However, even if several authors found the MRI to be more sensitive in detecting subclinical local inflammation, it is unclear whether that is of clinical significance. Moreover, the literature so far does not necessarily support a worse functional outcome in these patients. A cutoff point for determining an MRI inflammatory activity acceptable state score needs to be established. Hence, a definition of remission by imaging needs to be established. A choice has to be made about the level of inflammation that can be tolerated and how this needs to be assessed (which imaging method, which feature, which joints, which cut-off point). Many unanswered questions remain to recommend including imaging remission of inflammation in a definition of remission [21]. This study has some strengths and weaknesses. Weaknesses include the small population sample. Another limitation of this study was a lack a control group. Hence, it may not be representative, and limits the ability to describe causal relationships to the associations detected.
Conclusion

This study suggests a significant reduction in MRI pre-erosive lesions (synovitis and osteitis) using Tocilizumab in patients with RA with inadequate response to DMARDs, however in 6 months, while 46% of patients were in clinical remission, there was persistence of MRI inflammation. Indeed, modern imaging techniques are becoming increasingly important in assessing the course of arthritis and in permitting measurement of response to treatment as part of the follow up of patients. Estimation of synovial inflammatory activity by the MRI possibly with a significant role for low field imaging appears to be a promising method of detecting and monitoring inflammatory activity in patients with RA. Recently, EULAR had produced ten recommendations encompassing the role of imaging in making a diagnosis of RA, detecting inflammation and damage, predicting outcome and response to treatment, monitoring disease activity, progression and remission [40]. Prospective studies with long term follow-up and imaging as an outcome measure are needed.
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