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Abstract

Introduction: Hypertension, suffered by 35% of the population, stands out as the main risk factor for cardiovascular disorders with the highest death rate worldwide. Only a small number of patients with hypertension gets efficient control over blood pressure (BP) with appropriate drug therapy. Pharmacogenetics, as a tool to identify antihypertensive therapeutic response-associated polymorphisms, could help to reduce this problem.

Objectives: We present here an epidemiological study of the prevalence of hypertension and its pharmacological treatment to demonstrate the error rate that physicians can commit when the patient’s pharmacogenetic profile is unknown.

Method: The sample consisted of 1115 individuals of which 332 met criteria for hypertension. We recorded each patient’s drug prescription prior to their visit to EuroEspes Biomedical Research Center, and analyzed their pharmacogenetic profile.

Results: About 30% of patients were hypertensive, of whom only 40.4% were receiving an active ingredient for hypertension control. Among them, CYP3A4/5 and CYP2C9 were the major metabolizing enzymes. Antagonists of angiotensin II receptors, followed by calcium-blocking agents and beta-adrenergic antagonists were the most commonly-prescribed drug categories. However, 61% of hypertensive patients were not taking suitable antihypertensive agents for their metabolism according to their genetic idiosyncrasy. Furthermore, the highest error rate was determined for CYP2C9.

Conclusion: The introduction of changes in the management of hypertension in the Spanish population could be useful to promote the prevention and treatment of high blood pressure in a more efficient way. The integration of pharmacogenetic testing into routine clinical procedures could optimize the therapeutic response, guiding the physician in the choice of the correct antihypertensive drug and the correct dose. The control of BP arises as an area of particular interest in assessing the validity and utility of pharmacogenetic testing/intervention.
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Introduction

The increase in heart disease, which has now taken the lead among the pathologies with the greatest morbidity-mortality on an international level [1] has made the search for solutions to minimize its risk factors a matter of the highest priority. Among these, arterial hypertension (AHT) is considered to be one of the health conditions which increase to a greater extent the probability of suffering an acute cardiovascular event [2]. High blood pressure is present in approximately 69% of patients with a first myocardial infarction, in nearly 77% of patients with a first stroke, in approximately 74% of patients with congestive heart failure [3], and it is responsible for one in six deaths, with one in three adults suffering from hypertension [4]. Although over the last years there has been in high-income countries an increase in insight, and attempts to regulate this disorder, healthcare is still far from reaching optimal levels in this area [5,6], given the high worldwide prevalence which, even in this type of population where the frequency is lower, reaches 35% [7]. More specifically, the data obtained by the United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), carried out between 2009 and 2010 by the National Center for Health Statistics, revealed that approximately 30% of the American population suffered arterial hypertension, of whom only 81.9% were aware of their condition, 76.4% were following related pharmacological treatment, and only 53.3% of cases were achieving adequate control of the same [8]. The high prevalence of undiagnosed and uncontrolled hypertension makes its therapeutic approach a medical challenge, enhanced by the scarcity and inspecificity of the clinical manifestations of AHT (which have conferred the name of “silent killer” on this disorder), the customary absence of complete efficacy of the pharmacotherapy employed for its control [9,10], and lack of adherence due to the possible adverse reactions to the active ingredients employed [11].

This alarming situation, linked to a great extent with the pharmacological treatment of this disorder of a habitually chronic nature, augments the need to take caution to extremes when prescribing antihypertensives, and encouraging strict adjustment to prescriptive
quality criteria. The construct “appropriateness prescription” has been defined as a pharmacological pattern which is rational, evidence-based, complete, and able to improve the health outcomes of the patient treated [12]. Some of the foremost markers of prescriptive quality are as follows: (a) The suitability of the choice of active ingredient, dosage, frequency, route of administration and duration of the treatment, (b) the strict consideration of possible drug interactions and adverse reactions, and (c) the avoidance of duplication of unnecessary medicines [12-15], non-compliance with these representing a possible serious risk for the safety, well-being and daily performance of the patients [16]. However, there exists empirical evidence of a considerable occurrence of error/unsuitability in the prescription of drugs in general, and of antihypertensives in particular [17-19].

