

# Association of Duration of Digital Device Use with Commonly Reported Physical Health Symptoms among Medical Undergraduates

Akanksha Tomar\*, Bratati Banerjee and Gopal Krishna Ingle

Department of Community Medicine, Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi, India

## Abstract

**Background:** Digitalisation is now indispensable. Medical undergraduates, thought of not being much involved with technology like hard core technical streams, are rampantly using these devices, hence assessing the implications of these devices on them is necessary.

With this background we aimed to assess the association of Digital devices (computers/laptops and cell-phones) on the physical health of the users, against self-reported duration of the devices.

**Methods:** A cross sectional study was carried among medical undergraduates. Sample size was calculated to be 781. All students aged 18 years and above, were included. A pretested anonymous semi structured proforma with validated tools (Standardized Nordic Questionnaire for Musculoskeletal Symptoms, semi-structured visual questionnaire) was used. Schirmer's I test was done. Duration was considered in terms of average daily use ( $\leq 6$  hours/day or  $>6$  h/day) and past years spent using these devices ( $\leq 5$  years or  $>5$  years). Statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 17.

**Results:** Final analysis could be done on 800 participants. Musculoskeletal discomfort (MSDs) of neck (55.19%), lower back (49.55%), and upper back (31.16%) was more among frequent users, unlike shoulder (31.10%) and knee (12.53%) MSDs, reported more among those with lesser daily use. MSDs could not be attributed to past years of use. Retro-orbital pain (48.32%) was more among frequent users, while watering (59.50%) and double vision (16.74%) were frequent in those with lesser use. Tired eyes (80.28%) was more among past users, contrary, blurred vision (54.16%) was more among recent users. Duration of daily use was significantly attributing to the presence of dry eyes (47/51) while visual acuity showed no such association.

**Conclusion:** Benefit of these devices could be severely undermined if abuse and overuse are not kept in check. Physical symptoms, often determined by the ergonomic conditions, but screen time may add the personal risk.

**Keywords:** Digital device; Medical students; Physical health; Musculoskeletal disorder; Computer vision syndrome

## Introduction

Digital devices are very much a part of our daily life to the extent that even it's hard to recall when we started using them or how and when we are using those gadgets. The digital devices are the device/systems that generates and uses digital timing signals operating at greater than 9000 cycles per second (9 KHz) [1]. The current study focuses precisely on the computers, laptops and mobile phones. Health sector is not uninfluenced by these Digital gadgets and these are becoming quite inevitable. Hence it's necessary and urgent to recognize the implications of these devices on the upcoming medical fraternity, medical undergraduates and a dire need of implementing the promotive and preventive measures to tackle this.

The physical discomforts most commonly reported with the use of digital devices are musculoskeletal disorders (MSD) [2,3] and visual disorders [4]. The long periods of working at a computer can cause musculoskeletal problems and eyestrain. Intensive computer work puts stress and strain on muscles, as well as joints, because of continuous and repetitive nature of movements. Individual factors, prolonged awkward postures, poor workstation design and psycho-social environment can lead to development of symptoms of musculoskeletal disorders (MSD). If these symptoms are ignored and no preventive measures are taken, cumulative trauma disorders like myalgia, myofascial syndromes, nerve entrapment syndromes, tendonitis, epicondylitis and tenosynovitis can develop.

Visual display terminals (VDTs) cause symptoms such as eyestrain,

visual discomfort, and visual fatigue. The human eye basically prefers to look at the objects greater than 6 m away. Work done on computer demands a close-up view which strains eye muscles and thereby leads to eye fatigue. Computer vision syndrome is related to the unique aspects of the task. Working at a computer is more visually demanding than doing other standard corresponding work such as reading printed documents. Aspects of the design of the computer video display such as screen resolution and contrast, image refresh rates and flicker, and screen glare, as well as working distances and angles all may contribute to worker symptoms. On traditional LCD screens, images are represented in pixels, which are darker in the centre and become less defined on the outside. Any time one have that pixilated effect, eyes have trouble locking on and constantly re-focus. The constant straining to find focus can cause eye fatigue and poor posture, leading to tight shoulders and a sore back [5]. Hence presence of visual and MSDs symptoms are interrelated and need to be assessed together.

\*Corresponding author: Akanksha Tomar, Department of Community Medicine, Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi, India, Tel: 918376037726; E-mail: [akankshatomar6@gmail.com](mailto:akankshatomar6@gmail.com)

Received February 28, 2017; Accepted March 14, 2017; Published March 21, 2017

Citation: Tomar A, Banerjee B, Ingle GK (2017) Association of Duration of Digital Device Use with Commonly Reported Physical Health Symptoms among Medical Undergraduates. Int J Pub Health Safe 2: 121.

