

Bioethanol Production Using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with Different Perspectives: Substrates, Growth Variables, Inhibitor Reduction and Immobilization

Bharti^{*} and Madhulika Chauhan

Department of Biotechnology, FET, Manav Rachna International University, Faridabad, Haryana, India

*Corresponding author: Bharti B, Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Engineering & Technology, Manav Rachna International University, Faridabad, Haryana, India, Tel: +91 8750717616; E-mail: bharti.bhadana99@gmail.com

Received date: August 19, 2016, Accepted date: August 30, 2016, Published date: August 31, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Bhadana B, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

In the transportation sector, the most commonly used biofuel is 'bioethanol' to reduce greenhouse gases. Ethanol production at the industrial level is employed by many yeast, bacteria, and fungi. But *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae* is most employed yeast. Wide range of substrates has been used for ethanol production such as lignocellulose, molasses, sweat sorghum cane extract, starch based substrate and other wastes. Lignocellulosic hydrolysates contain many inhibitors that can be reduced by treatment with activated charcoal and reducing agents, repeated sequential fermentation, over-liming, evaporation, anion exchanger, enzymatic treatment using peroxidase and laccase, and in-situ detoxification with fermenting microbes. Co-culturing of *S. Cerevisiae* with other microbes is targeted for optimization of ethanol production, short fermentation time, and for reduced process cost. Yeast cell immobilization has been considered as a potential alternative to enhance ethanol productivity. This paper also reviews the effects of various factors on yeast fermentation for ethanol optimization.

Keywords: Bioethanol; *S. cerevisiae*; Lignocellulose; Fermentation; immobilization

Introduction

As the world population and industrialization are increasing, the demand for energy is also increasing. Therefore, the cost of coal, natural gas, and crude oil is increasing. Thus the uncertainty of the fossil fuel and global climate changes have led to renewable energy development. Among biofuels biodiesel, biogas and bioethanol are dominant renewable energy. In the transportation sector, bioethanol is the most commonly used biofuel. Several substrates have been used for ethanol production such as lignocelluloses, starch, and different wastes [1]. Lignocellulosic biomass (LCB) is more preferred for ethanol production because of the two major reasons: a) it does not compete with food, b) it takes care of plant and agricultural residues in environmentally sustainable process [2,3]. Due to high processing cost, cellulosic ethanol production at industrial level is still a challenge. The major reason for the high cost is the consumption of high steam energy for distillation of fermentation broth with the low ethanol titer when LCB is used as feedstock [4]. Higher feedstock price is the

second reason for the high cost of ethanol production [5,6]. Ethanol titer can be upgraded by different pretreatment methods that increase cellulosic content in fermentation system [3,7] and hence reduces the cost. Various microorganisms carry out fermentation such as yeast, fungi, and bacteria. But *S. cerevisiae* is widely studied and used at both household and industrial levels. Ethanol is generated as the main fermentation product of S. cerevisiae. S. cerevisiae is superior to filamentous fungi, bacteria and other yeasts in its various physiological characteristics for ethanol production at industrial level. It can tolerate wide range of pH [8] with acidic pH as optimum [9], which protects contamination. It can also tolerate ethanol better than other ethanol producers [10]. It is also GRAS (generally regarded as safe) for human consumption. This paper reviews trends for ethanol production using S. cerevisiae with different perspectives like substrates, growth variables, inhibitors reduction from hydrolysate and different immobilization techniques.

Substrate for Yeast

Nonfood source acts as the substrate for ethanol production. Various substrates have been used for ethanol production (Table 1).

S. cerevisiae strains	Substrate	Pretreatment	Enzymatic hydrolysis (g/l)	Ethanol Produced
TISTR 5596	starch cassava pulp		Amaylase and glucoamylase	9.9
ATCC 26602	Wheat straw	H ₂ O ₂	cellulase	10
SOL/M5	Leaf and stem of Dendratherma Grandiflora		Crude extract from <i>Pleurotus</i> ostreatus	10.64
Baker yeast	Sticky coffee husks			13.6

Citation: Bhadana B, Chauhan M (2016) Bioethanol Production Using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with Different Perspectives: Substrates, Growth Variables, Inhibitor Reduction and Immobilization. Ferment Technol 5: 131. doi:10.4172/2167-7972.1000131