Al Khaja et al. [20] performed a retrospective study in which they examined 2773 prescriptions of cardiovascular and antidiabetic agents issued in 20 health centers in Bahrain, and found that around 26% of the pharmacological patterns prescribed did not fulfill some criterion of prescriptive quality. The most common errors consisted of the prescription of multiple antihypertensives with a similar mechanism of action, and the choice of unsuitable dosage. Likewise, epidemiological studies performed among various populations revealed a considerable incidence of adverse effects related to the taking of antihypertensives [21,22] and a considerable interindividual heterogeneity in the efficacy of these drugs [23,24].

In this context, there arises a need to introduce changes in the approach to AHT, directed toward the adoption of models embracing, in addition to the arterial pressure values, a greater number of factors of a different nature (psychological, behavioral, physiological, genetic...) which are involved not only in our susceptibility to the syndrome but also in the modulation of the effects of its treatment.

Pharmacogenetics, an encouraging clinical approach included within this new comprehensive paradigm, has emerged to search for heredobiological variables (generally genetic polymorphisms) as markers to predict individual response to drugs [25]. The application of pharmacogenetic knowledge to antihypertensive prescription strategies might bring about an optimization of therapeutic efficacy, based on the personalization of pharmacological treatment [26,27]. However, the complexity of hypertension and its diverse clinical profiles, and also the range of existing antihypertensive drug categories [28] make necessary the consideration of five possible ways in which the genetic polymorphism may influence drug response: (a) Through genes that are implicated in the pathogenesis of hypertension and are able to modify the effects of drugs, (b) through variations in drug-gene mechanistic interactions, (c) through polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing enzymes; (d) through genes associated with drug transporters; and (e) through pleiotropic genes involved in multifaceted cascades and metabolic reactions [29]. Of all these sources of genetic variations with influence on the reaction to drugs, the typical pharmacogenetic investigation has been focused on the analysis of genes encoding enzymes responsible for Phase I and Phase II reactions in drug metabolism, especially genes of the cytochrome P450 (CYP) family [29-31]. Thus, knowledge of these genes has progressed at a spectacular pace over the last 25 years, achieving an extensive characterization of their allelic variants and increased identification of the specific isoforms involved in drug metabolism [32,33], which endows this superfamily with potential clinical relevance. Several first-line antihypertensive drugs, including calcium channel blockers, β-adrenergic blockers, angiotensin-II receptor blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and diuretics, undergo metabolism through different CYP isoforms [34-37], especially by the isoenzymes CYP2D6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19 and CYP3A4/5, which are the most significant in the patient’s response to the drug [37,38] (Table 1).

Along these lines, it is hoped that routine consideration in clinical practice of the existing knowledge of the phenotypes underlying enzyme activity which play a critical role in the metabolism of antihypertensives, together with a conscientious effort in the search for and the complete identification of other genetic polymorphisms responsible for part of the variability in response to these agents, will provide a trustworthy tool to guide the physician in the choice of active ingredients and optimal dosage, thus reducing ostensibly the problems encountered at present regarding the pharmacological safety and therapeutic inefficacy of AHT.

However, although there have been significant breakthroughs in cardiovascular pharmacogenetic research in general [37-39] and in the metabolism of antihypertensives in particular [32,36], which hint at the preventive and predictive potential of personalized pharmacotherapy, the current state of clinical research and implementation is still in a preliminary stage [40]. In view of this, the need arises to carry out exploratory studies to direct us toward the areas where the robust assessment of the viability of pharmacogenetic profiles as therapeutic guidelines is a high priority.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CYP2C9</th>
<th>CYP2C19</th>
<th>CYP2D6</th>
<th>CYP3A4/5</th>
<th>Other CYP-route</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amlopidine</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betaxolol</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisoprolol</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candesartan</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captopril</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvedilol</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorothiazide</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diltiazem</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxazosin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enalapril</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eplerenone</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felodipine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanabenz</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrochlorothiazide</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indapamide</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irbesartan</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isradipine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losartan</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebivolol</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicardipine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nifedipine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nimodipine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nisoldipine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmesartan</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propranolol</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinapril</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramipril</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timolol</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torsemide</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triamterene</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valsartan</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verapamil</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Antihypertensive drugs substrates of CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, CYP3A4/5 [35].
Aims