Copyright: © 2017 Tomar A, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Under normal circumstances, humans blink about 18 times a minute. When using cell phones and computers, we blink nearly three times less. Focusing too much while looking at the computer screen causes less blinking, this can cause uneven distribution of tear film over eye causing dry eye. Looking down while reading a book negates the reduced blinking rate but that is not the case while using the computer since the eyes must be wide open during the computer use. This can also cause the tear film to evaporate more. All these leads to complain of dry eyes [6].

### Aim

With this background the present study aimed to estimate the prevalence of the MSDs and visual discomforts among the study population and to find out the association, if any, between the duration of use of these devices, and the presence of these physical symptoms in the study population.

### Materials and Methods

It was a cross-sectional analytical study, carried out for a duration of 12 months i.e. from January to December, 2014. The study population was medical undergraduate students of the Maulana Azad Medical College located in Central Delhi. Final year students being the examinee batch were not included. All the students aged 18 years and above, who could be contacted and who gave the written informed consent to participate in the study, were included. No exclusion criteria was set up. Being the part of another study where we assessed the impact of these devices on psychosocial health of the users as well, the lowest prevalence of all the computer related health problems, included under the objectives of the study, was for mental stress 35%. With relative error of 10%, the sample size came to be 710 at 95% level of confidence. Including the nonresponse rate to be 10% the final sample size was 781. However, no sampling was done, in study all the students in the four admission batches were included, which was 1000. Final analysis could be done for 800 students.

### Study Tools

A pretested validated anonymous semi structured proforma, part of which was self-administered, was used for data collection. It had 3 parts.

#### Part 1:

Demographic information. This section assessed age, gender (male or female) and semester at the time of inclusion in the study.

#### Part 2:

Questionnaire, designed by the researcher, inquiring about the pattern of use of the devices and other relevant information. The most applicable option have to be selected amongst the given choices.

#### Part 3:

A. Standardized Nordic Questionnaire for analysis of Musculoskeletal Symptoms (NMQ): Participants were surveyed to record whether they had musculoskeletal problems (e.g., ache, pain or discomfort) for the nine regions mentioned in NMQ in the past 12 months. A participant would not meet eligibility criteria if he/she indicated that musculoskeletal symptoms resulted from a non-work related accident/trauma [7].

B. Visual questionnaire: It was a semi structured questionnaire, includes two sections concerning the intensity of ocular symptoms

and intensity of visual symptoms [8]. Symptoms were rated based on intensity ranging from 0 that is no complain to which stands for very intense symptoms [5].

### Part 4: Ocular examination:

Visual acuity-Monocular visual acuity was measured without spectacles and recorded with Snellen's chart printed in English, at distance of 6 m under normal lighting condition.

Dry eye test-Schirmer's I test was performed to measure basal and reflexive tear production in a non-anesthetized eye. Reading of  $\geq 15$  mm wetting of the paper after 5 minutes was considered as normal. Wetting of strip less than this was considered as dry eye.

### Statistical Analysis

The data collected was entered through Excel spreadsheet and was analysed using SPSS version 17. The results obtained were described by univariate tables. Means and proportions, along with confidence intervals were calculated for the outcome variables. Analytical tables were made for bivariate analysis for finding the association between the individual risk factors and each of the individual outcome variables. Data was tested for normality of distribution. Association between health conditions and device use was tested for significance by statistical tests for difference between means by Mann-Whitney U test or student t test after analysing for the distribution of the data, Chi Square test or Fisher's exact test was applied for difference in proportions, as relevant. P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

#### Description of the study participants is as follow (Table 1):

The four admission batches included in the study were contacted in the period of one year (January 2014 to December 2014), results included here shows the graduation year of the participant at the time of inclusion in the study.

Difference in MSDs' presence against the daily duration of device use shows statistically significant variation for symptoms of neck, shoulder, upper and lower back and knees, with more participants responding positive to the symptoms among those using the device for more than 6 h per day (neck 55.19%; lower back 49.55%; upper back 31.16%) except shoulder and knee discomfort where majority of the positive responders were using the device for less than or equal to 6 h a day (shoulder 31.10%; knees 12.53%). Differences in the proportions for other MSDs were not statistically significant (Table 2).

Comparing the presence of MSDs between the users with less than or equal to 5 years of use to those using it for more than five years, majority of the participants who had reported the presence of symptoms were using the device for more than 5 years but no statistical significance ( $p > 0.05$ ) can be attributed to the duration of device use in terms of years, for the presence of MSDs (Table 3).

