Bilateral Renal Masses with Different Histopathology Subtypes Treated by Laparoscopic Right Partial Nephrectomy and Bilateral Cryoablation-Results at 5 Years Follow-Up
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Abstract

We present the case of a 54 year old man with a synchronous mass on each kidney with different renal cell carcinoma (RCC) subtypes and a normal renal function. He categorically refused to undergo radical nephrectomy and open partial nephrectomies. He underwent a laparoscopic right partial nephrectomy and then percutaneous CT-guided bilateral cryoablation. A second percutaneous CT-guided course of cryoablation on the right mass was performed at 6 months post cryoablation for recurrence. Both chest and abdomen CT-Scan at 60 months follow up did not reveal any local recurrence or distant metastasis.

Although cryoablation is a feasible treatment option for RCC, the authors do not recommend it as a first line therapy in an otherwise healthy patient with normal renal function.
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Background

Surgical resection is considered the standard of care for clinically localized RCC [1]. Thermal ablative therapies, including renal cryoablation and radiofrequency ablation, have emerged as alternative nephron-sparing treatments for patients with localized RCC. Long-term efficacy is not well established as it is with surgery and preliminary data suggest that the local recurrence rates may be higher than those reported for traditional surgical approaches. However, distant metastases seem to be as prevalent as with conventional surgical techniques. The ideal candidates for ablative therapy for renal tumors are patients with advanced age or significant comorbidities who prefer a proactive approach but are not optimal candidates for conventional surgery or patients with local recurrence after previous nephron-sparing surgery [2].

Treatment of recurrent renal masses after a partial nephrectomy remains challenging. A second partial nephrectomy is not only technically more difficult but it may also be associated with higher risk of complications and a decrease in renal function [3,4,5]. In those specific cases, cryoablation may be an attractive alternative with minimal complications, renal function decrease and acceptable oncologic control [6].

Case Presentation

We report the case of a 54 year old healthy male referred for incidental bilateral solid renal masses. He was a former smoker and had no family history of renal disease. His renal function was normal with 96 µmol/L of serum creatinine. The CT-Scan performed for lower back ache revealed a 3.9 × 2.9 cm middle posteralateral mass mostly intrarenal and partially exophytic in the right kidney and a 2.8 × 2.4 cm hilar mass in the left kidney (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Contrast enhanced computed tomography (CT) scans. Figure 1a: Right renal mass: An intrarenal and partially exophytic mass was biopsied because it was intrarenal and not accessible for a partial nephrectomy. The biopsy revealed a type 1 papillary renal cell carcinoma. Figure 1b: Left renal mass: An oval lesion of 2.8 × 2.4 cm located in the left renal hilum, hypodense and homogeneous. There is a slight enhancement.

The left mass was biopsied because it was intrarenal and not amenable for a partial nephrectomy. The biopsy revealed a type 1 papillary renal cell carcinoma.
papillary RCC (Figure 2a). The patient categorically refused to undergo open partial or radical nephrectomy even unilateral. He finally accepted a laparoscopic right partial nephrectomy but unfortunately margins were positive. The pathology showed a clear cell RCC with Fuhrman grade II/IV which was a different histopathology subtype than the left renal mass (Figure 2b).

Six months later a CT scan demonstrated a local recurrence with an enhancing core of 3 cm.

There were no complications. A CT scan performed at 6 month follow-up revealed a recurrence located on the right side showed by enhancement of the mass within the ablation zone (Figure 3).

The patient underwent a second percutaneous CT-guided cryoablation on the right side without prior biopsy since it would not change our management. We used the same protocol of two 10 minutes freeze-thaw cycles.

Five years after the laparoscopic right partial nephrectomy and subsequent bilateral cryoablation, there is no sign of recurrence on either side (Figure 4).

Discussion

Surgical therapy remains the mainstay of therapy to achieve a cure in the management of RCC. The 2013 European Association of Urology (EAU) guidelines on RCC recommend nephron-sparing surgery rather than radical nephrectomy whenever possible for patients with low-stage RCC (T1). Also, patients with small renal tumours and/or significant co-morbidity who are unfit for surgery should be considered for an ablative approach, e.g. cryoablation and radiofrequency ablation [1]. Cryoablation can also be considered as a safe and effective nephron-sparing alternative for treatment of local recurrence after partial nephrectomy [6]. In our case, the patient was fit for a right partial nephrectomy and a left radical nephrectomy but refused to undergo open surgery or radical nephrectomy. Because patient preference must also be considered, some patients who do not meet the above mentioned guidelines criteria may choose thermal ablation instead of surgery. This decision can be supported as long as balanced counseling about the current status on the different modalities has been provided to the patient [2].

There is poor literature about management of bilateral renal masses with cryoablation. There is a case report describing a patient with multiple bilateral chromophobe renal cell carcinoma treated successfully with laparoscopic partial nephrectomies and cryoablation with no recurrence at 3-month follow-up CT scan [7]. In a small series of twenty patients only one patient was treated by cryotherapy for bilateral small renal masses. However, he refused follow up after 6 months where both masses were not visualized on imaging [8].
To our knowledge no literature addresses a possible link between the histologic renal cancer subtype and the clinical response to cryoablation.

Conclusion

The management of bilateral renal masses is technically challenging. This is the first case reported of synchronous bilateral renal masses with two different RCC subtypes treated with such an atypical management and a long follow-up. Bilateral cryoablation seems to be a viable treatment but the authors do not encourage this type of renal cancer treatment in an otherwise healthy patient as first line therapy. However they considered worth of reporting to the scientific community this case for future unusual situations where it could be a feasible option.
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