Given the alarming figures depicted in the international bibliography regarding the detection and appropriate control of AHT, we undertook, as the general aim of this research, the analysis of the pharmacoepidemiology of the patient population suffering this pathology and attending the EuroEspes Biomedical Research Center (CIBE), an institution specializing in Genomic Medicine and Pharmacogenomics, in an attempt to present an updated panorama of AHT pharmacotherapy in Spain, as well as quantifying the incidence of sub-optimal pharmacological treatments for AHT caused by prescriptive models based solely on clinical signs. With this approach, and with no intention of establishing causal inferences, we sought to substantiate the magnitude of the potential impact that knowledge of the pharmacogenetic profile for antihypertensive metabolism might have on prescription of the same.

Specifically, we established the following aims: (a) to identify the prevalence of AHT in the population of newly-attending patients of the CIBE over the last years, (b) to detect the percentage of untreated AHT cases attending the Center, (c) to discover the pharmacological prescription pattern for AHT in the Spanish population over the last years, and (d) to assess the error rate in the patterns of antihypertensive use caused by unawareness of the patients’ pharmacogenetic profiles.

Participants and Method

The population studied was comprised of 1115 patients who visited the CIBE between 2008 and 2012. From this total, a sample of 332 patients was selected by discretionary sampling based on diagnostic criteria for AHT. In an attempt to avoid possible false negatives, on the basis of the demographic and also clinical heterogeneity of the patients included in the study, as well as the extensive experience of this Medical Center in the assessment of AHT in this patient profile, it was decided to apply a slightly stricter cut-off point than the standard proposed by the principal international guides for the treatment of AHT. Hypertensive patients were defined as those over 30 years of age and with a systolic BP>150 mmHg and/or diastolic BP>85 mmHg.

As a part of the clinical interview, the pharmacological pattern prescribed for each patient prior to their visit to the CIBE was noted, and as part of the medical protocol, variations in DNA sequences corresponding to four monooxygenases (CYP2D6, CYP2C19, CYP2C9 and CYP3A4/5) were analyzed so as to identify the phenotypic profile of each individual in drug metabolism (UM: ultra-rapid metabolizers; EM: extensive metabolizers; IM: intermediate metabolizers; PM: poor metabolizers). The decision to analyze these particular isoenzymes was based on their involvement in the metabolism of the 200 currently most-prescribed drugs; first-choice antihypertensives being among these [41,42], and a greater clinical implementation of the analysis of these genetic variants in the population studied. DNA was extracted from peripheral blood mononuclear cells and 25 ng of genomic DNA from each subject was used for each multiplex SNP genotyping assay. The SNP markers were genotyped by allele-specific amplification, using probes anchored in OpenArray® DNA microarrays and real-time detection of the binding by fluorescence (RT-PCR).

The study of the enzymatic metabolization routes of each of the drugs prescribed in our sample showed that 78.95% of the active ingredients of the main pharmacological categories most commonly prescribed in case studies (Table 2) we find principally angiotensin-II receptor antagonists (AIIRA), followed by calcium channel blocking agents and β-adrenergic antagonists. In combined pharmacological treatment, of note is the association of any of the active ingredients of the main therapeutic groups with the diuretic hydrochlorothiazide, particularly their combination with beta-blockers. However, a prevalence of monotherapy (77.3%), compared with polypharmacy (21.3%) was observed in antihypertensive prescription practice.

On this basis, any prescription for antihypertensives metabolized by genes with anomalous alleles was considered to be unsuitable; that is, the prescription of an active ingredient for a particular patient whose phenotype of the gene undertaking the metabolism of said drug was categorized as UM, IM or PM, was considered to be an error.

On the basis of this information a database was generated, processed by the SPSS 16.0 software, with which a calculation was made of the frequencies and percentages of the different variables analyzed in our study.