Characteristic	Male n (%)		Female n (%)		Total n (%)	
Gender	442(55.20)		358(44.80)		800(100)	
<b>Graduation year</b>						
I	71(16.06)		129(36.03)		200(25.00)	
II	281(63.57)		136(37.99)		417(52.13)	
III	90(20.37)		93(25.98)		183(22.87)	
Age	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
	20.56	1.65	20.16	1.49	20.38	1.59
#Percent total is given column wise.						

Table 1: Description of Respondents#.

Duration	≤ 6 hours/day (N=463) n (%)	>6 hours/day (N=337) n (%)	χ <sup>2</sup> * p value	≤ 5 years (N=24) n (%)	> 5 years (N=776) n (%)	χ <sup>2</sup> * p value
Neck	222 (47.95)	186 (55.19)	4.1 0.043	10 (41.7)	398 (51.3)	0.86 0.353
Shoulder	144 (31.1)	83 (24.63)	4.02 0.045	8 (33.3)	219 (28.2)	0.3 0.584
Elbows	34 (7.34)	23 (6.82)	0.08 0.778	0 0	57 (7.3)	1.9 0.406
Wrist/hand	65 (14.04)	63 (18.69)	3.15 0.076	2 (8.3)	126 (16.2)	1.08 0.404
Upper back	61 (13.17)	105 (31.16)	38.35 0	3 (12.5)	163 (21)	1.02 0.445
Lower back	192 (41.47)	167 (49.55)	5.16 0.023	10 (41.7)	349 (45)	0.1 0.748
Hips/thighs	57 (12.31)	40 (11.87)	0.04 0.85	5 (20.8)	92 (11.9)	1.76 0.198
Knees	58 (12.53)	23 (6.82)	6.97 0.008	1 (4.2)	80 (10.3)	0.97 0.5
Ankles/feet	45 (9.72)	25 (7.42)	1.29 0.255	1 (4.2)	69 (8.9)	0.65 0.714

\*df = 1

Table 2: Analysis of MSDs against daily use of device and years spent using the device.

Symptoms	≤ 6 hours/day (N=463) n (%)	>6 hours/day (N=337) n (%)	χ <sup>2</sup> p value	≤ 5 years. (N=24) n (%)	>5 years (N=776) n (%)	χ <sup>2</sup> p value
Watering (462)	283 (59.5)	179 (55.6)	5.13 <b>0.024</b>	10 (41.7)	452 (58.2)	2.62 0.105
Dryness (233)	135 (25.6)	98 (35.5)	0.001 0.981	3 (12.5)	230 (29.6)	3.31 0.069
Itching (346)	191 (46.8)	155 (38.8)	1.79 0.181	7 (29.2)	339 (43.7)	1.99 0.157
Retro orbital pain (378)	234 (46.4)	144 (48.3)	4.77 <b>0.029</b>	11 (45.8)	367 (47.3)	0.02 0.888
Aching eye (354)	199 (44.8)	155 (43.6)	0.72 0.397	8 (33.3)	346 (44.6)	1.20 0.274
Sore eye (148)	78 (19.2)	70 (17.6)	1.99 0.158	5 (20.8)	143 (18.4)	0.09 0.789
Tired eye (635)	367 (78.1)	268 (81.1)	0.01 0.929	12 (50.0)	623 (80.3)	13.04 <b>0.001</b>
Blurred vision (241)	157 (28.05)	84 (32.68)	7.48 <b>0.006</b>	13 (54.2)	228 (29.4)	6.79 <b>0.009</b>
Double vision (109)	77 (16.74)	32 (9.78)	8.44 <b>0.004</b>	2 (8.3)	107 (13.8)	0.59 0.760

#Percent depicted is column wise.

Table 3: Analysis of visual symptoms against daily use of device and years spent using the device.

Analysing vision related symptoms against average duration of device use per day and past years spent on device, shows significant difference in the reporting of symptoms of watering, retro-orbital pain and double vision against duration of daily use, while tiredness of vision and blurred vision had significant association with past years spent facing the device.

Watering of eyes (59.50%;  $p < 0.05$ ) and double vision (16.74%;  $p < 0.01$ ) are more frequently seen in users with  $\leq 6$  h of daily use while, retro-orbital pain (48.32%;  $p < 0.05$ ) is seen more frequent among users with  $> 6$  h of daily use. Symptoms of tired eyes (80.28%;  $p < 0.01$ ) were reported more among users with more than 5 years use of devices, on the contrary blurred vision (54.16%;  $p < 0.01$ ) was reported more among recent users ( $\leq 5$  years of device use) (Table 4).

