Bilateral Cerebellar Infarcts from Vertebral Artery Insufficiency Caused by Cervical Osteophytes
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Abstract

Background: In previous reports, the patients are described to have transient symptoms from physiologic rotation or extension of the cervical spine, resulting from a cervical osteophyte compressing the vertebral artery and causing vertebral artery insufficiency, known as Bow Hunter syndrome.

Methods: An 85-year-old female presented with new onset occipital headaches, nausea, vomiting and vertigo that were not precipitated by change in head position. Patient had bilateral cerebellar infarcts. Patient underwent decompression and instrumented stabilization of the cervical spine from the posterior approach.

Results: At one-year follow-up, patient remained stroke free with patent vertebral artery.

Conclusion: To our knowledge, this is the first report of bilateral infarction from a vertebral artery insufficiency caused by cervical osteophytes without history of transient symptoms from movement of the head or neck, a variant of the Bow Hunter syndrome. Anterior versus posterior approach for vertebral artery insufficiency from osteophytic compression should be primarily based on location of the pathology and not the cervical level of involvement.
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Introduction

Vertebral Artery Insufficiency (VAI) or Bow Hunter syndrome result from a cervical osteophyte compressing the Vertebral Artery (VA) is a rare condition resulting in transient symptoms from physiologic rotation or extension of the cervical spine [1-15]. Presenting symptoms include history of dizziness, vertigo, syncope, nausea, or sensorimotor disturbance [3,9,16]. With the change in cervical position, especially rotation or extension, the flow in the VA may be compromised leading to transient ischemia in the supplying tissues when the contralateral VA is hypoplastic or congenitally atretic [1,17-19]. In previous cases reported, patients present with transient symptoms with certain neck position occurring for duration of time [5,9,14,16]. To our knowledge, this is the first report of bilateral infarction from a vertebral artery insufficiency caused by cervical osteophytes without history of neck motion-related transient symptoms, a variant of Bow Hunter syndrome.

Case Report

An 83-year-old female presented with new onset occipital headaches, nausea, vomiting and vertigo that were not precipitated by change in head or neck position. Patient had a slightly unsteady gait but otherwise neurological exam was normal. There was no change in symptoms observed with change in neck position. Initial head Computed Tomography (CT) scan and followed by brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) showed acute left cerebellar infarction within the posterior inferior cerebellar artery distribution and hemorrhagic infarction within the right cerebellar hemisphere (Figure 1). Cranial and cervical Computed Tomographic Angiography (CTA) revealed an osteophytic compression of the left VA at C4-5 with a small intraluminal thrombus just proximal to this area (Figure 2, 3A, 3B and 3C).

The left acute cerebellar and right sub-acute paramedian cerebellar infarcts were believed to originate from left VAI whose distribution may extend over to the right cerebellar hemisphere. It was concluded that the insufficiency of the left VA was due to the osteophytic spurs. Immediately, the patient was started on aspirin and clopidogrel (Plavix, Sanofi-aventis, Bridgewater, New Jersey). However, due to the lack of the rotational component of Bow Hunter’s syndrome with transient symptoms in patient’s presentation, and non-dynamic radiographic studies illustrating significant stenosis, patient was considered at high risk and surgical intervention to decompress the left VA was planned.

A midline posterior approach was performed for multilevel decompression of the VA stenosis and stabilization of the cervical spine with instrumentation (Figure 4). Complete resection of the lateral mass...
of left C4 and C5 and partial resection of C3 and C6 was performed. Intraoperative microdoppler was utilized to monitor the location of the VA and its flow.

Postoperatively, the imaging demonstrated complete decompression of the left VA (Figure 3D, 3E and 3F) and the hospital course was uneventful. At one-year follow up after surgery, left VA remained patent and regained its normal caliber (Figure 3G, 3H and 3I). She was stroke-free and asymptomatic having completely recovered from her stroke.

Discussion

Hutchinson and Yates’s anatomical studies first described the compromise of second segment of the VA from spondylotic etiology [20]. In the subaxial cervical spine, the formation of osteophytic spurs is most common at the C5 and C6 (56%). [10] In contrast to other subaxial levels, a fibrous band tethers the VA as the second segment of VA enters the C6 transverse foramen. With repetitive motion over time, osteophytic spur formation may result in development of symptomatic VA stenosis [5,10]. Rotational stenosis of the VA may result anteromedially or posteriorly from osteophytes arising from unincise process or facet complex, respectively [5,9,12,21]. Our patient had combination of both anteromedial and posterior osteophytic spur formation (Figure 3B). Due to the lack of the rotational component of Bow Hunter’s syndrome with transient symptoms in our patient’s presentation, and nondynamic radiographic studies illustrating significant stenosis, we describe this as a Bow Hunter syndrome variant.

Lu et al. [16] recommended anterior approach for lesions at or caudal to C4 and posterior approach for lesions rostral to C4. However, Citow and MacDonald [9] and Petridis et al. [22] utilized posterior approaches with good results for decompression at C6 and C4-5, respectively. We used posterior approach because the VA was compromised from the combination of both unincise process and facctl complex, and multiple levels were involved. Since the patient had complete resection of the lateral mass of left C4 and C5, instrumented stabilization was performed (Figure 3D, 3E and 3F) At one-year follow-up after surgery, the patient is stroke-free while the imaging revealed the cervical spine to be stable, and that the left VA regained its normal caliber (Figure 3G, 3H and 3I). Anterior versus posterior approach for VAI from osteophytic compression should be primarily based on location of the pathology and not the cervical level of involvement.
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