

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.



ISSN: 2329-6917

Journal of Leukemia

**The International Open Access
Journal of Leukemia**

Executive Editors

Zeev Estrov
University of Texas, USA

Elizabeth Scott Raveche
UMDNJ-New Jersey Medical School, USA

Hatem E.Sabaawy
The Cancer Institute of New Jersey, USA

Jehad Adwan
University of Minnesota School of Nursing, USA

Pearlly S. Yan
The Ohio State University, USA

Available online at: OMICS Publishing Group (www.omicsonline.org)

This article was originally published in a journal by OMICS Publishing Group, and the attached copy is provided by OMICS Publishing Group for the author's benefit and for the benefit of the author's institution, for commercial/research/educational use including without limitation use in instruction at your institution, sending it to specific colleagues that you know, and providing a copy to your institution's administrator.

All other uses, reproduction and distribution, including without limitation commercial reprints, selling or licensing copies or access, or posting on open internet sites, your personal or institution's website or repository, are requested to cite properly.

Digital Object Identifier: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2329-6917.1000121>

Barriers to Proceeding to Reduced-Intensity Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplant in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia

Samantha M Jagłowski¹, Susan Geyer¹, Nyla A Heerema², Patrick Elder¹, Diane Scholl¹, John C Byrd^{1,3}, Steven M Devine¹ and Leslie Andritsos¹

¹Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

²Division of Cytogenetics, Department of Pathology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

³Division of Medicinal Chemistry, Department of Pharmacy, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

Abstract

To identify potential barriers to reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) allogeneic stem cell transplantation (ASCT) in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) we performed a retrospective review of patients referred for transplant consultation at our center. Of the 209 patients evaluated, a substantial proportion of patients who were appropriate candidates for RIC-ASCT were unable to attain disease control to proceed (18.3%) with this therapy. Fludarabine resistance at the time of consultation ($p=0.026$) and the presence of complex karyotype on metaphase cytogenetics ($p=0.048$) were observed more frequently among patients unable to receive a transplant, suggesting that the timing of transplant evaluation earlier in the course of treatment for high risk genomic patients is critical for being able to incorporate this treatment modality.

Keywords: Transplant; Chronic lymphocytic leukemia; Cytogenetics

Introduction

Chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) is the most common hematologic malignancy in the Western world, representing 30% of leukemias [1]. Utilization of new combinations of chemotherapeutic agents, as well as the introduction of biologic agents, and the identification of prognostic markers that have led to better risk stratification and more tailored treatments have led to longer remissions, but CLL is still considered incurable outside the transplant setting [2]. Treatment for young and otherwise healthy patients has traditionally involved fludarabine, typically in combination with other agents [3]. A comprehensive assessment of certain genetic and molecular markers, including fluorescent in-situ hybridization (FISH) has shown that outcomes of fludarabine-based therapies can be predicted by these markers, and that alternative therapies should be considered in certain patients with high risk markers, including del (17p13.1) and del (11q22.3) [4]. Reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) allogeneic stem cell transplant (ASCT) is one such option. In spite of RIC ASCT being the only potentially curative option available for CLL, relatively few patients who are referred for transplant evaluation eventually go on to receive one. According to our institutional data, approximately 15-20 percent of patients who are referred for transplant ultimately receive one. The purpose of this study was to quantify how many patients are evaluated versus how many eventually receive a transplant, to evaluate differences between patients who received a transplant and those who did not, and to attempt to elucidate why this therapeutic option is not pursued more frequently.

Methods

A retrospective review of patient records for all patients referred for a transplant for CLL from 2003-2009 was performed after obtaining approval from the Ohio State University Institutional Review Board. Patients were divided into two groups: those who went on to transplant, and those who did not. Several patient characteristics, including age at consult, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status, gender, indications for transplant, disease stage at evaluation, number of previous treatments, time from diagnosis to transplant referral, response to salvage chemotherapy after transplant referral, cytogenetics, and availability of a donor, were evaluated. Information

regarding the variables listed above was gathered from a review of two electronic medical records databases, E-Results and Trans Chart; information evaluated included notes from office visits and documented communication with the transplant coordinators. Data were summarized using descriptive statistics (e.g. mean, median, range) and explored graphically. Differences in factors between groups were evaluated using nonparametric approaches such as Fisher's exact tests (categorical data) and Wilcoxon rank sum tests (continuous data). Univariate and multivariable logistic regression models were utilized to explore significant factors for the ability to make it to transplant. An all subsets regression approach was used to assess the best multivariable model for transplant incidence. Given that these analyses were largely hypothesis-generating, we did not correct for multiple comparisons and statistical significance was declared at $p<0.05$. All analyses were conducted in the R statistical program (v. 2.11.1).

