Benign Fibrous Histiocytoma of Maxillary Gingiva
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Introduction

Benign fibrous histiocytoma (BFH) are fibrohistiocytic tumours composed of biphasic cell population of fibroblasts and histiocytes [1-3]. The true histogenesis of this tumour is uncertain, and most authorities consider these tumours to be of fibroblastic differentiation without any relationship to true histiocytes [1-3]. World Health Organization (WHO) now recognizes the term “fibrohistiocytic” to merely denote a lesion that is composed of cells that resemble round histiocytic and spindled fibroblastic morphology [1-3]. BFH may originate in any part of the body [1,2]. They arise commonly in the sun-exposed cutaneous soft tissues of middle aged women [1,2]. About 1% of BFH arise in non-cutaneous tissues, mainly in the deep tissues of the lower extremities [1,2]. BFH are uncommon in the head and neck region [1,2]. Skoulakis et al. states that on excluding fibrohistiocytic lesions of the facial bones, less than 10 cases of non-cutaneous BFH of the head and neck have been reported in the English literature [4]. BFH are rare in the oral cavity, with few reported cases in the buccal mucosa, vestibule, tongue, soft palate, jaw bones, floor of the mouth, and gingiva [1]. Arriving at a diagnosis of BFH is difficult as they appear clinically as non-specific, painless, solitary, slowly enlarging swelling that may affect the normal physiology and function of the area in which they arise [1,5]. The lesion is frequently reported after a scalpel-biopsy through a combination of light microscopic and immunohistochemical (IHC) examination. BFH has no known specific IHC marker and the diagnosis is usually based on absence of markers [1,4]. A search of English language medical literature returned a total of two case reports of BFH in the gingiva, both involving the lingual mandibular gingiva [3,5]. To the best of our knowledge this article describes the first case-report of BFH involving the maxillary posterior buccal gingiva. We also attempt to discuss the pathological and IHC characteristics of the lesion.

Case Description

A 37 year old housewife presented to our teaching and research institute with a three month clinical history of progressive difficulty in mouth opening. She was otherwise healthy and gave no relevant medical history of any systemic disease or medication. No clinical history of trauma to the jaw, surgical intervention, or any recent dental procedures were given. A physical and extraoral examination revealed no relevant abnormal findings. Temporo-mandibular joint (TMJ) examination elicited no tenderness, clicking, or any other relevant findings. Her interincisal mouth opening was measured as 29 mm. Intraoral examination revealed a poor oral hygiene index and a high caries index with multiple decayed teeth including root-stumps of upper and lower posterior teeth. Soft tissue examinations revealed a large well-defined gingival swelling of 2×3 cm on the buccal aspect of right maxillary tuberosity extending to the first molar. The gingival mass had normal mucosal colouration, had granular surface, was non-ulcerated, firm to palpation, and was not associated with tenderness or discharge. The adjacent second molar was grossly decayed with pulpal exposure and grade II mobility with pain on horizontal and vertical percussion. Cervical lymph nodes were not palpable. Investigatory orthopantomograph revealed multiple decayed teeth with pulpal exposure and few with periapical pathology. No TMJ or associated bony pathology was identified. A clinical diagnosis of gingival fibroma obstructing coronoid movement was given. She was advised and underwent surgical excision of the gingival mass under local anaesthesia along with removal of right maxillary third molar root-stump and the second molar. Post surgically her chief complaint was resolved. The haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stained histopathological sections showed a non-infiltrating fibrohistiocytic lesion with grenz zone in relation to an overlying stratified squamous surface epithelium. The lesion was chiefly composed of interlacing fascicles of spindle cells having plump and vesicular nucleus with tapered and blunt ends arranged in a storiform pattern (Figure 1). Focal areas of few pleomorphic cells, round histiocytes, lipid laden macrophages, lymphocytes, and multinucleated giant cells were noted (Figure 2). No necrosis was present and the mitotic count was less...
than one per 10 high-power fields. A provisional diagnosis of BFH, pleomorphic undifferentiated sarcoma, fibrosarcoma, neurofibroma, solitary fibrous tumour (SFT), and leiomyoma was made and the tissue-blocks were forwarded for immunohistochemical (IHC) studies to arrive at a confirmatory diagnosis. The tumour cells showed strong immunoreactivity for vimentin (Figure 3) and CD 68 (Figure 4), focal immunoreactivity for smooth muscle actin (SMA) (Figure 5), and negative immunoreactivity for desmin, S-100, and Ki-67. Based on the H&E and IHC findings a final diagnosis of BFH was made. After 18 months of periodic follow-up, the patient is asymptomatic with no evidence of tumour recurrence. A written informed patient consent was obtained to publish her clinical details and investigatory findings for academic advancement without revealing her personal identity.