Results

Of the initial population, consisting of 1115 patients with an average age of 48 years (SD: 21), and with similar gender-related proportions (48.9% women and 51.1% men), 29.76% of the individuals fulfilled the criteria proposed for the diagnosis of AHT. This sub-sample of hypertensive patients presented an average age of 65 years (SD: 13.27), a slight predominance of the female gender (54.5%) over the male (45.5%), and a high body mass index (BMI), with an average figure of 29 kg/m²; 83.2% of these patients being in the category of overweightness, of whom 35.2% reached the category of obesity.

The description of the pharmacogenomic characteristics analyzed in the sample with AHT is portrayed in Figure 1. Of note is a smaller proportion of normal-metabolizer phenotypes associated with the CYP2D6 and CYP2C9 genes, with figures of 55.4% and 60.4% respectively, compared with the distribution of non-anomalous allele frequencies in CYP2C19 (EM: 74.9%) and CYP3A4/5 (EM: 79.56%).

Of the total of the hypertensive population studied, only 40.4% were undergoing treatment to control AHT prior to their visit to the CIBE. The group of untreated patients presented an average Systolic BP value of 152.7 mmHg (SD: 16.9) and an average Diastolic BP value of 88.01 mmHg (SD: 9.5); Isolated Systolic Hypertension (ISH) was found in 22.7 % of cases, and Isolated Diastolic Hypertension (IDH) was found in 39.9 % of cases.

Among the pharmacological categories most commonly prescribed, of note is the association of any of the active ingredients of the main therapeutic groups with the diuretic hydrochlorothiazide, particularly their combination with beta-blockers. However, a prevalence of monotherapy (77.3%), compared with polypharmacy (21.3%) was observed in antihypertensive prescription practice.

The study of the enzymatic metabolization routes of each of the drugs prescribed in our sample showed that 78.95% of the active ingredients prescribed were metabolized via the principal genes of the CYP family, CYP2C9 and CYP3A4/5 being of particular significance (Figure 2).
Furthermore, taking into account only the pharmacogenetic analysis of those hypertensive patients with prior treatment of drugs metabolized by one of the principal CYP genes, and excluding the enzymatic transformations carried out by other genetic pathways, a significant error rate of 61.21% was discovered in pharmacological patterns established by the traditional trial-and-error method (Figure 3).

The distribution of said error according to each of the CYP genes examined may be seen in Figure 4.

Discussion

Our investigation revealed a high prevalence of AHT in the Spanish population, reaching almost 30% of participants over 30 years of age. Similar results appear repeatedly in publications on the epidemiology of this pathology in Spain [43-45] and in other developed countries [46]. However, the alarming magnitude of this problem is not exclusively limited to the frequency of the appearance of this syndrome but, among other aspects, the high regularity with which the disorder remains undetected or simply is not pharmacologically treated. Therapeutic analysis of the sample analyzed revealed that almost 60% of patients with AHT were not taking any treatment to lower their blood pressure (BP). Prior nationwide studies described more favorable ratios in the use of pharmacotherapy [44,47], probably explained by the consideration of a higher threshold for the detection of AHT. However, in any case it is fitting to mention that a slight reduction in pharmacological infra-management of AHT has been experienced over the last decade [45], favored by a greater familiarity with and consciousness of the problem within the healthcare sector, a result of, among other aspects, new health policies implemented in developed countries, and the greater evidence of clinical efficacy of the active ingredients available [7]. However, the figures obtained are still far from the desired results depending upon different approaches to AHT treatment [48-50]. This might explain the absence of pharmacological indications for controlling a significant number of patients with high diastolic BP values. Notwithstanding, given that IDH conditions frequently evolve into systolic-diastolic hypertension and into secondary types of hypertension, as well as presenting a high risk of future cardiovascular complications and diabetes [51], it is important to undertake clinical intervention in order to control this hypertension subtype as a measure to reduce cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [52].

Notwithstanding the recommendations of the principal international guides for the management of AHT [53,54], which suggest the use of combinations of antihypertensives to control BP, the present study portrays a significant advantage in the choice of monopharmacy in prescription patterns in the Spanish population. The prescription of active ingredients of the angiotensin-II receptor antagonist, calcium channel antagonist and beta-adrenergic antagonist categories is of particular note. This tendency in the prescription of antihypertensives shares similarities with the pattern found in research performed on other Spanish populations over the last years [55,56] where drugs acting upon the renin-angiotensin axis, of more recent vintage, surpass the prescription of the classic groups (diuretics in particular). The predominance of AIIRAs over angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) might be due to the evidence of greater tolerability of said substances [57].