Results

From January 2003 to August 2009, 209 patients with CLL were referred to our center for a transplant evaluation. Of those, 34 (16.3%) ultimately underwent transplantation. For patients who did not receive a transplant, it was not indicated according to standard of care at the time of consult in 37 patients (21.1%), and for 32 patients (18.3%), transplant was indicated but patients were unable to obtain disease control in order to proceed. Twenty patients (9.6%) were awaiting transplant at the time of analysis and were excluded from comparisons described below. The remainder of outcomes is summarized in Table 1.

***Corresponding author:** Samantha M Jagłowski, MD, A352 Starling-Loving Hall, 320 W. 10th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210, USA, Tel: 614-688-7942; E-mail: samantha.jagłowski@osumc.edu

Received July 11, 2013; **Accepted** August 30, 2013; **Published** September 02, 2013

Citation: Jagłowski SM, Geyer S, Heerema NA, Elder P, Scholl D, et al. (2013) Barriers to Proceeding to Reduced-Intensity Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplant in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. J Leuk 1: 121. doi:[10.4172/2329-6917.1000121](http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2329-6917.1000121)

Copyright: © 2013 Jagłowski SM, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

The differences between patients who went on to transplant and those who could not obtain remission were analyzed more closely. The median age at the time of transplant consultation in the group who received a transplant was 55 years (range: 35 to 69 years), and the median age in the group that could not obtain remission was 58 (range: 29 to 70 years). The group that could not be transplanted received a median of 2.5 prior treatment regimens before transplant evaluation (range: 0-7 treatments), compared to a median of 2 prior treatment regimens (range: 0-6 treatments) in those who were able to go on to transplant ($p=0.099$). Twelve patients in the transplant group (35.5%) had fludarabine-resistant disease at the time of consultation in contrast to 21 patients (65.2%) who could not be transplanted ($p=0.026$). Of the 34 patients who underwent transplantation, 12 had a complex karyotype (≥ 3 cytogenetic abnormalities on metaphase analysis) and 22 had 0 to 2 karyotypic abnormalities. This contrasts with the 31 patients with evaluable metaphase cytogenetics who could not attain remission: 19 had a complex karyotype and 12 did not ($p=0.048$). If we looked at this as a continuous measure, the median number of karyotypic abnormalities in subjects unable to go to transplant was 4 (range: 0 to 6) vs. a median of 2 karyotypic abnormalities in those who went on to transplant (range: 0 to 6). When interphase cytogenetic abnormalities were further analyzed, notable differences were observed based on presence of high-risk cytogenetic abnormalities [del(17p13.1) or del(11q22.3)], but these differences were not statistically significant; this could be a result of the limited number of subjects. Of interest, we did observe a significant differential in del(17p13.1) chromosomal anomalies in a patient examining this as a continuous variable, where the median was 2%, which is considered normal (range: 0-94.5%) in those going on to transplant vs. about 22%, which is considered positive (range: 0-100%) in those who were unable to be transplanted ($p=0.0477$) (Figure 1).

In addition to these markers, we found that ECOG performance status was significantly associated with ability to go on to transplant ($p=0.039$). Receipt of 4 or more prior therapies versus 3 or fewer was also a significant factor ($p=0.02$). These factors were also significant in univariate logistic regression models along with the previously discussed factors of interest [% del(17p13.1)], fludarabine refractoriness, and presence of complex karyotype). Other clinical factors such as age, gender, and stage (actual as well as low vs. high) were not statistically significantly related to ability to go on to transplant. An all subsets approach yielded a multivariable model with factors including performance status ($p=0.03$), % del(17p13.1) ($p=0.029$), and history of 4+ prior treatment regimens ($p=0.017$); while this was considered




Figure 1: Boxplots comparing the percentage of del(17p) among patients who could not proceed with transplant compared with those who did. The median percentage of del(17p) was 22% among patients who could not proceed with transplant, compared with a median of 2% among patients who did ($p=0.0477$).

the best-fitting model, there was still considerable variability that was not explained, indicating that larger studies that can explore other potential factors are required to better understand and predict a CLL patient's ability to go on to receive a transplant.