Discussion

BFH was not known as a clinical entity before 1960 because of confusion over the natural history of this fibrohistiocytic lesion [1,4]. Electron microscopic studies have shown two distinct cell types in these tumours. One end of the spectrum has cells resembling fibroblasts with organized lamellae of rough endoplasmic reticulum, few or no lipid droplets, and no phagolysosomes [2]. The other end of the spectrum has rounded cells resembling histiocytes with numerous cell processes, mitochondria, and phagolysosomes [2]. BFH is academically separated into (1) cutaneous type (synonym: dermatofibroma), that arise in the sun-exposed areas of the skin, and the rare (2) non-cutaneous type which arises in the deep soft tissues of the extremities [1,4]. The rare oral BFH tend to occur in middle-aged and older adults with a mean age of 40yr and a male-female ratio of 2.5:1 [5,6]. They clinically appear as painless slow growing mass that cause progressive changes at the involved site [5,6]. They vary in size from few mm to several cm and have shown a pre-operative duration of 3-12 months [5,6]. The tumour is usually associated with a history of antecedent trauma or chronic infection, suggesting a reactive disease [1,4].

Histopathologically BFH show a dual population of histiocytic and fibrous elements, with most researchers now believing that the histiocyte-like cells originate from undifferentiated mesenchymal stem-cells and are of fibroblastic origin [1-4]. BFH is characterised by cellular proliferation of spindle shaped fibroblasts with vesicular nucleus arranged in short intersecting fascicles of storiform pattern [1-6]. Round histiocyte-like cells, lipid-containing xanthoma cells, multinucleated giant cells, and scattered lymphocytes are frequently seen [1-6]. Our case showed similar microscopic features, with positive immunoreactivity for vimentin (Figure 3) and CD 68 (Figure 4) demonstrating that the lesion is composed of fibroblastic and histiocyte-like cells. The negativity for S-100 differentiated the lesion from neurogenic tumours, and the negativity for Ki-67 demonstrated that the lesion was not aggressive or proliferative. It is important to differentiate BFH from aggressive neoplasms including the more frequent undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (synonym: malignant...
fibrous histiocytoma) and fibrosarcoma [5]. Undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma was excluded due to lack of pleomorphic sarcomatous cells, lack of typical and atypical mitotic figures, and lack of bizarre giant cells, necrosis, and prominent areas of haemorrhage [7]. Fibrosarcoma was excluded by the lack of obvious malignant features, invasive margins, and the characteristic herring-bone pattern [6]. SFT was excluded as they pathologically appear as monomorphic spindle cells organised into interfacing fascicles and ‘pattern-less’ pattern with hemangiopericytoma-like vascular spaces [8]. They show positive immunoreactivity to vimentin and negative immunoreactivity to desmin and SMA [8]. Our case showed SMA immunoreactivity for the blood vessels associated with the tumour, the true lesional cells showed negative immunoreactivity (Figure 5). We excluded AFH as it occurs in children and young adults, is characterized predominantly by sheets of histiocytic cells arranged in serpentine pattern having cystic areas of haemorrhage [2,9,10]. The lesion is characteristically surrounded by dense lymphocytic stroma and plasma cells, and lack giant cells and xanthoma cells [2,9,10]. According to Weiss et al. SMA may occasionally be positive in BFH and their sporadic immunoreactivity should not be considered as evidence of smooth muscle neoplasm [2]. Leiomyoma was excluded as they have a more distinct fascicular arrangement with blunt-ended plumper nucleus and cytoplasm showing longitudinal striations corresponding to myofilamentous material [2]. They strongly express SMA unlike the focal immunoreactivity noted in BFH [2].

The treatment of choice for oral BFH is en-block surgical excision. The prognosis is good and is without recurrence when completely excised [4,5]. However, it is recommended to have regular periodic follow-up because of the difficulty in arriving at the diagnosis and of the few reported cases of recurrence, possibly due to inadequate margins of resection [4,5]. Our case underwent complete surgical excision and post 18 months follow-up the patient is asymptomatic.

Conclusion

We have reported the clinical, microscopic, and immunohistochemical aspects of non-cutaneous BFH afflicting the maxillary posterior buccal gingiva. Oral BFH mimics many clinical oral lesions and may often be misdiagnosed initially. It may represent a pathologist’s nightmare since the histopathological and morphological patterns are common to many other soft tissue tumours. As there are no known specific IHC marker BFH essentially represents a diagnosis of exclusion. Oral BFH are rare but it should be considered in the differential diagnosis of fibrous growth of gingiva and oral mucosa.
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