The comparative studies performed at a population level and which were presented in the latest guide published by the European Society of Hypertension and the European Society of Cardiology [54], do not reflect the significant differences between the principal antihypertensive drug categories; these do become apparent in individualized clinical practice. This dissociation might be reflective of how genetic polymorphisms associated with drug metabolism, among other groups of genes involved, affect the efficacy of these drugs and the manifestation of side-effects of the same. In this regard, the examination of the distribution of the polymorphic variants of the four genes of the CYP family in the sample of patients with AHT revealed...
a noteworthy heterogeneity in their drug-metabolizing phenotypes, the greatest incidence of normal enzyme activity being found in the biotransformation pathways belonging to the CYP3A4/5 (80%) and CYP2C19 (75%) genes, followed by that of the CYP2C9 gene (60%) and finally that of the CYP2D6 gene (55%). This pattern, found in the clinical population, is compatible with some of the allelic frequencies known in the Spanish population in general (in particular those associated with CYP2C9 and CYP2D6, where the distribution is practically equivalent) [29,38]. If we confine ourselves exclusively to the role played by the isoenzymes analyzed in the phase I reactions in the metabolism of hypertensives, we might anticipate a greater probability of therapeutic ineffectiveness in antihypertensive drug prescription. The findings in this report are subject to at least four limitations. Firstly, it must be taken into account that the period of antihypertensive intake was not homogenized, this possibly being a source of bias in the calculation of the therapeutic efficacy of the drugs prescribed. Secondly, the cross-sectional study design provides a one-time-only assessment of blood pressure, and this could overestimate or underestimate hypertension prevalence. On the other hand, this study is limited to the advantages which the identification of alleles corresponding to a limited number of genes involved in the metabolism of a large proportion of antihypertensive drugs might provide for the control of AHT. However, there are other candidate genes of blood pressure response to antihypertensive drug therapy, which might be associated with both the pharmacodynamics and the pharmacokinetics of said compounds. Thus, the results collected with a solely descriptive nature merely indicate the existence of a sub-optimal approach to AHT of a considerable magnitude, which highlights the implications of the future implementation of inferential studies which verify the potential causal nature of the polymorphisms involved in the metabolism of antihypertensives in the interindividual variation in their therapeutic efficacy. Additionally, as a future field of research, we suggest the exploration of the possible clinical relevance of knowledge of the functionality of allelic variants of other genes which have shown association or interactions with the response to BP medications. From this perspective, studies of great scientific significance [40,66] have suggested the possible involvement of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system genes (ACE, AGT, AGTR1, AGTR2, CYP11B2, REN), sympathetic nervous system/vascular tone genes (ADRB2), ion transport and fluid balance genes (ADD), among those of greatest significance. Finally, the calculation of the ratios of uncontrolled hypertension in the epidemiological design carried out did not allow for the impact of factors of a behavioral (e.g. exercise, diet) or physiological (e.g. salt sensitivity) nature, whose correlation with AHT is proven [67,68]; nor was its interaction with pharmacogenetic variables taken into account. Thus, making future studies more complex by including e.g. salt sensitivity) nature, whose correlation with AHT is proven [67,68]; nor was its interaction with pharmacogenetic variables taken into account.
Conclusions

In view of these results, the need to introduce changes in the management of AHT, defending the prevention and control of the same from a more effective viewpoint, seems obvious. Prioritizing the importance of this pathology, and replacing the habitual empirically-based prescriptive framework with treatment contemplating the pharmacogenomic profile of the patient, might provide incalculable advantages for the health of that great percentage of patients with AHT, and also a significant reduction in the economic costs currently borne by the healthcare system [23,27]. However, in spite of these promising prospects, it is necessary to enter into more detail in the exploration of the clinical usefulness of pharmacogenetic testing, as the routine implementation of the same depends largely on obtaining robust evidence of its added value in comparison with care-asusual.

References

Polymorphic cytochrome P450 enzymes (CYPs) and their role in personalized therapy. PLoS One 8: e62562.