Discussion

RIC-ASCT was introduced as a way to minimize the toxicity of transplant but preserve the graft-versus-leukemia effect. Long-term disease-free survival periods are being reported, even in patients with high-risk features such as IGHV unmutated disease or del(17p13.1) [5-8]. At our center, the majority of patients who did not undergo transplantation did not have an indication for transplant at the time of evaluation, which, in many cases, reflected previous standards of care prior to the understanding of the poor prognosis of patients with high-risk karyotypes and fludarabine-refractory CLL. An inability to obtain disease control in order to proceed to transplantation was the second most common reason transplantation was deferred. These data reflect the difficulty in some cases of determining the appropriate timing for allogeneic transplantation in CLL and the risk of delaying a transplant referral in patients with high-risk disease. Currently, the presence of del(17p13.1) is the only cytogenetic indication for transplant in first remission in patients with CLL. Purine-analog resistance is included among the criteria, and its importance is underlined by the relative inability of this population of patients to mount an adequate response to salvage treatment to go on to transplant [9]. Additionally, the presence of complex karyotype on metaphase cytogenetics may be an important factor in predicting whether a patient will have a sufficient response to salvage therapy to undergo subsequent allogeneic SCT [10]. Clonal evolution, the acquisition of new karyotypic abnormalities during the disease course, has been associated with resistance to therapy and shortened survival, and it is observed almost exclusively in

Outcome	Number (percent)
Not indicated	37 (17.7)
Transplanted	34 (16.3)
Unable to obtain remission	32 (15.3)
Awaiting transplant	20 (9.6)
Patient declined	19 (9.1)
James not approved by insurance	18 (8.6)
No transplant evaluation	13 (6.2)
Went elsewhere	12 (5.7)
Contraindicated	7 (3.3)
No donor	7 (3.3)
Died between remission and transplant	5 (2.4)
Transplant denied by insurance	3 (1.4)
Indicated but lost to followup	2 (1)
Total	209

Table 1: Summary of outcomes of transplant referral.

patients with unmutated IGHV [11,12]. The propensity towards clonal evolution, as defined by IGHV mutation status, may play a key role in determining which patients should be evaluated for transplant earlier in their disease course, particularly since allogeneic transplant has been demonstrated to overcome the adverse prognostic effect associated with unmutated IGHV, although data that our group has published suggests that it may not overcome the adverse effect associated with complex karyotype [13,14]. The significance of fludarabine resistance at the time of transplant evaluation with respect to the ability to subsequently go on to transplant underscores the need to continue to search for more effective salvage therapies in CLL. Notably, this study was performed from 2003-2009, before clinical trials with novel therapeutic agents, such as ibrutinib or GS1101, were available. The clinical successes of these agents further complicate the decision of when to refer a patient for a transplant [15,16]. Nonetheless, appropriate timing of transplant referral and availability of effective salvage therapy are critical to the successful long-term management of patients with fludarabine-refractory CLL.

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by The Leukemia and Lymphoma Society, the National Cancer Institute (P50 CA140158, PO1 CA95426, PO1 CA81534, 1K12 CA133250), Mr. and Mrs. Michael Thomas, The Harry Mangurian Foundation and The D. Warren Brown Foundation.

Author Contributions

SJ and LA conceived, wrote and edited the manuscript. SG provided statistical support. NH performed all karyotype analyses. PE and DS provided data management support. JB and SD edited the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript and provided final approval.