Association of visual acuity with the average daily duration of device use and duration of using screen in years, showed no significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) association between the duration of use of device and visual acuity. Among those using screen for  $> 6$  h a day, about 10% of the participants had visual acuity  $< 6/60$ . Almost all (74/75) of those with low visual acuity were using the screen for more than past 5 years but no statistical significance can be attributed to the past duration for presence of low visual acuity.

When analysed for the presence of dry eyes by Schirmer's test, against the daily duration of device use, statistically significant difference ( $p < 0.001$ ) was present between those using it for more than 6 hours against those using it less than or equal to 6 hours per day. Dry eyes were present in majority (47/51) among the users who were facing the screen for more than 6 hours a day. Comparison against the period one has faced screen in the past years (more than 5 years or  $\leq 5$  years) showed no statistical significance ( $p > 0.05$ ) between the users in the two groups.

## Discussion

The duration of device use had a significant impact on the frequency

of neck and back (upper and lower) symptoms in students using the devices for  $> 6$  hours/day in the present study. Corroborating to the findings, Shan et al. in their research on more than 3000 students, also found increased duration of device use (computer and mobile phones) to be associated with increased prevalence of neck and low back pain among the users [9]. Finding from Klussmann et al. also supports our results for neck symptoms [10]. Talwar et al. from India also had similar findings regarding MSDs with increase in reporting of symptoms as the duration of work on computer increased [5].

Hence duration of device use is a crucial factor to be supervised for restraining the MSDs although other contributory factors like body posture while use, back support, input devices (keyboard/mouse), workstation design, need to be regulated simultaneously [11,12].

Although self-reporting of dry eye symptoms did not have a significant association with the daily duration of screen use, but as evident on Schirmir's dry eye test results, dry eyes were present majority (92.16%) among the users who were facing the screen for more than 6 h a day. Findings are similar to those of Kawashima et al. from Japan who found markedly high frequency of dry eye disease (Schirmir's dry eye test) in VDT users working for more than 6 h a day on screen [13]. Shantakumari et al. also found complains of dry/tired/sore eyes to be more in students working for longer duration on computer [14]. Logaraj et al. also found significant correlation between increased hours of computer use and the symptoms redness, burning sensation, blurred vision and dry eyes [15].

As suggested by Bansal et al. accommodation and convergence responses to electronic screens appear to be similar to those found when viewing printed material, whereas prevalence of dry eye symptoms is greater during computer use. This is probably due to dynamics of the computer screen and decrease in blink rate due to constant viewing of the monitor positioned in primary gaze leading to increased corneal exposure and hence the multitude of ocular problems [16]. In addition

Duration of device use Visual acuity	≤ 6 h N=463	>6 h N=337	p value	≤ 5 years N=24	>5 years N=776	p value
< 6/60 (N=75)	42	33	0.730*	1	74	0.718**
n (%)	(9.07)	(9.79)		(4.17)	(9.54)	
≥ 6/60 (N=725)	421	304		23	702	
n (%)	-90.93	(90.21)		(95.83)	(90.46)	
Dry eye (N=51)	4	47	<0.001 <sup>®</sup>	0	51	0.393 <sup>®®</sup>
n (%)	(0.86)	(13.95)		0	(6.57)	
Normal (N=749)	459	290		24	725	
n (%)	(99.14)	(86.05)		(100)	-93.43	

**Table 4:** Analysis of Snellen's visual acuity and dry eye test against daily use (hours/day) of device and years spent using the device.

to this, we found retro-orbital pain (48.32%) to be more frequent among users with >6 h of daily use. Talwar had reported a gradual increase in visual complaints as the number of hours spent for working on computers daily, increased [5]. Agarwal et al. also found occurrence of eye strain (53.8%), itching (47.6%) and burning (66.7%) in subjects using computer for more than 6 h [4]. Hence this symptom can also be attributed to increased duration of device use.

Our findings are in conflict with others [4,5] in case of reporting of watering of eyes (59.50%) and double vision (16.74%), as these symptoms are more frequently seen in users with ≤ 6 hours of daily use of devices. Hence we would like to add that duration of use is another dominating factor associated with visual discomforts, while lighting of the work place, screen glare and use of protective optics playing the role simultaneously [17].