MTCC 174	Rice husks	Na OH	Crude unprocessed enzyme	14
ATCC 96581	Waste newspaper	sodium dodecyl sulphate Cellulase and glucosidase		14.29
RCK-1	newspaper cellulosics		exoglucanase, glucosidase and xylanases with tween 80 and CoCl_2	
Y5	Corn stover	Steam explosion	cellulase	40
TJ14	Microcrystalline Cellulose		Commercial cellulase	45
DQ1	Corn stover	H ₂ SO ₄ supplemented with	Cellulase	48
Y5	Corn stover	Steam explosion	Cellulase and glucodiase	50
DQ1	Corn stover	steam explosion	Cellulase	55
L2524a	Empty palm fruit bunch fibers	Alkali (NaOH)	Cellulase	64.2
var. ellipsoideus	Corn meal		Heat stable –amylase and glucoamylase	79.6
ATCC 6508	Sweet potato chips		Amylase and glucomylase	104.3

Table 1: Different Substrates for S. cerevisiae for Ethanol Production at varying Treatment Conditions.

Ethanol production from nonfood sources provide two advantages: a) cost of waste disposal is reduced, b) since wastes are cheap, thus the cost of ethanol production is also reduced.

Reduction of Inhibitors in Hydrolysates

During hydrolysis, various inhibitors are generated that pose hindrances for ethanol production, such as inhibition of cell growth and sugar consumption during yeast cultivation. Such inhibitors arefurfural, hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF), acetic acid, formic acid, and phenolic compounds etc. Various approaches are being used to solve this problem, such as- a) repeated sequential fermentation so that yeast can adapt the inhibitory chemicals, b) over-liming [10-27], c) anion exchanger [28], d) activated charcoal addition [25], e) treatment with reducing agents [29], f) evaporation [10], g) in-situ detoxification by fermenting microbes [30,31], h) enzymatic treatment with peroxidase and laccase [32], i) membrane extraction [33] and, j) solvent extraction [32]. In biological methods, enzymes or the microorganisms are used to detoxify the inhibitors in co-culture. Sequential co-culturing of S.cerevisiae and Thermoanaerobacter pentosaceus was found to reduce inhibitory compounds and also enhance ethanol production [30]. According to results, T. pentosaceus was able to metabolize furfural and HMF up to 0.5 and 1 g/L, respectively. Phenolic compounds were also detoxified from Trametes versicolor using immobilized laccase [28]. Activated charcoal treatment, neutralization, solvent extraction, ion exchanger and over-liming are chemical treatment methods. Activated charcoal treatment reduces the inhibitors due to their high adsorption capacity and also shorten the fermentation time [26]. Evaporation helps in the reduction of volatile inhibiting compounds in LCB hydrolysates [10]. Over-liming detoxifies the inhibitors by precipitating them at high pH [27]. Precipitation reduces levulinic acid and acetic acid by neutralization chemistry principle.

Factors Affecting Rate of Yeast Fermentation

There are many factors that could affect the rate of yeast fermentation [8], like - a) type of carbohydrate, b) concentration of carbohydrate, c) concentration of salt, d) osmolarity, e) ethanol concentration, f) pH, g) temperature. Optimum temperature for *S. cerevisiae* is 30-40°C. Higher temperature shorten the exponential phase of yeast cell [8]. At 50°C, ethanol production is considerably reduced due to change in transport system that can increase toxin accumulation in the cell [8]. Optimum pH for *S. cerevisiae* was found to be 4.0-5.0 [8]. Below 4.0, the incubation period was prolonged and favored the formation of acetic acid and above 5.0, the concentration of ethanol diminished subsequently and it also favored butyric acid production [8]. Thus various parameters affect ethanol production that must be optimized to enhance ethanol productivity.