References

- O'Brien S, del Giglio A, Keating M (1995) Advances in the biology and treatment of B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood* 85: 307-318.
- Zenz T, Mertens D, Küppers R, Döhner H, Stilgenbauer S (2010) From pathogenesis to treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Nat Rev Cancer* 10: 37-50.
- Ricci F, Tedeschi A, Morra E, Montillo M (2009) Fludarabine in the treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: a review. *Ther Clin Risk Manag* 5: 187-207.
- Grever MR, Lucas DM, Dewald GW, Neuberger DS, Reed JC, et al. (2007) Comprehensive assessment of genetic and molecular features predicting outcome in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia: results from the US Intergroup Phase III Trial E2997. *J Clin Oncol* 25: 799-804.
- Ritgen M, Stilgenbauer S, von Neuhoff N, Humpe A, Brüggemann M, et al. (2004) Graft-versus-leukemia activity may overcome therapeutic resistance of chronic lymphocytic leukemia with unmutated immunoglobulin variable heavy-chain gene status: implications of minimal residual disease measurement with quantitative PCR. *Blood* 104: 2600-2602.
- Caballero D, García-Marco JA, Martino R, Mateos V, Ribera JM, et al. (2005) Allogeneic transplant with reduced intensity conditioning regimens may overcome the poor prognosis of B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia with unmutated immunoglobulin variable heavy-chain gene and chromosomal abnormalities (11q- and 17p-). *Clin Cancer Res* 11: 7757-7763.
- Schetelig J, van Biezen A, Brand R, Caballero D, Martino R, et al. (2008) Allogeneic hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation for chronic lymphocytic leukemia with 17p deletion: a retrospective European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 26: 5094-100.
- Dreger P, Döhner H, Ritgen M, Bottcher S, Busch R, et al. (2010) Allogeneic stem cell transplantation provides durable disease control in poor-risk chronic lymphocytic leukemia: long-term clinical and MRD results of the German CLL Study Group CLL3X trial. *Blood* 116: 2438-2447.
- Stilgenbauer S, Zenz T (2010) Understanding and managing ultra high-risk chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program* 2010: 481-488.
- Woyach JA, Lozanski G, Ruppert AS, Lozanski A, Blum KA, et al. (2012) Outcome of patients with relapsed or refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia treated with flavopiridol: impact of genetic features. *Leukemia* 26: 1442-1444.
- Shanafelt TD, Witzig TE, Fink SR, Jenkins RB, Paternoster SF, et al. (2006) Prospective evaluation of clonal evolution during long-term follow-up of patients with untreated early-stage chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 24: 4634-4641.
- Stilgenbauer S, Sander S, Bullinger L, Benner A, Leupolt E, et al. (2007) Clonal evolution in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: acquisition of high-risk genomic aberrations associated with unmutated VH, resistance to therapy, and short survival. *Haematologica* 92: 1242-1245.
- Moreno C, Villamor N, Colomer D, Esteve J, Martino R, et al. (2005) Allogeneic stem-cell transplantation may overcome the adverse prognosis of unmutated VH gene in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 23: 3433-3438.
- Jaglowski SM, Ruppert AS, Heerema NA, Bingman A, Flynn JM, et al. (2012) Complex karyotype predicts for inferior outcomes following reduced-intensity conditioning allogeneic transplant for chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Br J Haematol* 159: 82-87.
- Byrd JC, Furman RR, Coutre S, Flinn IW, Burger JA, et al. (2012) The Bruton's Tyrosine Kinase (BTK) Inhibitor Ibrutinib (PCI-32765) Promotes High Response Rate, Durable Remissions, and Is Tolerable in Treatment Naive (TN) and Relapsed or Refractory (RR) Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL) or Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma (SLL) Patients Including Patients with High-Risk (HR) Disease: New and Updated Results of 116 Patients in a Phase Ib/II Study. *ASH Annual Meeting* 120: 189.
- Furman RR, Barrientos JC, Sharman JP, De Vos S, Leonard J, et al. (2012) A phase I/II study of the selective phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-delta (PI3K{delta}) inhibitor, GS-1101 (CAL-101), with ofatumumab in patients with previously treated chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). *ASCO Meeting* 30: 6518.

Citation: Jaglowski SM, Geyer S, Heerema NA, Elder P, Scholl D, et al. (2013) Barriers to Proceeding to Reduced-Intensity Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplant in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. *J Leuk* 1: 121. doi:10.4172/2329-6917.1000121

Submit your next manuscript and get advantages of OMICS Group submissions

Unique features:

- User friendly/feasible website-translation of your paper to 50 world's leading languages
- Audio Version of published paper
- Digital articles to share and explore

Special features:

- 250 Open Access Journals
- 20,000 editorial team
- 21 days rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at PubMed (partial), Scopus, EBSCO, Index Copernicus and Google Scholar etc
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.omicsonline.org/submit>