Digital devices (computer/laptops and cell phones) present both opportunities and challenges. The benefit could be severely undermined if abuse and overuse are not kept in check. Impact like physical discomfort may be more obvious measure of technology influence on our health, but screen time may add personal risk beyond the physical discomforts associated with the use. Some precautionary measures need to be worked out to curb the effects of duration, both as unregulated daily use as well as early initiation of use of these gadgets. Inbuilt reminders of constant blinking or to stare away from screen or to rest for a while need to be think upon by the manufacturers. As personal measure one need to be aware of the risk as well as cautious in their measures to combat the unwanted effects.

## Conclusion

It is apparent from the findings that a large percentages of the undergraduate student in medical college in our setting do suffer from physical symptoms due to overuse of these devices, and that these cannot and should not be ignored. Age at the earliest use of the devices and duration of use are identified to be important determinants of the health implications of these digital devices. These modifiable factors should be targeted for intervention.

## References

- (1993) Understanding the FCC regulations for computers and other digital devices. OET BULLETIN no. 62.
- Melrose A, Cowie H, Hutchison P, Ritchie P, Graveling R, et al. (2015) Better Display Screen Equipment (DSE) work related ill health data.
- Hales T, Sauter S, Peterson M, Fine L, Putz-Anderson V, et al. (1994) Musculoskeletal disorders among visual display terminal users in a telecommunications company. Ergonomics 37: 1603-1621.
- Agarwal S, Goel D, Sharma A (2013) Evaluation of the Factors which Contribute to the Ocular Complaints in Computer Users. J Clin Diagn Res 7: 331-335.

**Citation:** Tomar A, Banerjee B, Ingle GK (2017) Association of Duration of Digital Device Use with Commonly Reported Physical Health Symptoms among Medical Undergraduates. Int J Pub Health Safe 2: 121.

- Talwar R, Kapoor R, Puri K, Bansal K, Singh S (2009) A study of visual and musculoskeletal health disorders among computer professionals in NCR Delhi. Indian J Community Med 34: 326-328.
- Anshel J (2005) Computer Vision Syndrome. Visual ergonomics handbook. (1st edn.), Taylor and, USA Francis Group, New York.
- Kuorinka I, Jonsson B, Kilbom A, Vinterberg H, Biering-Sorensen F, et al. (1987) Standardised nordic questionnaires for the analysis of musculoskeletal symptoms. Appl Ergon 18: 233-237.
- Shankar GS, Mohamed F, Shah D (2011) Visual problems among video display terminal (VDT) users in Nepal. J Optom 4: 56-62.
- Shan Z, Deng G, Li J, Li Y, Zhang Y (2013) Correlational analysis of neck/shoulder pain and low back pain with the use of digital products, physical activity and psychological status among adolescents in Shanghai. PLoS One 8: e78109.
- Klussmann A, Gebhardt H, Liebers F, Rieger MA (2008) Musculoskeletal symptoms of the upper extremities and the neck: a cross-sectional study on prevalence and symptom-predicting factors at visual display terminal (VDT) workstations. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 9: 96.
- Tiric-Campara M, Krupic F, Biscevic M, Spahic E, Maglajlija K, et al. (2014) Occupational overuse syndrome (technological diseases): carpal tunnel syndrome, a mouse shoulder, cervical pain syndrome. Acta Infirm Med 22: 333-340.
- Paksaichol A, Janwantanakul P, Purepong N, Pensri P, vander Beek AJ (2012) Office workers' risk factors for the development of non-specific neck pain: a systematic review of prospective cohort studies. Occup Environ Med 69: 610-618.
- Kawashima M, Yamatsuji M, Yokoi N, Fukui M, Ichihashi Y, et al. (2015) Screening of dry eye disease in visual display terminal workers during occupational health examinations: the Moriguchi Study. J Occup Health 57: 253-258.
- Shantakumari N, Eldeeb R, Sreedharan J, Gopal K (2014) Computer use and vision-related problems among university students in ajman, United arab emirate. Ann Med Health Sci Res 4: 258-263.
- Logaraj M, Madhupriya V, Hegde S (2014) Computer vision syndrome and associated factors among medical and engineering students in chennai. Ann Med Health Sci Res 4: 179-185.
- Bansal Y, Moudgil T (2014) Computer Vision Syndrome. IJIRD 3: 276-279.
- Zetterberg C, Forsman M, Richter HO (2013) Effects of visually demanding near work on trapezius muscle activity. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 23: 1190-1198.

## OMICS International: Open Access Publication Benefits & Features

### Unique features:

- Increased global visibility of articles through worldwide distribution and indexing
- Showcasing recent research output in a timely and updated manner
- Special issues on the current trends of scientific research

### Special features:

- 700+ Open Access Journals
- 50,000+ editorial team
- Rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at major indexing services
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.omicsonline.org/submit/>