Immobilization to Improve Ethanol Productivity

Calcium or sodium alginate and agar-agar cubes are commonly used immobilizing agents [7]. Also, several studies have been done to investigate new immobilizing agents that are cheap and easy to use (Table 2). Yeast immobilization enhances ethanol productivity because- a) it reduces risk of contamination [33-35], b) it makes it easy to separate cell mass for the bulk liquid [36-43], c) it reduces production costs [18,36,42], d) biocatalyst can be recycled [43], e) fermentation time can be reduced [7,18], f) cells can be protected from inhibitors [44] g) more ethanol production compared to free cells [7,18,38,35]. Citation: Bhadana B, Chauhan M (2016) Bioethanol Production Using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with Different Perspectives: Substrates, Growth Variables, Inhibitor Reduction and Immobilization. Ferment Technol 5: 131. doi:10.4172/2167-7972.1000131

Page 3 of 4

S. cerevisiae Strain	Substrate	Initial sugar (g/l)	Residual Sugar	Immobilizing materials	Ethanol produced	Ethanol yield
MTCC 174	Sugar cane Bagasse	50	22	Agar-agar cubes	9.4	0.33
Baker yeast	Glucose	100	16	Lyophilized cellulose gel	36.12	0.43
MTCC 174	Sugar cane Bagasse	50	15	Sugar cane bagasse	15.4	0.44
CBS 8066	Glucose	30	0.3	Alginate-chitosan beads	13.37	0.45
CTCRI	Mahula flowers	89.75	7.99	Luffa sponge discs	37.2	0.455
Mutant baker	Glucose + Sucrose	280	7.21	Sweet sorghum pith	130.12	0.477
TISTR 5048	Sweet sorghum	240	26.69	Corncobs	102.39	0.48
NP 01	Sweet sorghum Juice	240	54.8	Corncobs	90.75	0.49
Baker yeast	Cashew apple juice	70.01	3.92	Cashew apple bagasse	36.91	0.49
DTN	Sugar beet Molases	130	6.3	Alginate-maize stem ground tissue	60.36	0.493
Saccharomyces cerevisiae var.						
ellipsoideus	Corn meal hydrolysates	176	8.02	Calcium alginate	89.68	0.52
				Sodium alginate grafted with N- vinyl-		
Pakmaya Yeast Company	Glucose	120	6.03	2-pyrrolidone	69.68	0.697

 Table 2: Enhancement of Ethanol Production using different immobilizing agents.

Conclusion and Future Perspectives

Starch and molasses have been used for ethanol production for long period of time, but they lead to competition for food with respect to land and price. Therefore, LCB is being used to solve such challenges. Ethanol production at industrial level is not successful due to two major reasons- a) low ethanol titer, b) different inhibitors in hydrolysates. Various optimization techniques are being used to enhance ethanol titer. Adsorption with activated charcoal, over liming, treatment with reducing agents, solvent and membrane extractions potentially reduce inhibitors to enhance ethanol titer. Immobilization of yeast cells is another strategy for optimization of production process in less cost manner. Thus lignocellulose pretreatment and fermentation are still an area of research interest. At present, transformation and over-expression of a gene for specific traits (eg cellulase) in yeast can be fruitful to solve challenges such as inability to use ribose and polysaccharide. Hence, an economic process analysis is required for the development of an industrially suitable production strategy to solve our energy crisis by producing more ethanol in a stable way.

References

- Mussatto SI, Dragone G, Guimarães PM, Silva JP, Carneiro LM, et al. (2010) Technological trends, global market, and challenges of bio-ethanol production. Biotechnol Adv 28: 817-830.
- Gutierrez'-Rivera B, Waliszewski-Kubiak K, Carvajal-Zarrabal O, Aguilar-Uscanga MG (2012) Conversion efficiency of glucose/xylose mixtures for ethanol production using Sac-charomyces cerevisiae ITV01 and Pichia stipitis NRRL Y-7124. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 87: 263– 270.

