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Abstract

Study Background: Wrong level surgery remains one of the detrimental technical mistakes that can occur during a surgeon's career. There is a higher frequency of wrong site surgery in the lumbar spine compared to the cervical spine. The authors aim to present a localization technique designed to aid in the prevention of wrong level surgery with the use of preoperative and intraoperative localization.

Methods: We prospectively followed 240 patients who underwent less exposure surgery for the lumbar spine. We identified the correct operative level using a combination of a 22-gauge spinal needle placed under fluoroscopy in the lamina of the vertebra of the target intervertebral disc space prior to incision and then placing a hooked dilator between the spinous processes of the intervertebral space to provide actual confirmation within the operative space.

Results: Data showed that all 240 patients had surgery performed on the correct level using the described technique and the placement of the percutaneous needle assisted in minimizing the incision size to less than 5 cm for a single level fusion in all cases.

Conclusion: The described technique allows for localization prior to incision, smaller incision size, consistent and accurate localization when performing lumbar spinal surgery.
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Introduction

Wrong level spinal surgery is one of the more detrimental surgical mistakes that can be made during a surgeon's career. Wrong level surgery exposes patients to additional procedures and risk, almost certainly damages the doctor-patient relationship, and very often results in legal action [1-4]. Although the incidence is low, with rates reported in the literature ranging from 0.09 to 4.5 per 10,000 surgeries performed, it is estimated that 50% of spine surgeons will perform one wrong level procedure in their career [3,5]. As a result, any additions to the armamentarium of techniques aiming to prevent wrong level surgery are welcome and encouraged. Normal anatomical variations in the spine can increase the chance of wrong level surgery, making proper localization crucially important. In particular, the presence of lumbosacral transitional vertebrae may complicate lumbar spinal procedures by making it difficult to correctly identify the proper level while in the operating theatre [6]. Effective use of intraoperative spine level localization techniques, along with careful review of preoperative imaging, allows the surgeon to correctly identify the proper spinal level even when anatomical variations are present [7-9]. Ebraheim et al., investigated and compared the accuracy of identifying wrong level decompression and fusion levels with the use of direct observation and palpation of the anatomic landmarks, versus intraoperative lateral radiography. The authors studied eighty patients and concluded that direct observation and palpation was not a reliable method to localize lumbar fusion levels with only 95% accuracy [7]. Many techniques designed to prevent wrong level surgery have already been presented in the literature, as numerous authors have outlined and shared their surgical techniques designed to reduce the chance of wrong level surgery [1,5-9,19]. Additionally, both the North American Spine Societies as well as the Joint Commissions on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations have established guidelines to be followed during surgery in order to prevent wrong level procedures [20]. However, a thorough search of the literature revealed no reports that utilized our localization technique of using a spinal needle fixed in the lamina of the vertebra above the target disc space, followed by insertion of a dilator prior to decompression. It has already been demonstrated in a previous study, which this technique proved successful in localization for three patients undergoing spinal surgery in the thoracic spine [21]. Herewith, we present our technique that has been shown to be accurate in correctly identifying the target spinal level in 240 lumbar procedures.

Methods

We conducted a retrospective analysis of patients who underwent less exposure lumbar spine surgery performed by a single senior surgeon in six different surgical facilities. A total of 240adult patients underwent lumbar spine surgery using this localization technique. IRB approval was obtained for the study for our institution.

Technique Description

The patients were prepared and positioned in the standard manner on the operating table. In order to properly visualize the target spinal...
level, fluoroscopic anteroposterior and lateral views were obtained of the lower lumbar spine with the lumbosacral junction in view. A 22-gauge spinal needle was then placed in the lamina of the superior vertebra of the target intervertebral disc space [IDS], followed by fluoroscopic confirmation (Figures 1 and 2). This needle was kept in place after the incision was made in order to maintain our location when the spine was exposed. The target level minimal exposure incision was made and then minimal dissection to the level of the spinous processes. A hooked dilator was placed between the superior and inferior spinous processes of the target IDS, followed by confirmation on fluoroscopy (Figures 3-5). Once the target location was confirmed, the specific procedures required for each patient at that spinal level could then proceed.

Results

Correctly placed instrumentation was confirmed with postoperative imaging for all 240 patients (Table 1). Data obtained from patients showed that all procedures were performed at the correct level using the described technique. Of note, 48 patients (20%) were found to have lumbosacral transitional vertebrae (LSTV) on preoperative imaging.
Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. patients</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% patients</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Levels = number spinal levels operated on for each patient.

**Table 1:** Breakdown of Procedural Levels (n=240).

**Discussion**

The majority of wrong level spine surgery occurs in the lumbar spine with reports has high as 71% [3,22]. Prevention training of residents and fellows is of utmost importance as demonstrated by Mesfin et al. [23]. Although various techniques have previously been described to help to reduce the risk of this occurrence [9,10], it is important to share new, successful techniques aimed toward decreasing the incidence of wrong level spine surgery. Surgeons should develop a standard practice and protocol to prevent wrong level surgery [24]. The aim of this technique was to prevent wrong level spine surgery. It is important to highlight the benefits of this simple technique. Initial identification of operative level prior to incision with confirmation using fluoroscopy. Needle can be left in place or repositioned to confirm level in both open and percutaneous procedures. The 22-gauge spinal needle is readable available in all operating rooms establishing our technique as a convenient option to ensure patient safety without having to purchase additional instruments. In performing spine level localization prior to incision, the size of incision will invariably be smaller and localized to affected level.

One limitation of this technique arises in patients with lumbosacral abnormalities. Due to the limited field of view of intraoperative fluoroscopic images, it is difficult to correctly identify the proper spinal level when these transitional vertebrae are present. The prevalence of these transitional vertebrae has been reported to vary widely, with prevalence rates ranging from 4% to 35% [6,25], with the most common variant Castellvi Type 1A [25]. Thorough review of the preoperative imaging helped to identify these abnormalities, as was done with 48 patients found to have LSTV after which, we planned the surgical procedure accordingly. As with all other localization techniques, it is important to use multiple strategies to accurately identify the correct level in spinal surgery. Additional research is necessary to fully assess the use of this technique for spinal surgery on other regions of the spine. Herewith, we presented a technique for localization during lumbar spine surgery procedures to be used addition to standard preoperative protocols. Advantages include a cost effective and easily accessible instrument, potential for reduction in open surgery times, continuous localization and visualization during the procedure, and ultimately reduced risk of patient morbidity. This technique assures the surgeon that lumbar spinal surgery can be consistently performed on the correct spinal level.
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