Bet v 2 Responsibility in Birch-Induced Symptoms
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Abstract

We report the case of a patient who first had grass pollinosis associated to food allergy, especially melon, and who developed secondarily early spring pollinosis which could be related to sensitization to the birch profilin. This patient, whose birch pollinosis was confirmed by nasal provocation test, was not sensitized to Bet v 1, the major birch pollen allergen in North Western Europe. We demonstrated by inhibition studies using grass and birch profilins that the clinical birch allergy was induced by the cross reacting profilin present both in birch and grass pollen and also in melon.

Keywords: Bet v 2; Birch pollinosis; Profilin

Introduction

Plant profilins are minor allergens, recognized by about 20% of pollen allergic patients and can be responsible for cross reactivity among botanically unrelated pollens but also between vegetables and pollens [1]. In birch pollinosis Bet v 1 is considered as a marker [2], in contrast to Bet v 2, the birch profilin [3]. Clinical relevance of profilin as a respiratory allergen has been questioned [4]. We report the case of a patient allergic to grass pollen and to melon, which developed secondarily early spring pollinosis which could be related to sensitization to Bet v 2.

Case Report

M.R.S, a 54- year man, living in the North-East of France, has suffered from grass pollinosis for 13 years. He was desensitized with grass pollen extract for 4 years. In the third year he also reported symptoms occurring mid April. In this area fagale pollens flower until mid April and the grass pollen season occurs mid May. He complained of oral syndrome and lip angio-oedema when eating melon for ten years and more recently when eating longane and avocado.

The cutaneous tests were positive to grass (mean weal diameter: 7 mm), to birch (3 mm), ash pollen (7,5 mm), artemisia, plantago, solidago, and plane tree (4 mm). Cutaneous tests with fresh food were positive for melon, longane and avocado (6 mm). Tests with indoor environment allergens were negative.

Immunological investigations (immuno-CAP Phadia, Uppsala, Sweden) were performed with recombinant allergens. For grass we observed positivity to rPhl p 1: 9.59 kU/l, to rPhl p 5: 17.30 kU/l, to rPhl p 2: 4.35 kU/l, to rPhl p 12: 1.57 kU/l, for birch: positivity to Bet v 2: 1.41 kU/l, negative results were found for rBet v 1, rBet v 4 and rBet v 6.

In order to confirm the clinical relevance of this monosensitization to Bet v 2, we performed nasal provocation tests with birch extract (Stallergènes, Antony, France). The patient reacted positively at 10 IR, with a clinical score of 4 out of 8. Symptoms increased with the ten-fold original author and source are credited.

Discussion

By measuring specific IgE to molecular allergens we could confirmed that the patient was sensitized to the major allergens from grass (Phl p 1, Phl p 5). The positive nasal challenge test to birch extract in our patient monosensitized to Bet v 2 is an argument for the clinical relevance of birch profilin in early spring respiratory symptoms. Cross-electrophoresis of this extract performed with a pool of sera of birch sensitized patients showed immunostaining at 20 kDa corresponding to Bet v 1 and slight immunostaining at 14 kDa, suggesting the presence of profilin in the extract (data not shown). The potency of birch profilin to induce respiratory symptoms has been demonstrated by nasal and bronchial challenge tests [5]. The food allergy to melon, suggests profilin sensitization, before grass pollen immunotherapy was introduced. Cuc m 2, the melon profilin was identified as a major allergen recognized by over 70% of melon allergic patients [6]. The important inhibition of Bet v 2 and Phl p 12 specific IgE by the two profilins is linked to a great extent of cross reactivity between these 2 allergens. Radauer et al. [7] found in 10 out of 13 patients sensitized to birch profilin that pre-incubation with Bet v 2 inhibited IgE binding to Phl p 12 by more than 80%. In our case, reciprocal inhibition experiments suggest that the affinity of the IgEs to grass profilin seems to be better than to birch profilin, suggesting primary sensitization by grass pollen profilin (100% inhibition with grass profilin and 80% with birch profilin).

Birch sensitization is rarely linked in our region to monosensitization to Bet v 2. In a previous study [3] only 3 out of 51 patients sensitized to birch recognized Bet v 2 alone and none had symptoms during birch pollen season. This case is in favour of extended sensitization induced by cross-reactive profilins to a clinical profilin. By characterization of a principal epitope of the melon profilin Cuc m 2, it was shown that the same consensus sequence was also localized in the birch and grass profilins.
profilins [8]. As the convincing clinical history of allergic reaction to melon occurred before the beginning of grass hyposensitization, this treatment seems not responsible for sensitization to profilin.

In conclusion this case report is in favor of the clinical relevance of profilin as a respiratory allergen. Grass pollen containing a high concentration of profilin [5], grass pollinosis may be the inducer of sensitization to this panallergen and could be a risk factor for other pollinosis in which profilin is the relevant allergen.
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