- 3. Ishola MM, Isroi K, Taherzadeh MJ (2014) Effect of fungal and phosphoric acid pretreatment on ethanol production from oil palm empty fruit bunches (OPEFB). Bioresour Technol 165: 9-12.
- Nikolic S, Mojovic L, Djukic'-Vukovic A (2013) Possibilities of improving the bioethanol production from cornmeal by yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. ellipsoideus," in Causes, Impacts and Solutions to Global Warming, I. Dincer, C. O. Colpan, and F. Kadioglu, Eds., pp. 627–642, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- Timilsina GR, Shrestha A (2011) How much hope should we have for biofuels? 36: 2055–2069.
- Mathew AK, Crook M, Chaney K, Humphries P (2014) Continuous bioethanol production from oilseed rape straw hydrosylate using immobilised Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells. BioresoTechnol 154: 248– 253.
- Karagoz P, Ozkan M (2014) Ethanol production from wheat straw by Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Scheffersomyces stipitis co-culture in batch and continuous system. BioresoTechnol 158: 286–293.
- Lin Y, Zhang W, Li C, Sakakibara K, Tanaka S (2012) Factors affecting ethanol fermentation using Saccharomyces cerevisiae BY4742. Biomass and Bioenergy 47:395–401.
- Ortiz-Muniz B, Carvajal-Zarrabal O, Torrestiana-Sanchez B, Aguilar-Uscanga MG (2010) Kinetic study on ethanol pro-duction using Saccharomyces cerevisiae ITV-01 yeast isolated from sugar canemolasses. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 85: 1361–1367.
- Prasertwasu S, Khumsupan D, Komolwanich T, Chaisuwan T, Luengnaruemitchai A, et al. (2014) Efficient process for ethanol production from Thai Mission grass (Pennisetum polystachion). BioresoTechnol 163: 152–159.
- Quevedo-Hidalgo B, Monsalve-Mar'in F, Narvaez'-Rincon P (2013) Ethanol production by Saccharomyces cerevisiae using lignocellulosic hydrolysate from Chrysanthemum waste degra-dation. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 29: 459–466.

Page 4 of 4

- 12. Park JM, Oh BR, Seo JW (2013) Efficient production of ethanol from empty palm fruit bunch fibers by fed-batch simul-taneous saccharification and fermentation using Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 170: 1807-1814.
- Tian S, Li Y, Wang Z, Yang X (2013) Evaluation of simultane-ous saccharification and ethanol fermentation of undetoxified steamexploded corn stover by Saccharomyces cerevisiae Y5. Bioene Res 6: 1142-1146.
- 14. Shen Y, Guo JS, Chen YP (2012) Application of low-cost algal nitrogen source feeding in fuel ethanol production using high gravity sweet potato medium. J Biotechnol 160: 229–235.
- 15. Shahsavarani D, Hasegawa D (2013) Enhanced bio-ethanol production from cellulosic materials by semi-simultaneous saccharification and fermentation using high temperature resistant Saccharomyces cerevisiae TJ14. J Biosci Bioeng 115: 20–23.
- Chu D, Zhang J, Bao J (2012) Simultaneous saccharification and ethanol fermentation of corn stover at high temperature and high solids loading by a thermotolerant strain Saccharomyces cerevisiae DQ1. J Biosci Bioeng 5: 1020–1026.
- 17. Singh A, Bajar S, Bishnoi NR (2014) Enzymatic hydrolysis of microwave alkali pretreated rice husk for ethanol production by Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Scheffersomyces stipitis and their co-culture. Fuel 116: 699-702.
- Nikolic S, Mojovic L, Pejin D, Rakin M (2010) Production of bioethanol from corn meal hydrolyzates by free and immobilized cells of Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. ellipsoideus. Biomass Bioenergy 34: 1449-1456.
- 19. Kuhad RC, Mehta G, Gupta R, Sharma KK (2010) Fed batch enzymatic saccharification of newspaper cellulosics improves the sugar content in the hydrolysates and eventually the ethanol fermentation by Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biomass Bioenergy 34: 1189-1194.
- Gouvea BM, Torres C, Franca AS, Oliveira LS, Oliveira ES (2009) Feasibility of ethanol production from coffee husks. Biotechnol Lett 31: 1315-1319.
- 21. Bi D, Chu D, Zhu P (2011) Utilization of dry distiller's grain and solubles as nutrient supplement in the simultaneous saccharification and ethanol fermentation at high solids loading of corn stover. Biotechnol Lett 33: 273-276.
- 22. Akaracharanya A, Kesornsit J, Leepipatpiboon N, Srino-rakutara T, Kitpreechavanich V, et al. (2011) Evaluation of the waste from cassava starch production as a substrate for ethanol fermentation by Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Ann Microbiol 61: 431-436, 2011.
- 23. Xin F, Geng A, Chen NL, Gum MJM (2010) Enzy-matic hydrolysis of sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS)—pretreated newspaper for cellulosic ethanol production by Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Pichia stipites. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 162: 1052–1064.
- Li Y, Gao K, Tian S, Zhang S, Yang X (2011) Evaluation of Saccharomyces cerevisiae Y5 for ethanol production from enzymatic hydrolysate of nondetoxified steam-exploded corn stover. Bioreso Technol 102: 10548– 10552.
- 25. Kim SK, Park DH, Song SH, Wee YJ, Jeong GT (2013) Effect of fermentation inhibitors in the presence and absence of activated charcoal on the growth of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Bioprss Biosys Engg 36: 659-666.
- Parawira W, Tekere L (2011) Biotechnological strategies to overcome inhibitors in lignocellulose hydrolysates for ethanol production: review. Crit Rev Biotechnol 31: 20-31.
- 27. De Bari I, Cuna D, Di Matteo V, Liuzzi F (2014) Bioethanol production from steam-pretreated corn stover through an isomerase mediated process. N Biotechnol 31: 185-195.

- Ludwig D, Amann M, Hirth T, Rupp S, Zibek S (2013) Development and optimization of single and combined detoxification processes to improve the fermentability of lignocellulose hydrolyzates. Bioresour Technol 133: 455-461.
- Alriksson B, Cavka A, Jönsson LJ (2011) Improving the fermentability of enzymatic hydrolysates of lignocellulose through chemical in-situ detoxification with reducing agents. Bioresour Technol 102: 1254-1263.
- 30. Tomas AF, Karagoz P, Karakashev D, Angelidaki I (2013) Extreme thermophilic ethanol production from rapeseed straw: using the newly isolated Thermoanaerobacter pentosaceus and combining it with Saccharomyces cerevisiae in a two-step process. Biotechnol Bioengg 110: 1574–1582.
- Taherzadeh MJ, Karimi J (2011) Fermentation inhibitors in ethanol processes and different strategies to reduce their effects. Biofuels 287–311.
- 32. Zhu J, Yong Q, Xu Y, Yu S (2011) Detoxification of corn stover prehydrolyzate by trialkylamine extraction to improve the ethanol production with Pichia stipitis CBS 5776. Bioresource Technology 102: 1663-1668.
- Grzenia DL, Dong RW, Jasuja H, Kipper MJ, Qian X (2012) Conditioning biomass hydrolysates by membrane extraction. J Memb Sci 416: 75–84.
- Inal M, Yigitoglu M (2011) Production of bioethanol by immo-bilized Saccharomyces cerevisiae onto modified sodium alginate gel. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 86: 1548-1554.
- Razmovski R, Vucurovi V (2011) Ethanol production from sugar beet molasses by S. cerevisiae entrapped in an alginate-maize stem ground tissue matrix. Enzy Micro Technol 48: 378-385.
- Singh A, Sharma P, Saran AK, Singh N, Bishnoi NR (2013) Comparative study on ethanol production from pretreated sugarcane bagasse using immobilized Saccharomyces cerevisiae on various matrices. Renew Eneg 50: 488–493.
- Winkelhausen E, Velickova E, Amartey SA, Kuz-manova S (2010) Ethanol production using immobilized Saccha-romyces cerevisiae in lyophilized cellulose gel. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 162: 2214–2220.
- Behera S, Mohanty RC, Ray RC (2011) Ethanol production from mahula (Madhuca latifolia L.) flowers with immobilized cells of Saccharomyces cerevisiae in Luffa cylindrica L. sponge discs. Applied Energy 88: 212– 215.
- Ylitervo P, Franzén CJ, Taherzadeh MJ (2011) Ethanol production at elevated temperatures using encapsulation of yeast. J Biotechnol 156: 22-29.
- 40. Ji H1, Yu J, Zhang X, Tan T (2012) Characteristics of an immobilized yeast cell system using very high gravity for the fermentation of ethanol. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 168: 21-28.
- Laopaiboon L, Laopaiboon P (2012) Ethanol production from sweet sorghum juice in repeated-batch fermentation by Sac-charomyces cerevisiae immobilized on corncob. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 28: 559–566.
- 42. Pacheco AM, Gondim DR, Gonczalves LRB (2010) Ethanol production by fermentation using immobilized cells of Saccharomyces cerevisiae in cashew apple bagasse. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 161: 209–217.
- 43. Sembiring KC, Mulyani H, Fitria AI, Dahnum D, Sudiyani (2014) Rice flour and white glutinous rice flour for use on immobilization of yeast cell in ethanol production. Energy Procedia 32: 99–104.
- KirdponpattaraS, Phisalaphong M (2013) Bacterial cellulose-alginate composite sponge as a yeast cell carrier for ethanol production. Biochem Engg J 77: 103–109, 2013.